

**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AF 180-4A; the proponent agency is ODCBOPS

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 205; E.O. 1337 dated November 22, 1943 (SAC).

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be securely

**ROUTINE USES:** Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

**LOCATION**103 HOTEL NAF IDQD  
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME

2. DATE 11/11/2003 3. TIME 20040617 1000

## 4. FILE NUMBER

7. GRADE/STATUS  
O-3/PA

## 5. ORGANIZATION/ON ADDRESS

HQB 12-3 FA

B6

S6

## 6.

1. [REDACTED] B6

## , WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH.

My first day as the S-2 for [REDACTED] was on 22 December 2003. My responsibility was ensuring all the paperwork from the beginning, sworn statements to detention Coalition forms were squared away, along with making sure any evidence collected associated on the proper forms, and once we transported those individuals, making sure all their property went with them.

[REDACTED] where they lived, if they knew certain individuals, what mosque they go to.

[REDACTED] I always conducted them in the interpreter's room, which is no bigger than a small shed. We were told [REDACTED] the Brigade provided us with a TRT team so they could be at our level to question them, and [REDACTED] whole team [REDACTED] we would just process the information on detainees. [REDACTED] The Brigade was very good about telling us that we had to get the detainees up there.

[REDACTED] There [REDACTED] were individuals the battalion had tracked as financiers, bombing out and selling weapons, and the brothers were the head of individuals who were involved in anti-coalition activities. I didn't know much about them until they turned themselves in.

[REDACTED] In the databases we got, the first thing they'd say was, "we know you [REDACTED] but now somebody else has swapped in [REDACTED]", and a lot of the information from databases was about them. They were detained on 24 December 03; they turned themselves in because they thought they were going to get released. [REDACTED] know they were at Abu Garib on the 1st of January. I'm not sure where they were detained, either at our front gate, or the [REDACTED] front gate. They came in and talked to the [REDACTED] personnel.

[REDACTED] I don't know where they were interrogated. [REDACTED] only talked to [REDACTED] to get their information in the interpreter's room and the QDA talked to them in the same spot. It's not to my knowledge that the [REDACTED] ever took detainees out of our facility and took them somewhere else to interrogate them. I was right outside the tent the whole time the QDA talked to them. I've never heard anybody screaming in pain from the interrogation room. The [REDACTED] was fairly aggressive in their interrogations, but I don't believe they did anything abusive; I'd be able to hear it and tell. For comparison, an Army drill sergeant and the interrogator would be about the same in terms of intensity. To my knowledge [REDACTED] never took [REDACTED] and her brothers from my facility to interrogate them elsewhere. I'm not a 100% sure but I think the TRT team interrogated them as well.

[REDACTED] was former IP. He was good at giving information on the locals and what was going on. [REDACTED] was never used to interrogate or interpret during questioning by us or the [REDACTED]. It's not possible that any of the detainees [REDACTED] were removed from that facility and brought somewhere else and turned over to [REDACTED] or anybody else for interrogations. He didn't work for us at the battalion; he wasn't even there in December. I sometimes used interpreters from Bravo Battery, some from HQB. I used [REDACTED] his last name was [REDACTED] I have heard him called [REDACTED]. It's not possible that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] would have access, with soldiers, to interrogate any member of the [REDACTED] family. Nobody moved any detainees without my approval, and I would personally know. The only time we would move detainees was to ship them.

