

SECRET//NOFORN
Formica Report - Annex 83

DA FORM 2823, JUL 72
SUPERSEDES DA FORM 2823, 1 JAN 68, WHICH WILL BE USED.

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING STATEMENT OF TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____ CONTINUED.
THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT AND BE INITIALED
AS PAGE _____ OF _____ PAGES. WHEN ADDITIONAL PAGES ARE UTILIZED, THE BACK OF PAGE 1 WILL BE LINED OUT, AND THE
STATEMENT WILL BE CONCLUDED ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF ANOTHER COPY OF THIS FORM.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT
PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

[REDACTED]

B2

[REDACTED]

B2

[REDACTED]

B3

[REDACTED]

I stood up with the last force 4 February. As the DCO I have authority over the detention operations. So far we could all agree on how the procedure should work. I'm satisfied with the procedures, although there were a couple of hiccups, not with the results. Our M.P.'s noticed this, called me up and said something may have happened here. We conducted a 15-6 and found out the [REDACTED] was using a grave environment in their tactical interrogation center. The guy lost skin because he was in a kneeling position for an extended period of time, but there was no substantiation to the abuse allegation. The detainees are prone to exaggerate. One of the differences in AD North is the degree of transparency, we bring in local government officials week through the detention facility, and they get to talk to every detainee. The kneeling on gravel was discontinued. The second allegation was a guy who alleged he had been beaten up, beat, and some other specific things that I don't remember. He had evidence on his shirt which could have been taken, and his initials were blacked and missing. He alleged to the province council members whom they walked through. We went on his legs. He was holding his toes in any case. The bowing on his skin was caused by some medicine that the medics gave him. There was no abuse, but it did cause us to take a look and make sure we were operating on the same procedures for interrogations. That included a series of e-mails back and forth between our C-2 PMO, and CISOTF, to make sure we were operating under the same ROE. The leading from that was that we can't direct their activities over here. [REDACTED] a different chain of approval for using interrogation techniques that CTF-7 had restricted. It was wanted to use a stress position, our MI interrogator had to go to our approval official, but what was happening over here was that there was a stress chain going back to Baghdad, CISOTF. Their policy says O-5 commander approves. The other incident was the death of a detainee. We next argued the 3rd of April. I don't have any concerns of how CISOTF conducted detainee operations. A detainee alleged he was flex cut and dragged around a field and beaten up. We substantiated that it was the great causing this, which we discontinued. [REDACTED] The only issue I have is the elevated techniques. Are you using the correct INOH. Until last month we were using the September INOH from CTF-7. We found that the one that came out in October was substantially more restrictive. We asked, 'Are you guys using the right one?' They went back up their chain. But we were never able to bring everybody back together. We were using a Brigadier General that had been [REDACTED] the would always abuse and say 'I was laid down, sleep deprivation, in stress positions' and we saw we had not been doing those things, so it was apparent that the detainee was speaking about the tactical interrogation center. We were never able to get a firm answer back from C-2 channels, from CISOTF. I don't think the chain of approval is audited. We did everything necessary to make sure everybody was aware of what's going on at least in legal channels when people know this is happening. In the way they operate their facilities, especially [REDACTED] guys, they are absolutely professional. They follow their own rules, meticulous as hell. They're not most effective early up here in terms of kill/capture and making good use of battlefield intelligence. [REDACTED] That's what caused us to establish a procedure that amounts to hard recording detainees.

WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

LOCATION	WNSOL, Iraq
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, AKA NAME	[REDACTED]
ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	[REDACTED]
DATE	28 JUNE 04
TIME	[REDACTED]
FILE NUMBER	[REDACTED]
GRADE/RANK	CPT

Talk from [REDACTED] (MIR)

b6

For use of this form, see AF 150-45; the proponent agency is OCCDPR.

SWORN STATEMENT

STATEMENT (Continued)

~~_____~~ We have and will in the future make a determination to hold onto a guy longer because there's exploitative value there. That IROE applies to all that Task Force Olympia elements, capture and detain.

B2

END

NOT USED
NOT USED

AFFIDAVIT

I, _____, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1 AND ENDS ON PAGE _____. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

(Sumner to via electronic media)
(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 28th day of June, 1970 at MOSUL, IRAQ

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

~~_____~~
(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

B6

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

~~_____~~
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)
(Authority to Administer Oath)

B6

B6

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES
USAFPC V2.00

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~ ^U Formica Report - Annex 63