

Per e-mail dated
6/7/2011



~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

SECDEF HAS SEEN

APR 16 2003

CM-883-03
5 April 2003

APR 16 AM 7:47

ACTION MEMO

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

DepSec Action _____

FROM: General Richard B. Myers, CJCS *RBMY 4/6*

(U) SUBJECT: Interrogation Techniques in the War on Terrorism (X)

- (U) (X) Per our discussion on 31 March regarding the Working Group Report on Detainee Interrogations in the Global War on Terrorism, a proposed memorandum to Commander, USSOUTHCOM, approving certain interrogation techniques is enclosed for your signature (TAB).

RECOMMENDATION: (U) Approve the memorandum at TAB.

Approve *RA* Disapprove _____ Other _____

COORDINATION: (U) NONE

Attachment:
As stated

Prepared By: [Redacted] USN; OCJCS Legal Counsel; [Redacted]

b6
b7c

Derived From: Report dated 6 Mar 03
Subj: Working Report on Detainee Interrogations
in the Global War on Terrorism: Assessment of Legal,
Historical, Policy, and Operational Considerations
Declassify On: 7 Mar 13

→ SD 4/15/03
Sam I concur.
Doug Feitl

REGRADED SECRET WHEN
SEPARATED FROM TAB

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

X01310 /03

REF ID: A63924

Per e-mail dated
6/7/2011



~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

APR 16 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR THE COMMANDER, US SOUTHERN COMMAND

SUBJECT: Counter-Resistance Techniques in the War on Terrorism ~~(S)~~

- (U) ~~(S/NF)~~ I have considered the report of the Working Group that I directed be established on January 15, 2003.
- (U) ~~(S/NF)~~ I approve the use of specified counter-resistance techniques, subject to the following:
 - (U) a. The techniques I authorize are those lettered A-X, set out at Tab A.
 - (U) b. These techniques must be used with all the safeguards described at Tab.B.
 - (U) ~~(S)~~ c. Use of these techniques is limited to interrogations of unlawful combatants held at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.
 - (U) ~~(S)~~ d. Prior to the use of these techniques, the Chairman of the Working Group on Detainee Interrogations in the Global War on Terrorism must brief you and your staff.
- (U) ~~(S/NF)~~ I reiterate that US Armed Forces shall continue to treat detainees humanely and, to the extent appropriate and consistent with military necessity, in a manner consistent with the principles of the Geneva Conventions. In addition, if you intend to use techniques B, I, O, or X, you must specifically determine that military necessity requires its use and notify me in advance.
- (U) ~~(S/NF)~~ If, in your view, you require additional interrogation techniques for a particular detainee, you should provide me, via the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, a written request describing the proposed technique, recommended safeguards, and the rationale for applying it with an identified detainee.
- (U) ~~(S)~~ Nothing in this memorandum in any way restricts your existing authority to maintain good order and discipline among detainees.

Attachments:
As stated

1011101

NOT RELEASABLE TO
FOREIGN NATIONALS



Classified By: Secretary of
Defense

Reason: E.5(a)

Declassify On: 2 April 2013

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

SECDEF CONTROL#

X01310 /03

Per e-mail dated
6/7/2011

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

TAB A

INTERROGATION TECHNIQUES

(U) ~~(S//NF)~~ The use of techniques A - X is subject to the general safeguards as provided below as well as specific implementation guidelines to be provided by the appropriate authority. Specific implementation guidance with respect to techniques A - Q is provided in Army Field Manual 34-52. Further implementation guidance with respect to techniques R - X will need to be developed by the appropriate authority.

(U) ~~(S//NF)~~ Of the techniques set forth below, the policy aspects of certain techniques should be considered to the extent those policy aspects reflect the views of other major U.S. partner nations. Where applicable, the description of the technique is annotated to include a summary of the policy issues that should be considered before application of the technique.

(U) A. ~~(S//NF)~~ Direct: Asking straightforward questions.

