


**HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH**

350 Fifth Ave, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10118  
Phone: 212-290-4700  
Fax: 212-736-1300  
E-mail: hrwnyc@hrw.org  
Website: http://www.hrw.org

UNCLASSIFIED

RELEASED IN FULL

LD130d



- Kathryn Roth  
Executive Director
- Michael Alexander  
Development and Outreach Director
- Carol Jager  
Communications Director
- Steve Caporaso  
London Director
- John T. Dwyer  
Operations Director
- John Gagliano  
Finance Director
- Lucy Leitch  
Special Office Director
- Tom Malinowski  
Washington Advocacy Director
- Rory Monaghan  
Advocacy Director
- Maria Pappas Nicksa  
Special Advocacy Director
- Dinah Poole-Anderson  
General Counsel
- Walter Taylor  
Legal and Policy Director
- James Wachtel  
UN Representative

**DIVISION DIRECTORS**

- Peter Talbot  
Africa
- Just Miguel Villegas  
Americas
- Rand Adams  
Asia
- Elizabeth Anderson  
Europe and Central Asia
- Hansy Hingst  
Middle East and North Africa
- Bruce Gibbs (Honorary Director)  
Oceania
- Lisa Whitman  
Children's Rights
- Leah Ann Johnson  
Women's Rights

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

- Rebecca Pridgen  
Chair
- Khadija Abou El Fadl
- Lisa Anderson
- David Aronoff
- Philip Brown
- William Bradford Huie
- Dorothy Collins
- James Clapper
- Edith Brown
- Richard Gelfand
- Vernon Goetsch
- Allen H. Hersh
- James H. Hogan, Jr.
- Stephen L. King
- Martha River Keenan
- Wendy Kaye
- Rubert Kohn
- Ryan Kofsky
- Francis Lonsdale-Antarwan
- Joel Malman
- Joel Morley
- Suzanne M. Muramba
- June Olson
- Paula Olson
- Kathleen Perle
- Catherine Powell
- Ryan Rich
- Sigrid Raustal
- Orville Schell
- Stefan Seidman
- Gregory G. Sisk
- Debra Epstein
- John J. Suckale
- Shelby Tedlow
- Lawrence White
- Megan Wiley

Robert L. Bernstein  
Founding Chair

December 26, 2002

President George W. Bush  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Bush:

Human Rights Watch is deeply concerned by allegations of torture and other mistreatment of suspected al-Qaeda detainees described in the *Washington Post* ("U.S. Decries Abuse but Defends Interrogations") on December 26. The allegations, if true, would place the United States in violation of some of the most fundamental prohibitions of international human rights law. Any U.S. government official who is directly involved or complicit in the torture or mistreatment of detainees, including any official who knowingly acquiesces in the commission of such acts, would be subject to prosecution worldwide.

Human Rights Watch urges you to take immediate steps to clarify that the use of torture is not U.S. policy, investigate the *Washington Post's* allegations, adopt all necessary measures to end any ongoing violations of international law, stop the rendition of detainees to countries where they are likely to be tortured, and prosecute those implicated in such abuse.

**I. Prohibitions Against Torture**

The *Washington Post* reports that persons held in the CIA interrogation centers at Bagram air base in Afghanistan are subject to "stresses and duress" techniques, including "standing or kneeling for hours" and being "held in awkward, painful positions." The *Post* notes that the detention facilities at Bagram and elsewhere, such as at Diego Garcia, are not monitored by the International Committee of the Red Cross, which has monitored the U.S. treatment of detainees at Guantánamo Bay, Cuba.

The absolute prohibition against torture is a fundamental and well-established precept of customary and conventional international law. Torture is never permissible against anyone, whether in times of peace or of war.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
REVIEW AUTHORITY: SHARON E AHMAD  
DATE/CASE ID: 07 APR 2005 200303827

UNCLASSIFIED

The prohibition against torture is firmly established under international human rights law. It is prohibited by various treaties to which the United States is a party, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which the United States ratified in 1992, and the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, which the United States ratified in 1994. Article 7 of the ICCPR states that "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment." The right to be protected from torture is non-derogable, meaning that it applies at all times, including during public emergencies or wartime.

International humanitarian law (the laws of war), which applies during armed conflict, prohibits the torture or other mistreatment of captured combatants and others in captivity, regardless of their legal status. Regarding prisoners-of-war, article 17 of the Third Geneva Convention of 1949 states: "No physical or mental torture, nor any other form of coercion, may be inflicted on prisoners of war to secure from them information of any kind whatever. Prisoners of war who refuse to answer may not be threatened, insulted, or exposed to any unpleasant or disadvantageous treatment of any kind." Detained civilians are similarly protected by article 32 of the Fourth Geneva Convention. The United States has been a party to the 1949 Geneva Conventions since 1955.

