

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 08-11-2011
CLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/MJS
REASON: 1.4 (C)
DECLASSIFY ON: 08-11-2036

[Redacted]

b3 10 USC 130b
b6 per DoD

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 11:49 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

(S) Subject: Problems [Redacted]
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

b1 per DoD

CAVEATS: NOFORN

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen,

Last Friday MAJ [Redacted] requested mess with BG Ennis.

b3 10 USC 130b
b6 per DoD

Maj [Redacted] called [Redacted] and me to attend the meeting with the general.

Maj [Redacted] was unavailable [Redacted] and I attended.

Col [Redacted] I still need the justification documentation that outlines why we support the billets on the matrix. What is the status concerning the PACOM and Sudan billets? Who is coordinating for these billets?

b1 per DoD

(S) Last week after [Redacted] returned I talked to one of our guys at the [Redacted] asked him two questions:

- Is your conversation being monitored?
- If I could get you transferred to the ISG and out of your current location/ duty would you want that to happen?

The response to both questions was yes. I asked our guy to do an informal survey of our folks out there and ask the second question.

I received a response on my voice mail, the answer was: four x yes, one x no.

b3 10 USC 130b
b6 per DoD

Today I talked to our guy again, he said that he had received an email from [Redacted] from our new boss; I guess he is referring to Col [Redacted]. The way that email was handled means that the TF knows its content. According to our guy, all email goes into a common account and can/are being read by the TF. He emphasized that [Redacted] had no visibility on what is going on at the [Redacted]. Our guy did not feel that he could answer that email honestly due to the lack of protected communication. I asked him if he thought it would be better for honesty's sake if he and our other guys could talk to someone away from the compound, i.e. the ISG and he said yes, that would be the only way.

b1 per DoD

I told him not to answer the email and that I would talk to the HAT chain of command back here explaining the situation.

I think we need to take all possible steps to allow our guys to give us their assessment of what is happening out there. We have two very experienced operators at that facility, if they are telling us that they do not trust the way communications are being handled right now we need to give them the opportunity to talk to us openly and directly.

I think it is not in our interest to send people to backfill these positions until we have taken corrective action to remedy the situation at BIAP.


~~SECRET~~

FBI031116CBT

6/14/2004
OIG REQ 08/22/05-ITEM #25

FBI0000019

~~SECRET~~



.b3 10 USC 130b
.b6 per DoD

~~DERIVED FROM: MULTIPLE SOURCES~~

~~DECLASSIFY ON: X1~~

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: NOFORN

TERMS: NONE

~~SECRET~~

FBI031117CBT

OIG REQ 06/22/05-ITEM #25
6/14/2004

FBI0000020