(U) B. ~~(S//NF)~~ Incentive/Removal of Incentive: Providing a reward or removing a privilege, above and beyond those that are required by the Geneva Convention, from detainees. (Caution: Other nations that believe that detainees are entitled to POW protections may consider that provision and retention of religious items (e.g., the Koran) are protected under international law (see, Geneva III, Article 34). Although the provisions of the Geneva Convention are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.)

(U) C. ~~(S//NF)~~ Emotional Love: Playing on the love a detainee has for an individual or group.

(U) D. ~~(S//NF)~~ Emotional Hate: Playing on the hatred a detainee has for an individual or group.

(U) E. ~~(S//NF)~~ Fear Up Harsh: Significantly increasing the fear level in a detainee.

(U) F. ~~(S//NF)~~ Fear Up Mild: Moderately increasing the fear level in a detainee.

(U) G. ~~(S//NF)~~ Reduced Fear: Reducing the fear level in a detainee.

(U) H. ~~(S//NF)~~ Pride and Ego Up: Boosting the ego of a detainee.

† must determine that military necessity requires use of this technique - must notify SecDef in advance

~~Classified By: Secretary of Defense
Reason: 1.5(a)
Declassify On: 2 April 2013~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO
FOREIGN NATIONALS

Tab A

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

- (U) I. ~~(S//NF)~~ Pride and Ego Down: Attacking or insulting the ego of a detainee, not beyond the limits that would apply to a POW. [Caution: Article 17 of Geneva III provides, "Prisoners of war who refuse to answer may not be threatened, insulted, or exposed to any unpleasant or disadvantageous treatment of any kind." Other nations that believe that detainees are entitled to POW protections may consider this technique inconsistent with the provisions of Geneva. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]
- (U) J. ~~(S//NF)~~ Futility: Invoking the feeling of futility of a detainee.
- (U) K. ~~(S//NF)~~ We Know All: Convincing the detainee that the interrogator knows the answer to questions he asks the detainee.
- (U) L. ~~(S//NF)~~ Establish Your Identity: Convincing the detainee that the interrogator has mistaken the detainee for someone else.
- (U) M. ~~(S//NF)~~ Repetition Approach: Continuously repeating the same question to the detainee within interrogation periods of normal duration.
- (U) N. ~~(S//NF)~~ File and Dossier: Convincing detainee that the interrogator has a damning and inaccurate file, which must be fixed.
- (U) O. ~~(S//NF)~~ Mutt and Jeff: A team consisting of a friendly and harsh interrogator. The harsh interrogator might employ the Pride and Ego Down technique. [Caution: Other nations that believe that POW protections apply to detainees may view this technique as inconsistent with Geneva III, Article 13 which provides that POWs must be protected against acts of intimidation. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]
- (U) P. ~~(S//NF)~~ Rapid Fire: Questioning in rapid succession without allowing detainee to answer.
- (U) Q. ~~(S//NF)~~ Silence: Staring at the detainee to encourage discomfort.
- (U) R. ~~(S//NF)~~ Change of Scenery Up: Removing the detainee from the standard interrogation setting (generally to a location more pleasant, but no worse).
- (U) S. ~~(S//NF)~~ Change of Scenery Down: Removing the detainee from the standard interrogation setting and placing him in a setting that may be less comfortable; would not constitute a substantial change in environmental quality.
- (U) T. ~~(S//NF)~~ Dietary Manipulation: Changing the diet of a detainee; no intended deprivation of food or water; no adverse medical or cultural effect and without intent to deprive subject of food or water, e.g., hot rations to MREs.

we FM 34.52
implementing
guidance (U)

↓
implementing
guidance must
be developed
by proper
authority

must determine that military necessity requires use of this technique
must notify SecDef in advance

