[redacted] (IR) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1

From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:50 AM
To: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13)(FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1
Cc: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div09) (FBI); [redacted] (IR) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Detainee abuse claims

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALCON,
Based on Rumsfeld's public statements, DoD is against hooding prisoners, threats of violence and techniques meant to humiliating detainees (there is a list of these I have seen). I know these techniques were approved at high levels w/in DoD and used on [redacted] and [redacted]. Additionally, DoD portrayed themselves as FBI agents in the same time frame these "interrogation techniques" were employed on at least [redacted]. An EC outlining these DoD techniques was done by MLDU in November 2003 es to FBI's dissaproval to these DoD "interrogation techniques", regardless of whether they were approved by the Deputy Secretary Defense. DAD Harrington has also been interested in following up on this. The EC regarding [redacted] has not been uploaded and I provided AGC [redacted] with another copy of it when I left GTMO on March 30, 2004 so it could go thru the OGC chain. [redacted] where does that stand?

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

SSA [redacted] b6 -1
CTD/ORS/MLDU b7C -1
JEH, Room 5382
[redacted] b2 -1

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:23 AM
To: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13)(FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1
Cc: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div09) (FBI); [redacted] (IR) (FBI) b7C -1
Subject: RE: Detainee abuse claims
Importance: High

We need to be very careful here. Everyone should pay particular attention to the distinctions between allegations of abuse and the use of techniques which fall outside of FBI/DOJ training and policy. As I stated in my email yesterday, I am not aware of any credible allegations of abuse by anyone in GTMO.

As it relates to [redacted] and [redacted] he techniques employed against them in the interrogation process were, based on numerous inquiries I made, in addition to my personal review of the DOD interrogation plans, approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

b6 -4
b7C -4

[redacted]

9/26/2004

DETAINEES-2715

b6 -4
b7C -4

Our Behavioral Assessment Unit (BAU) disagreed with the use of specific techniques in the case of [redacted] as they opined that the techniques would not be successful and they could produce unreliable results. BAU did not make any allegations of abuse that I am aware of.

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Tue 5/4/2004 7:46 PM
To: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] b6 -1
[redacted] Div13) (FBI) b7C -1
Cc:
Subject: Detainee abuse claims

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

I can think of two specific examples.....you may want to talk to BAU about [redacted] and [redacted] in GTMO.

b6 -4
b7C -4

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

[redacted] (IR) (FBI) b6 -1

From: [redacted] (b7C -1 BI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:07 AM
To: [redacted] Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div13) (FBI); [redacted] b6 -1
[redacted] Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div13)(FBI); b7C -1
[redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div09) (FBI);
[redacted] (IR) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Detainee abuse claims

Once again, I would refer you to my comments below. FBI disapproval of DOD techniques and alleging abuse of detainees are two vastly different issues.

FYI - the Navy IG is arriving in GTMO today to conduct an independent inquiry of the operation here.

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Wed 5/5/2004 8:50 AM
To: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div13) (FBI); [redacted] b6 -1
(Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] Div13)(FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b7C -1
Cc: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div09) (FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] (IR) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Detainee abuse claims

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

ALCON,
Based on Rumsfeld's public statements, DoD is against hooding prisoners, threats of violence and techniques meant to humiliating detainees (there is a list of these I have seen). I know these techniques were approved at high levels w/in DoD and used on [redacted] and [redacted]. Additionally, DoD portrayed themselves as FBI agents in the same time frame these "interrogation techniques" were employed on at least [redacted]. An EC outlining these DoD techniques was done by MLDU in November 2003 as to FBI's dissaproval to these DoD "interrogation techniques", regardless of whether they were approved by the Deputy Secretary Defense. DAD Harrington has also been interested in following up on this. The EC regarding [redacted] has not been uploaded and I provided AGC [redacted] with another copy of it when I left GTMO on March 30, 2004 so it could go thru the OGC chain. [redacted] where does that stand?

b6 -1,4
b7C -1,4

SSA [redacted] b6 -1
CTD/ORS/MLDU b7C -1
JEH, Room 5382
[redacted] b2 -1

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 8:23 AM
To: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] b6 -1
(Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13)(FBI); b7C -1