

Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

From: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 12:04 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

I agree. If DOJ wants to listen for their reasons, they should take the PR heat for it.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 10:40 AM
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

Post's article said it was a DOJ attorney. I guess I didn't want to get into the details other than to say my opinion that we should defer to DOJ on this one unless we object to their advise.

-----Original Message-----

From: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 9:10 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

This issue was in the paper this morning. I don't recall if it said DoD was asking [redacted] for permission to listen or DoJ was.

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 8:44 AM
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[redacted] called yesterday with a question about FBI's position concerning monitoring (I assumed tape recording) meetings between the detainees and their attorneys. I think that the issue is likely mooted by the fact that [redacted] is of the position that FBI doesn't need to request it for any of its purposes and DOD is likely to do it anyway. I told [redacted] that DOJ is heavily involved in GTMO and that if the issue became live, DOJ should decide.

b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

Message

Page 2 of 2

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

From: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 9:10 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

(S)

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

This issue was in the paper this morning. I don't recall if it said DoD was asking [redacted] for permission to listen or DoJ was.

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 17, 2004 8:44 AM
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FYI GTMO

b1
b6
b7C

(S)

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~
~~NON-RECORD~~

[redacted] called yesterday with a question about FBI's position concerning monitoring (I assumed tape recording) meetings between the detainees and their attorneys. I think that the issue is likely mooted by the fact that [redacted] is of the position that FBI doesn't need to request it for any of its purposes and DOD is likely to do it anyway. I told [redacted] that DOJ is heavily involved in GTMO and that if the issue became live, DOJ should decide.

b6
b7C

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SECRET~~

FBI024417CBT