Tab A

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

- (U) U. ~~(S//NF)~~ Environmental Manipulation: Altering the environment to create moderate discomfort (e.g., adjusting temperature or introducing an unpleasant smell). Conditions would not be such that they would injure the detainee. Detainee would be accompanied by interrogator at all times. [Caution: Based on court cases in other countries, some nations may view application of this technique in certain circumstances to be inhumane. Consideration of these views should be given prior to use of this technique.]
- (U) V. ~~(S//NF)~~ Sleep Adjustment: Adjusting the sleeping times of the detainee (e.g., reversing sleep cycles from night to day.) This technique is NOT sleep deprivation.
- (U) W. ~~(S//NF)~~ False Flag: Convincing the detainee that individuals from a country other than the United States are interrogating him.
- (U) X. ~~(S//NF)~~ Isolation: Isolating the detainee from other detainees while still complying with basic standards of treatment. [Caution: The use of isolation as an interrogation technique requires detailed implementation instructions, including specific guidelines regarding the length of isolation, medical and psychological review, and approval for extensions of the length of isolation by the appropriate level in the chain of command. This technique is not known to have been generally used for interrogation purposes for longer than 30 days. Those nations that believe detainees are subject to POW protections may view use of this technique as inconsistent with the requirements of Geneva III, Article 13 which provides that POWs must be protected against acts of intimidation; Article 14 which provides that POWs are entitled to respect for their person; Article 34 which prohibits coercion and Article 126 which ensures access and basic standards of treatment. Although the provisions of Geneva are not applicable to the interrogation of unlawful combatants, consideration should be given to these views prior to application of the technique.]

*must determine that
military necessity
justifies use of this technique - must notify SCS in advance*

3
~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Tab A

Per e-mail dated
6/7/2011

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

TAB B

GENERAL SAFEGUARDS

(U) ~~(S//NF)~~ Application of these interrogation techniques is subject to the following general safeguards: (i) limited to use only at strategic interrogation facilities; (ii) there is a good basis to believe that the detainee possesses critical intelligence; (iii) the detainee is medically and operationally evaluated as suitable (considering all techniques to be used in combination); (iv) interrogators are specifically trained for the technique(s); (v) a specific interrogation plan (including reasonable safeguards, limits on duration, intervals between applications, termination criteria and the presence or availability of qualified medical personnel) has been developed; (vi) there is appropriate supervision; and, (vii) there is appropriate specified senior approval for use with any specific detainee (after considering the foregoing and receiving legal advice).

(U) The purpose of all interviews and interrogations is to get the most information from a detainee with the least intrusive method, always applied in a humane and lawful manner with sufficient oversight by trained investigators or interrogators. Operating instructions must be developed based on command policies to insure uniform, careful, and safe application of any interrogations of detainees.

(U) ~~(S//NF)~~ Interrogations must always be planned, deliberate actions that take into account numerous, often interlocking factors such as a detainee's current and past performance in both detention and interrogation, a detainee's emotional and physical strengths and weaknesses, an assessment of possible approaches that may work on a certain detainee in an effort to gain the trust of the detainee, strengths and weaknesses of interrogators, and augmentation by other personnel for a certain detainee based on other factors.

(U) ~~(S//NF)~~ Interrogation approaches are designed to manipulate the detainee's emotions and weaknesses to gain his willing cooperation. Interrogation operations are never conducted in a vacuum; they are conducted in close cooperation with the units detaining the individuals. The policies established by the detaining units that pertain to searching, silencing, and segregating also play a role in the interrogation of a detainee. Detainee interrogation involves developing a plan tailored to an individual and approved by senior interrogators. Strict adherence to policies/standard operating procedures governing the administration of interrogation techniques and oversight is essential.

~~Classified By: Secretary of Defense
Reason: 1.5(a)
Declassify On: 2 April 2013~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO
FOREIGN NATIONALS

Tab B

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

- (U) ~~(S//NF)~~ It is important that interrogators be provided reasonable latitude to vary techniques depending on the detainee's culture, strengths, weaknesses, environment, extent of training in resistance techniques as well as the urgency of obtaining information that the detainee is known to have.
- (U) ~~(S//NF)~~ While techniques are considered individually within this analysis, it must be understood that in practice, techniques are usually used in combination; the cumulative effect of all techniques to be employed must be considered before any decisions are made regarding approval for particular situations. The title of a particular technique is not always fully descriptive of a particular technique. With respect to the employment of any techniques involving physical contact, stress or that could produce physical pain or harm, a detailed explanation of that technique must be provided to the decision authority prior to any decision.

²
~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

Tab B