From:

Sent:

Wednesday, August 27, 2003 12:26 PM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

FW: COL Fortune (703) 697-3723

Importance:

High

Yesterday, "" ("our" Forensic Consultant) contacted AFIP who renewed their commitment to respond to GTMO (as will selected SEFO MCRT members) in the event of a detainee death, or as previously occurred, a incident where death was believed imminent.

----Original Message----

Sent: Monday, August 25, 2003 2:59 PM

Subject: RE:

(703) 697-3723

No. I haven't heard anything. When you have an opportunity, contact AFIP to determine whether they do or do not intend to respond to GTMO in the event of a detainee death. Thanks for the reminder.

----Original Message----

From:

Sent: Monday, August 25, 2003 1:50 PM

Subject: RE: COL Fortune (703) 697-3723

any other queries on this confusion?

----Original Message--

From:

2003 3:39 PM Tuesday, August 19, Sent:

Cc:

Subject: RE:

(703) 697-3723

Importance: High

This was discussed at length. The foundation supporting our response "to" GTMO in the event of a detainee death was the simultaneous response by AFIP, where the autopsy would be performed. This was discussed with the CG staff in GTMO as well. In fact, the Sep02 MOU between the JTF and AFIP (which specifically references our involvement) discusses the question now is puzzling, and conduct of "mass casualty" autopsies on-location. leads me to believe his staff may now be overworked. I've 'cc' our Forensic Consultant for information. He was involved "on the ground" during these discussions.



```
----Original Message--
                                  belvoir.army.mill
                  (mailto:
 From:
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 3:14 PM
To: '
         @NCIS.NAVY.MIL'; '
                                       ncis.navv.mil'
            ncis.navy.mil'; '
                                     NCIS.NAVY.MIL';
      @ @NCIS.NAVY.MIL'
Subject: Fw:
                    (703) 697–3723
Did you guys already have this.worked out when they had the attempted
suicide that MPGT responded to?
This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----Original Message----
                              @belvoir.army.mil>
From:
            @NCIS.NAVY.MIL' <</pre>
                              encis.NAVY.MIL>
            @NCIS.NAVY.MIL' <
                                  @NCIS.NAVY.MIL>
Sent: Tue Aug 19 15:13:03 2003
                    (703) 697–3723
Subject: Fw: 1
     - a heads up. FYI...MF
This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----Original Message----
                              From:
                     @us.army.mil' ∢
To:
                                                   @us.army.mil>;
                                               @belvoir.army.mil>;
               @belvoir.army.mil' <
              @belvoir.army.mil' ◁
                                             @belvoir.army.mil>;
             @bem102.belvoir.army.mil'
            t@beml02.belvoir.army.mil>
               @belvoir.army.mil'
                                             @belvoir.army.mil>
Sent: Tue Aug 19 15:12:38 2003
                      (703) 697–3723
Subject: Re: 1
You may want to consider getting NCISHQ in the loop with GTMO being a Navy
base...they are who would respond and who JTF-GTMO would refer the matter
to.
This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----Original Message
From:
                                             @us.army.mil>
                                    @belvoir.army.mil>;
To: 1
             @belvoir.army.mil>;
            @beml02.belvoir.army.mil>
                           @belvoir.army.mil>
Sent: Tue Aug 19 13:47:32 2003
                       (703) 697-3723
Subject: FW:
```

Pls contact the CDR and get the definition of the issue. Think we need to talk to Army and DOD GC, as well as CID Command on this. Personally, I suspect that remains should probably NOT be brought to the U.S. for legal reasons. Let me know what you all come up with prior to reply. Also need to know if this is a genereal question or a specific issue with a body now??

Commander,
DoD Criminal Investigation Task Force

"Formidolosus Venators"

----Original Message----

From:

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 1:35 PM

To:

Cc: Subject:

1702) (07 2723

Sir,

Medical Examiner (AFIP), (301) 319-0000. He want's to know if a Detainee dies in custody could the remains be brought back to Dover AFB to perform the Autopsy or does the remains have to be processed back in Germany? Thought that this would be better answered by someone in the CID chain of command.

