

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/09/2004

[redacted] Special Agent, Entered on Duty (EOD) [redacted] currently assigned to the Anchorage Division, office telephone number [redacted] was advised of the official identity of the interviewing Supervisory Special Agent (SSA) and that the interview concerned ascertaining any information [redacted] possessed pertaining to the treatment and conditions of detainees at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (GTMO). [redacted] thereafter provided the following information:

b2 -1
b6 -1,2
b7C -1,2

[redacted] traveled to GTMO in February 2002, to debrief a detainee identified as [redacted] regarding case specific information on the "Portland 7" Counterterrorism matter. [redacted] was not a member of any interview team. As a Co-case Agent of the Portland 7 case, [redacted] went to GTMO for the sole purpose of conducting one interview relating to that case.

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4
b7D -1
b7F -1

The interview was conducted in a make-shift plywood shack used for conducting interviews, located adjacent to the "Dog Cages" in Camp X-Ray. When the detainee was brought in for the interview, [redacted] observed the detainee had a black eye, facial cuts around the nose area, and his fingers on both hands were taped up. The detainee, who spoke English, said words to the effect "they", motioning to the Military Police (MP) guards, had done this to him. A Colonel in charge of the MPs, whose name [redacted] could not recall, advised that the detainee's injuries were sustained in a scuffle due to the detainee becoming non-compliant and had to be brought into compliance by a Rapid Response Team. The detainee also had a cast on one of his ankles which was for an injury sustained prior to the detainee's arrival at GTMO.

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] did not have any substantial dealing with any MPs, other military personnel, Department of Defense (DOD) civilians, or any other contractors at GTMO regarding detainees condition and treatment. [redacted] did not observe any aggressive or improper handling, treatment or techniques used by anyone on any of the detainees inconsistent with FBI or Department of Justice (DOJ) policy guidelines. [redacted] had no understanding of what type of harsh or aggressive interrogation techniques were authorized by DOD. [redacted] did not ask anyone what the DOD

b6 -1
b7C -1

Investigation on 09/08/200 at (telephonically)
File # 297-HQ-A1327669-A 3166 Date dictated 09/09/2004
by SSA [redacted] itn [redacted] b6 -1
b7C -1

RESPONSES-82

297-HQ-A1327669-A

b6 -1
b7C -1

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 09/08/2004 , Page 2

policies were pertaining to the treatment of detainees as he thought it was beyond the scope of his duty. Since [REDACTED] was unaware of what DOD policies were pertaining to the treatment of detainees, he was unable to state whether any aggressive treatment, interrogations or interview techniques employed, in his opinion, were inconsistent with any DOD policy. Other than the overall conditions, which [REDACTED] described as being harsh, and without air conditioning, but which everyone there, including U.S. military personnel were subjected to, he did not witness, or become aware of, any unduly harsh treatment. [REDACTED] attributed the overall conditions at GTMO in February 2002, as being due to the operation being in it's relatively early stages.

b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED] was not aware of any allegations of mistreatment of detainees made against any U.S. personnel: [REDACTED] did not have any pictures, video, notes or any other type of documentation which depicted or described any aggressive treatment, interrogations or interview techniques employed on detainees at GTMO. [REDACTED] was not aware of anyone else in possession of, or the existence of, any such items. [REDACTED] did not have any additional information relating to the treatment of detainees at GTMO.

b6 -1
b7C -1

RESPONSES-83