The United States does not recognize captured al-Qaeda members as being protected by the 1949 Geneva Conventions, although Bush administration officials have insisted that detainees will be treated humanely and in a manner consistent with Geneva principles. However, at minimum, all detainees in wartime, regardless of their legal status, are protected by customary international humanitarian law. Article 75 ("Fundamental Guarantees") of the First Additional Protocol to the Geneva Conventions, which is recognized as restating customary international law, provides that "torture of all kinds, whether physical or mental" against "persons who are in the power of a Party to the conflict and who do not benefit from more favorable treatment under the [Geneva] Conventions," shall "remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever, whether committed by civilian or military agents." "[C]ruel treatment and torture" of detainees is also prohibited under common article 3 to the 1949 Geneva Conventions, which is considered indicative of customary international law.

## II. Possible U.S. Complicity in Torture

It is a violation of international law not only to use torture directly, but also to be complicit in torture committed by other governments. The *Post* reports being told by U.S. officials that "[t]housands have been arrested and held with U.S. assistance in countries known for brutal treatment of prisoners." The Convention against Torture provides in article 4 that all acts of torture, including "an act by any person which constitutes complicity or participation in torture," is an offense "punishable by appropriate penalties which take into account their grave nature."

The *Post* article describes the rendition of captured al-Qaeda suspects from U.S. custody to other countries where they are tortured or otherwise mistreated. This might also be a violation of the Convention against Torture, which in article 3 states: "No State Party shall expel, return ('refouler') or extradite a person to another State where there are substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture.... For the purpose of

determining whether there are such grounds, the competent authorities shall take into account all relevant considerations including, where applicable, the existence in the State concerned of a consistent pattern of gross, flagrant or mass violations of human rights."

The U.S. Department of State annual report on human rights practices has frequently criticized torture in countries where detainees may have been sent. These include Uzbekistan, Pakistan, Egypt, Jordan and Morocco. The United States thus could not plausibly claim that it was unaware of the problem of torture in these countries.

### III. International Prosecutions for Torture and Command Responsibility

Direct involvement or complicity in torture, as well as the failure to prevent torture, may subject U.S. officials to prosecution under international law.

The willful torture or inhuman treatment of prisoners-of-war or other detainees, including "willfully causing great suffering or serious injury to body or health," are "grave breaches" of the 1949 Geneva Conventions, commonly known as war crimes. Grave breaches are subject to universal jurisdiction, meaning that they can be prosecuted in any national criminal court and as well as any international tribunal with appropriate jurisdiction.

The Convention against Torture obligates States Parties to prosecute persons within their jurisdiction who are implicated or complicit in acts of torture. This obligation includes the prosecution of persons within their territory who committed acts of torture elsewhere and have not be extradited under procedures provided in the convention.

Should senior U.S. officials become aware of acts of torture by their subordinates and fail to take immediate and effective steps to end such practices, they too could be found criminally liable under international law. The responsibility of superior officers for atrocities by their subordinates is commonly known as command responsibility. Although the concept originated in military law, it now is increasingly accepted to include the responsibility of civil authorities for abuses committed by persons under their direct authority. The doctrine of command responsibility has been upheld in recent decisions by the international criminal tribunals for the former Yugoslavia and for Rwanda.

There are two forms of command responsibility: direct responsibility for orders that are unlawful and imputed responsibility, when a superior knows or should have known of crimes committed by a subordinate acting on his own initiative and fails to prevent or punish them. All states are obliged to bring such people to justice.

\*\*\*

The allegations made by the *Washington Post* are extraordinarily serious. They have put the United States on notice that acts of torture may be taking place with U.S. participation or complicity. That creates a heightened duty to respond preventively. As an immediate step, we urge that you issue a presidential statement clarifying that it is contrary to U.S. policy to use or facilitate torture. The *Post's* allegations should be investigated and the findings made public.


UNCLASSIFIED

3

Should there be evidence of U.S. civilian or military officials being directly involved or complicit in torture, or in the rendition of persons to places where they are likely to be tortured, you should take immediate steps to prevent the commission of such acts and to prosecute the individuals who have ordered, organized, condoned, or carried them out. The United States also has a duty to refrain from sending persons to other countries with a history of torture without explicit and verifiable guarantees that no torture or mistreatment will occur.

Thank you for your attention to these concerns.

Sincerely,



Kenneth Roth  
Executive Director

Cc: Colin Powell, Secretary of State  
Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense  
Condoleezza Rice, National Security Advisor

UNCLASSIFIED

4