

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 09/15/2004

b6 -2
b7C -2

[redacted] Supervisory Special Agent (SSA),
EOD: [redacted] National Center for the Analysis of the Violent
Crimes (NCAVC), FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, was interviewed
regarding his knowledge of any aggressive treatment,
interrogations, or interview techniques at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba
(GTMO). After being advised of the identity of the interviewing
Agent and the purpose of the interview, SSA [redacted] provided the
following information in response to thirteen special inquiry
questions:

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]'s TDY assignment to GTMO began on 09/12/2002 and
ended on 10/25/2002. [redacted] was partnered with SSA [redacted]
[redacted] also from NCAVC, both whom provided behavioral
assistance to the intelligence gathering mission involving the
GTMO detainees. While [redacted] never participated in detainee
interrogations, he sometimes observed them. Also, when
requested, he reviewed background files of the detainees to
assist in the formation of interview strategies.

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]'s primary point of contact was [redacted] a
civilian who had overall responsibility for the interrogation of
the detainees. He directed the military reservists who
conducted the interrogations of the detainees. [redacted] advised
he "did not like [redacted], because of his attitude and his
interrogation methods.

b6 -1,3
b7C -1,3

[redacted] did witness aggressive treatment, interrogations
or interview techniques employed on detainees at GTMO
inconsistent with FBI or DOJ policy/guidelines. The incident
involved detainee number [redacted]

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

When [redacted] arrived in GTMO, number [redacted] was incarcerated
in a darkened cell in the Naval Brig. The lead interrogator for
number [redacted] was Staff Sergeant [redacted] a reservist from
California. About two weeks after [redacted] arrived in GTMO,
[redacted] requested he and [redacted] observe number [redacted] and provide an
assessment of his behavior. During the following week,
discussions concerning interrogation options for number [redacted]
occurred between [redacted] and [redacted]. The
objective was to develop an interrogation strategy which would
enable the interrogators to elicit information from number [redacted]

b6 -1,3,4
b7C -1,3,4

Investigation on 09/14/2004 at Quantico, VA

File # 297A-HQ-A1327669-A

Date dictated N/A

by SSA [redacted]

360
DSG

b6 -1
b7C -1

RESPONSES-25

297A-HQ-A1327669-A

b6 -1
b7C -1

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 09/14/2004 , Page 2

On 10/03/2002, number [REDACTED] was transported from the Naval Brig to Camp Delta, at which point he was fed, and interrogated by an interrogation team, however he failed to provide any substantive information. Later that same evening, number [REDACTED] was transported to Camp X-Ray where he was placed in a plywood hut and interrogated by another military interrogation team until the early morning hours of 10/04/2002. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] observed both interrogations. [REDACTED] advised the interrogators screamed and yelled at number [REDACTED] during both interrogations. At some point during the second interrogation, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] returned to their quarters. During the late afternoon hours of 10/04/2004 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] returned to observe the interrogation of number [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] advised the Koran had been placed in front of number [REDACTED] and Captain [REDACTED], United States Marine Corp, began to interrogate the detainee. At some point during the interrogation, Captain [REDACTED] squatted over the Koran, which incensed number [REDACTED] and caused him to reach for the Koran. While [REDACTED] did not observe the incident, he was nearby when it occurred, heard the yelling, and went to the hut to learn what happened. [REDACTED] was then told what occurred. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] then returned to their quarters. On 10/05/2002, a German Shepherd was placed in front of number [REDACTED] while his handler commanded the dog to growl, bark, and show his teeth. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were displeased with the interrogation techniques utilized on number [REDACTED] and decided to depart Camp X-Ray. They were never again in the presence of number [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] believed the interrogation of number [REDACTED] concluded on 10/08/2002.

b6 -1,3,4
b7C -1,3,4

Approximately two weeks after the aforementioned incident, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were at Camp Delta in an observation room which was located between two interrogation rooms. [REDACTED] was observing one interrogation while [REDACTED] was observing another interrogation when [REDACTED] entered the observation room. [REDACTED] was laughing and he told [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] he wanted to show them something. [REDACTED] accompanied [REDACTED] while [REDACTED] remained in the observation room. [REDACTED] later told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] had taken him to an interrogation room where he observed a detainee with a full beard whose head had been wrapped in duct tape. Shortly thereafter, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] departed GTMO.

b6 -1,3
b7C -1,3

[REDACTED] advised he and [REDACTED] corresponded telephonically and via e-mail with FBIHQ representatives, including [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and others at FBIHQ

b6 -1
b7C -1

RESPONSES-26

297A-HQ-A1327669-A

b6 -1
b7C -1

Continuation of FD-302 of [REDACTED]

, On 09/14/2004 , Page 3

regarding the treatment of the detainees at GTMO. [REDACTED] also ensured UC [REDACTED] was apprized of the events at GTMO. b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED] had no knowledge or understanding of Department of Defense (DoD) authorization for the permitted use of harsh/aggressive interrogation techniques. He was not sure if any of the interrogation techniques he observed were actually authorized.

[REDACTED] had no contact with interviewees during his tenure at GTMO. He also advised he had no pictures, audio, notes or other documentation which depicted or described aggressive treatment, interrogations or interview techniques employed on detainees at GTMO and had no knowledge of anyone else who was in possession of such items. [REDACTED] had no additional information related to the treatment of detainees at GTMO. b6 -1
b7C -1

Upon his return from GTMO, [REDACTED] along with [REDACTED] related the aforementioned incidents to SAC Stephen R. Wiley. Also, [REDACTED] drafted an EC dated 11/22/2002, which outlined the aforementioned events, was approved by SAC Wiley, and subsequently forwarded to CTD Executive Management. b6 -1
b7C -1

RESPONSES-27