


	{(MSG014 RTF	Page 3
	b6 -1 .	1
	b7C -1	
b5 -1	Can you let me know your thoughts on his concern for the definition of abuse? Thx	
	Gary ' Original Message	
1	From LUECKENHOFF, EDWARD H (DL) (FBI)	
1	Sent Saturday, May 22, 2004'2 08 PM // To BRIESE, M C (Div13) (FBI)	
b6 -1	Cc BALD, GARY M (Div13) (FBI) (Div13) (FBI) (Div13) (FBI)	
b7C -1	(FBI), HARRINGTON, T J (Div13) (FBI), BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI), (Div10) (FBI)	
1	Subject BOC E-Mail, Priority, May 22, 2004, Lueckenhoff, Request for Guidance regarding OGC EC,	
. <b>I</b>	dated 5/19/04	
	Chris We have questions regarding the attached OGC EC	
b6 -1	Since DOSCand my arrival in Iraq, we have been very careful to instruct our personnel to use	
b7C -1	only standard interview techniques which we would utilize back home in our regular work. We have also	
2,0 1	instructed our personnel not to participate in interrogations by military personnel which might include techniques authorized by Executive Order but beyond the bounds of standard FBI practice. This	
	instruction has been included in our in-briefs to all three rotations, periodically in staff meetings, and in	}
	one-on-one conversations	1
b6 -1	Insofar as DOSC and hare aware, no BOC employee during our three rotations witnessed the	
ь7C -1	abuse at Abu Gharayb that is now the subject of the ongoing investigations, nor any conduct anywhere in the theater that went beyond the parameters set by the applicable Executive Order Although we have	}
	no reason to believe any of our personnel disobeyed our instructions and participated in interrogations	
	that utilized techniques beyond the bounds of FBI practice but within the parameters of the Executive Order (e.g., sleep deprivation, stress positions, loud music, etc.), some of our personnel were in the	
	general vicinity of interrogations in which such tactics were being used, and because of their proximity to	
·· -·	the sites of these interrogations, heard or saw things which would be indicative of interrogations utilizing the techniques authorized by the Executive Order Examples are loud music, interrogators yelling at	
	subjects, prisoners with hoods on their heads, etc	
	We emphatically do not equate any of these things our personnel witnessed with the clearly unlawful and	
	sickening abuse at Abu G that has come to light   The things our personnel witnessed (but did not	1
•	participate in) were authorized by the Presidentiunder his Executive Order I can safely say that none of the employees during our three rotations witnessed the abuse at Abu G. The investigation into the abuse	1
	began before we arrived in Iraq (January 10) and the offending parties had been removed from their	
	positions in the prison by then. Nonetheless, in light of OGC's EC, I wish to make clear our personnel have been present at various facilities when interrogation techniques made lawful by the Executive	
	Order, but outside standard FBI practice, were utilized While our personnel did not participate in these	
	interrogations, they heard/saw, indications that such interrogations were underway	
	Our questions relate to the instruction in the EC to report abuse. The EC states that if "an FBI employee	}
	knows or suspects non-FBI personnel has abused or is abusing or mistreating a detainee, the FBI employee must report the incident	
	This instruction begs the question of what constitutes "abuse " We assume this does not include lawful interrogation techniques authorized by Executive Order We are aware that prior to a revision in policy	
	last week, an Executive Order signed by President Bush authorized the following interrogation	
	techniques among others sleep "management," use of MWDs (military working dogs), "stress positions" such as half squats, "environmental manipulation" such as the use of loud music, sensory deprivation	
	through the use of hoods, etc. We assume the OGC instruction does not include the reporting of these	
	authorized interrogation techniques, and that the use of these techniques does not constitute "abuse "	
	As stated, there was a revision last week in the military's standard operating procedures based on the	
	DETAINEES-1640 16	40
		1.

ACLU-RDI 3327 p.2

DOJFBI 003107



ACLU-RDI 3327 p.3

DOJFBI 003106

L	((MSG014 RTF	Page 4
b6 -1		
b7C -1	Executive Order I have been told that all interrogation techniques previously authorized by the Executive Order are still on the table but that certain techniques can only be used if very high-level authority is granted. According to a Task Force 6-26 e-mail stream I have seen, the following techniques can no longer be used absent the high-level authorization stress positions, MWDs, sleep management, hoods, stripping (except for health inspection), and environmental manipulation (e.g., loud music)	
	UACB, we will still not report the use of these techniques as "abuse" since we will not be in a position to know whether, or not, the authorization for these tactics was received from the aforementioned high-level officials	
	We will consider as abuse any physical beatings, sexual humiliation or touching, and other conduct clearly constituting abuse. Yet, there may be a problem of OGC does not clearly define "abuse" and if OGC does not draw a clear line between conduct that is clearly abusive and conduct that, while seemingly harsh, is permissible under applicable Executive Orders and other laws. In other words, we know what's permissible for FBI agents but are less sure what is permissible for military interrogators	
	These are issues that must be addressed and resolved, with specific guidance being crafted and communicated clearly to our personnel. We cannot have our personnel embedded with military units abroad which regularly use these interrogation techniques without more explicit and specific guidance	
	We would appreciate it if CTD worked with OGC regarding these questions, and if guidance could be issued by the earliest possible date	
2 -1 [	Ed Lueckenhoff <u>On Scene Com</u> manderBaghdad	
- Fra	Original Message	
-1 : -1	From (Div10) (FBI) Sent Saturday, May 22, 2004 8 53 PM To LUECKENHOFF, EDWARD H (DL) (FBI); Subject FW EC for dissemination	
5 -1 7C -1	Original Message From (Div13) (FBI) Sent Thu 5/20/2004 6 00 PM To (Div10) (FBI) Cc	
~ 1	Subject EC for dissemination: UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD This EC has been forwarded per s request Please disseminate as appropriate	
<sup>-1</sup> [	Thanks,	
<sup>c -1</sup> [		
	JNCLASSIFIED	
	JNCLASSIFIED	
	DETAINEES-1641	
	164	1
1		