USA

Criminal Investigation Task Force Executive Administrative Assistant (703) 805-2947

From: Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 3:39 PM To: Cc: (703) 697-3723 Subject: importance: High This was discussed at length. The foundation supporting our response "to" GTMO in the event of a detainee death was the simultaneous response by AFIP, where the autopsy would be performed. This was discussed with the CG staff in GTMO as well. In fact, the Sep02 MOU between the JTF and AFIP (which specifically references our involvement) discusses the conduct of "mass casualty" autopsies on-location. question now is puzzling, and leads me to believe his staff may now be overworked. I've 'cc' our Forensic Consultant for information. He was involved "on the ground" during these discussions. ----Original Message-----[mailto: @belvoir.army.mil] Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 3:14 PM NCIS.NAVY.MIL'; '@mail'@ncis.navy.mil' encis.navy.mil'; 'managencis.navy.mil'; @NCIS.NAVY.MIL (703) 697-3723 Subject: Fw: Did you guys already have this worked out when they had the attempted suicide that MPGT responded to? This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld ----Original Message----@belvoir.army.mil> From: @NCIS.NAVY.MIL' < PROPRIET ON CIS.NAVY.MIL> encis.navy.mil' < encis.navy.mil> Sent: Tue Aug 19 15:13:03 2003 Subject: Fw: (703) 697-3723 - a heads up. FYI...MF This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld --Original Message-----@belvoir.army.mil> From: To: @us.army.mil' 🗬 @us.army.mil>; @belvoir.army.mil' < belvoir.army.mil>; @belvoir.army.mil' < belvoir.army.mil>; @beml02.belvoir.army.mil' @bem102.belvoir.army.mil> **DODDON 000282** Sent: Tue Aug 19 15:12:38 2003 Subject: Re: **7**(703) 697-3723

You may want to consider string NCISHQ in the loop with 40 being a Navy base...they are who would respond and who JTF-GTMO would refer the matter to.

This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: @us.army.mil>
To: @belvoir.army.mil>;

@belvoir.army.mil>;

@beml02.belvoir.army.mil>
CC: @belvoir.army.mil>
Sent: Tue Aug 19 13:47:32 2003

(703) 697-3723

Pls contact the CDR and get the definition of the issue. Think we need to talk to Army and DOD GC, as well as CID Command on this. Personally, I suspect that remains should probably NOT be brought to the U.S. for legal reasons. Let me know what you all come up with prior to reply. Also need to know if this is a genereal question or a specific issue with a body now??

ble

DoD Criminal Investigation Task Force

"Formidolosus Venators"

From:
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 1:35 PM
To:
Cc:

Subject:

Subject: FW:

(703) 697-3723

Sir,

Medical Examiner (AFIP), (301) 319-0000. He want's to know if a Detainee dies in custody could the remains be brought back to Dover AFB to perform the Autopsy or does the remains have to be processed back in Germany? Thought that this would be better answered by someone in the CID chain of command.

USA

Criminal Investigation Task Force Executive Administrative Assistant (703) 805-2947

Did you guys already have this worked out when they had the attempted suicide that MPGT responded to?

This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Belvoir.army.mil>
To: 'Month of the control o

- a heads up. FYI...

This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

--Original Message-@belvoir.army.mil> From: To: @us.army.mil' < %@us.army.mil>; t@belvoir.army.mil' @belvoir.army.mil>; belvoir.army.mil' @belvoir.army.mil>; @bem102.belvoir.army.mil' @bem102.belvoir.army.mil> belvoir.army.mil' belvoir.army.mil> Sent: Tue Aug 19 15:12:38 2003 (703) 697-3723 Subject: Re:

You may want to consider getting NCISHQ in the loop with GTMO being a Navy base...they are who would respond and who JTF-GTMO would refer the matter to.

This is sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

```
From:

To:

Cebelvoir.army.mil>;

CC:

Cebelvoir.army.mil>

CC:

Cebelvoir.army.mil>

CC:

Cebelvoir.army.mil>

Sent: Tue Aug 19 13:47:32 2003

Subject: FW:

County Description:

Subject: FW:

County Description:

Subject: FW:

County Description:

County Descr
```

Pls contact the CDR and get the definition of the issue. Think we need to talk to Army and DOD GC, as well as CID Command on this. Personally, I suspect that remains should probably NOT be brought to the U.S. for legal reasons. Let me know what you all come up with prior to reply. Also need to know if this is a genereal question or a specific issue with a body now??

Dob Criminal Investigation Task Force

"Formidolosus Venators"

----Original Message----

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2003 1:35 PM

To:

Cc:

Subject:

(703) 697-3723

Sir,

called to relay a message from Medical Examiner (AFIP), (301) 319-0000. He want's to know if a Detainee dies in custody could the remains be brought back to Dover AFB to perform the Autopsy or does the remains have to be processed back in Germany? thought that this would be better answered by someone in the CID chain of command.

USA

Criminal Investigation Task Force Executive Administrative Assistant (703) 805-2947