

[redacted] (INSD) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

*yes
more detail
on stress position
+ the formal &
informal out
interactions.*

To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: GTMO

OK, I will consider you a positive response and no need for you to do the EC. Once we get all the responses, a determination will be made as to who gets interviewed. Thanks again.

-----Original Message-----

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2004 2:58 PM
To: [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: GTMO

[redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Yes. There was an incident of observation of a detainee in a 'stress position'. This was within the regs of military techniques but outside MIOG (No Bureau personnel involved). That was the limit of direct observations. In terms of knowledge of 'mistreatment' I can speak to that in great detail from formal meetings which I attended and informal discussions with DoD personnel. BC

-----Original Message-----

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2004 2:54 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: GTMO

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

OK, I will print this out and retain for when the interviews start. I will take this as a negative response for Bureau employees. Any observations of other agencies mistreatment of detainees?

-----Original Message-----

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2004 2:38 PM
To: [redacted] (INSD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: GTMO

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

RESPONSES-60

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]

~~It was the case agent for the... in the... who spoke...
On the... month... when... was... and... was just breaking. At that time, it seemed the Bureau's focus was identifying any liability in the form of direct involvement. I would be happy to sit down with you and walk you through what I saw as the predictable onset of aggressive treatment, interrogations or interview techniques. In short, the Bureau personnel there had no direct participation. But I think I may be able to assist in describing the landscape for you and giving you the details about where some things went off the tracks. I just moved to TTIC (Tyson's Corner) and am phone-less, but I have this e-mail~~

up and running.

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
CTD / Fly Team

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

You have been identified as having conducted an assignment at GTMO, Cuba since 9/11/01. The Inspection Division has been tasked with contacting those employees who have served in any capacity at GTMO and obtain information regarding the treatment of detainees. Employees should immediately respond to the following :

1) Employees who observed aggressive treatment, interrogations or interview techniques on GTMO detainees which was not consistent with Bureau interview policy/guidelines, should respond via email for the purpose of a follow-up interview. Positive email responses should be directed to:

[redacted]
Inspection Division

b6 -1
b7C -1

2) Employees who served at GTMO and observed no aggressive treatment of detainees, should respond via an EC documenting a negative response. The EC should include the employee's official Bureau name, title, and tenure of assignment at GTMO.

The EC should be titled "Counterterrorism Division, GTMO, Inspection Special Inquiry", file # 297-HQ-A1327669-A. The EC should not be uploaded, but only serialized, with a hard copy forwarded to:

Inspection Division
Office of Inspections
[redacted]
Room 7837

b6 -1
b7C -1

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

RESPONSES-61