## 10. EXHIBIT

## 11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT" [REDACTED] TAKEN AT [REDACTED] DATED [REDACTED]

B6 PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

DA FORM 2823, DEC 1968

DA FORM 2823, JUL 72, IS OBSOLETE

MAYA V1.0

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Formless Report - Annex 93

**USE THIS PAGE IF NEEDED. IF THIS PAGE IS NOT NEEDED, PLEASE PROCEED TO FINAL PAGE OF THIS FORM.**

**STATEMENT OF**

TAKEN AT NATAF, Iraq DATED 20040617

#### **B. STATEMENT /Comments**

The QMRA name got a dog right when we were about to leave; I don't know the dog's name. The only incident of abuse I've heard was from a Washington Post article where a man claimed to have been beaten by an Egyptian officer at Admilya Palace, and that was probably a couple months ago. Detainees knew who the [redacted] was and that he was working with Coalition forces and that he was a former IP. There were constant claims of detainees saying he beat them, we discounted it because it wasn't possible, and he very never was with them. Word on the street was if you were a detainee and say you got beaten, they'll let you go. These would be the most serious cases. We were told [redacted] at the Brigade CSM, from an apparent secret agent. He did get transferred and admitted to the Brigade detention facility. He was the only one of the Na's picked up in a raid at the coffee shop around the 21st or 22nd of December. [redacted] was an alcoholic, and he was still drunk when I saw him, we later found out that he was mentally impaired as well. He defecated in his pants, stripped his pants off, we cleaned him up and a soldier donated a pair of jeans to him, you could tell he was in detox. He was having hallucinations that he was still in a bar. We told the Brigade that we needed to release this guy but they said no, he's a soldier, we need to talk to him. After he was admitted to the Brigade, they sent him to the hospital. The only allegations I've ever heard were, "he slapped me," and "he kicked me". I would characterize [redacted] conduct during interrogations as custodial. The only stress position I ever saw them use was having the guy kneel in front of [redacted] when they were talking to him. I don't recall Aif destroying any physical problems.

because it wouldn't start. We got it fixed right before we left. When they were in the "phone booth" detention area, detainees either slept sitting or the concrete pad in front. We provided them with blankets. The ODA never pulled anybody from our D-Cell. When they left our location they went straight to the Brigade. I was there from 22 December until the 2nd week of April maybe. I did not know times when they started their own temporary holding facility. There was a policy that came down from the Brigade saying, we could not take detainees down to the CTCU-7 without them to hold onto their detainees at their level. The Brigade had a problem with the ODA because they didn't share the tactical information (identity and who the guy was tied to) with them. Detainees wasn't as good as they were with the paperwork aspect. They wouldn't report to the Brigade where they would report to the Brigade. ODA stopped taking detainees about a week before our TOA date, early April. I had a good relationship with the ODA. We talked about database one, paperwork, and feeding detainees, but he never asked for my professional opinion. Most of the time we fed detainees MREs twice a day, sometimes we gave them hot chow. I have no reason to believe that the ODA or anyone else has ever abused detainees. All we had to do was question detainees; we had no need to treat detainees badly. We had a guard at the detention facility there 24/7, and nobody's allowed there. A guard wouldn't be intimidated by detainees. Nobody was allowed in our D-Cell.

had his pants down. I got called I went down there and had him take his pants off, had the medics look at him, we got him new pants, and then he was fine. At that time, it was him and probably eight other individuals, all blindfolded with their backs turned, and then we cleaned him up in the small D-Cell. His sisters and brother were not there. I worked on average 15, 16 hours a day. Probes, electrocutions, were not possible because I was present every time. The allegations are totally false.

1. Sometimes longer than 6 hours to P&O houses or other Target locations. etc.
  2. [REDACTED] and her brothers thought they could talk themselves out of trouble, but when faced with the evidence they realized they were going to get Detained. They were very upset because of this, they thought the COA Double Crossed them. [REDACTED] Bl
  3. About a week later Brigida changed that policy again, so we began taking the detainees as long as COA provided interview reports. [REDACTED] Bl
  4. After learning the System [REDACTED] did a lot better, and sent reports with all detainees [REDACTED] Bl
  5. This incident is the same as the one I described in my statement, this page too/1st paragraph. line [REDACTED] Bl

**INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT**

PAGE 2. DA FORM 2522, 20C 1986

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES  
MAYA VI

~~-SECRET//NOFORN~~

## **Formica Report - Annex 9B**

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED]

DATE 11/20/17

B. STATEMENT - (Continued)

NOT USEP

AFFIDAVIT

WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1 AND ENDS ON PAGE 5. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CONNECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

[Signature of Person Making Statement]

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007  
[Signature] NATAF, TAMP

A.M. 136 VCM  
SUBMITTED TO ADMISSION COURT

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES  
USMIA 71.00

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Formica Report - Annex 28