

~~SECRET~~

[redacted] (IR) (FBI) b6 -1  
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)  
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 12:26 PM b6 -1  
To: HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI) b7C -1  
Cc: BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (IR) (FBI) [redacted]  
(Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI);  
CUMMINGS, ARTHUR M. (Div13) (FBI)

Subject: Instructions to GTMO interrogators.

~~SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN~~  
RECORD 315N-MM-C99102

TJ,

I will have to do some digging into old files [redacted]  
[redacted] We did advise each supervisor that went to GTMO to stay in line with Bureau policy and not  
deviate from that [redacted] I went to  
GTMO with [redacted] early on and we discussed the effectiveness [redacted] with b5 -1  
the SSA. We (BAU and ITOS1) had also met with General's Dunlevey & Miller explaining our position (Law b6 -1,2  
Enforcement techniques) vs. DoD. Both agreed the Bureau has their way of doing business and DoD has their  
marching orders from the Sec Def. Although the two techniques differed drastically, both Generals believed they b7C -1,2  
had a job to accomplish. It was our mission to gather critical intelligence and evidence [redacted]  
[redacted] in furtherance of FBI cases. In my weekly meetings with DOJ we often discussed [redacted]  
techniques and how they were not effective or producing Intel that was reliable. [redacted] (SES) [redacted]  
[redacted] (SES) [redacted] (now SES [redacted] at the time) and [redacted] (SES Appointee) all from DOJ  
Criminal Division attended meetings with FBI. We all agreed [redacted] were going to be an issue in the military  
commission cases. I know [redacted] brought this to the attention of [redacted]

b5 -1  
b6 -1,4,5  
b7C -1,4,5  
b7D -1  
b7E -1

One specific example was [redacted] Once the Bureau provide DoD with the findings [redacted]  
[redacted] they wanted to pursue expeditiously their methods to get "more out of him" [redacted] We  
were given a so called deadline to use our traditional methods. Once our timeline [redacted] was  
up [redacted] took the reigns. We stepped out of the picture and [redacted] ran the operation [redacted] FBI did not  
participate at the direction of myself [redacted] and BAU UC [redacted] We would receive IRs on the results  
of the process. (S)

I went to GTMO on one occasion to specifically address the information coming from [redacted]  
[redacted] We (DoD 3 Star Geoff Miller, FBI, CITF [redacted] etc) had a VTC with the Pentagon Detainee Policy Committee.  
During this VTC I voiced concerns that the intel produced was nothing more than what FBI got using simple  
investigative techniques (following the trail of the detainee in and out of the US compared to the trail of [redacted]  
[redacted] was  
providing [redacted] portion of the briefing. [redacted] was present at the Pentagon side of  
the VTC. After allowing [redacted] to produce nothing, I finally voiced my opinion concerning the b1  
information. The conversations were somewhat heated. [redacted] agreed with me. [redacted] finally admitted the b5 -1  
information was the same info the Bureau obtained. It still did not prevent them from continuing the [redacted] b6 -1,2,5  
methods". DOJ was with me at GTMO [redacted] during that time. b7C -1,2,5

Bottom line is FBI personnel have not been involved in any methods of interrogation that deviate from our policy.  
The specific guidance we have given has always been no Miranda, otherwise, follow FBI/DOJ policy just as you  
would in your field office. Use common sense. Utilize our methods that are proven (Reed school, etc).

If you would like to call me to discuss this on the telephone I can be reached at [redacted] b2 -1

-----Original Message-----

~~SECRET~~

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT  
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

9/26/2004

DATE: 10-29-2004  
CLASSIFIED BY: 41579DME/3CB/340:03-CV-4151  
REASON: (1), 9(C)  
DECLASSIFY ON: 10-29-2029

DETAINEES-2709

~~SECRET~~

**From:** HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2004 9:21 AM  
**To:** [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1  
**Subject:** RE: pls confirm b7C -1

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

Referral/Direct

We have this information, now we are trying to go beyond, did we ever put into writing in an EC, memo, note or briefing paper to our personnel our position [redacted] that we were pursuing our traditional methods of building trust and a relationship with subjects. Tom

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1  
**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2004 10:52 AM b7C -1  
**To:** HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI); BOWMAN, MARION E. (Div09) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: pls confirm

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

BAU at the request of the then (GTMO Task Force, ITOS1) wrote an EC (quite long) explaining the Bureau way of interrogation vs. DoDs methodology. Our formal guidance has always been that all personnel conduct themselves in interviews in the manner that they would in the field. [redacted] along with FBI advised that the LEA (Law Enforcement Agencies) at GTMO were not in the practice of the using [redacted] and were of the opinion results obtained from these interrogations were [redacted] BAU explained [redacted] FBI has been successful for many years obtaining confessions via non-confrontational interviewing techniques.

Referral/Direct

We spoke to FBI OGC with our concerns. I also brought these matters to the attention of DOJ during detainee meetings with [redacted] express their concerns to [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] has a copy of all the information regarding the BAU LHM. I believe she has provided that to TJ Harrington.

I may have more specific information in my desk at HQ. I will search what I have when I return (5/17).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, May 10, 2004 4:33 AM  
**To:** BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI); [redacted] (Div13) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: pls confirm

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED**  
**NON-RECORD**

Please review our control files, did we produce anything on paper???

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Caproni, Valerie E. (Div09) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 09, 2004 2:31 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (Div09) (FBI); HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI); [redacted]

~~SECRET~~

9/26/2004

DETAINEES-2710

(Div13) (FBI) [redacted] (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1  
Subject: pls confirm b7C -1

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED  
NON-RECORD

I think I've heard this several times, but let me ask one more time:

b1

Has there been any written guidance given to FBI agents in either GTMO or Iraq about when they should "stand clear" b/c of the interrogation techniques being used by DOD or DHS [redacted]

(S)

[redacted]

(S)

b1

b5 -1

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED~~

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations  
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1  
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN