

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2851; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSN).
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately
ROUTINE USES: Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

1. LOCATION: Camp Victory Alpha, Baghdad, Iraq
2. DATE: 2004 Dec 7
3. TIME: 1627
4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME
6. SSN
7. GRADE/STATUS: CW2
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS: A Company, 501st MI BN Unit 93026 APO AG 09524-3021

WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

For IAD. The MOSs that are authorized to do interrogations are 351B and 97B. With the appropriate training for HUMINT personnel 97B and 351B can do interrogations. 18Fs and 180As also are authorized. I was at the Brigade during the operation involving the [redacted] left on emergency leave on the 26th of December and did not return until the 12th of January. We were getting all these prisoners coming into my brigade interrogation facility and my guys were going out there and they would have nothing on the detainees except that [redacted] says they're a bad guy. My guys were going out and doing these interrogations, really wanting their time, because they had no back of information to pick them up on. We explained that we needed sworn statements from [redacted]. The sworn statements that came down gave me the perception that [redacted] was involved with some of these interrogations. When I talked to [redacted] about this, he told me he was present any time [redacted] was in the room at the same time as a detainee. I never know whether he was involved with the interrogations, as far as leading an interrogation by himself. My understanding was they have S-2 personnel present for the tactical questioning with possibly [redacted] standing there with an interpreter. My only problem I have with this is that the S-2 personnel that is taking all this information down has no idea what is actually said. The interpreter there is a category one interpreter as a local national, he could be in cahoots with [redacted] and they could be dreaming up all this stuff while they're talking, and this S-2 personnel would have no idea what they're saying to the person and what he's being told exactly is happening because he does not speak Arabic. I've never trusted a [redacted]. We were in charge of screening all the translators. We've had problems with Category one translators before. There was one called [redacted] which came from C Battery that we later arrested for his contact with anti-Coalition elements. Our recommendations were followed at 2-3. [redacted] the battalion commander's personal category one was involved in numerous cases of blackmail, and [redacted]. I passed this information on to CID for investigation. [redacted] He was kind of a thing figure during the old regime. As part of the Iraqi police, he was a [redacted] of which [redacted].

He had great placement and [redacted] It seemed he was a power monger that liked the status, he liked people to fear him, he comes across as a great guy when you meet him, but there's too many people in Adamiya that don't see it the same way. It's hard to get that opinion because Adamiya is the Ba'ath party stronghold of Baghdad. So when you get a guy not going to the same beat of the drum as these people it's hard to balance out who's right who's wrong. There is a likelihood that the people in the neighborhood would have a motivation to implicate [redacted]. I don't believe that ODA members removed detainees from 2-3 and took them elsewhere and have [redacted] interrogate them. It's possible that the ODA could have taken a detainee out of 2-3 to another location and allow [redacted] to have access with or without them but it doesn't seem plausible. If 2-3 said that they never let anyone take detainees out of their facility I would believe that ODA never took them out. I've not heard any allegations of abuse at the [redacted], except from the prisoners themselves. I am not aware of any allegations of beatings with brass knuckles, electrocutions or rectal probes. If I would of heard anything like that there would have been a full investigation. The one time that we did find clear evidence of abuse, we took photographs of the prisoners' bruises and notified [redacted], who then immediately launched a 15-6 investigation. [redacted] is a liar. We get stories from our sources even from out on the streets. When we first got here the Corps facility didn't seem it was running optimally, and a lot of people were getting released. Word got out that if you kept your mouth shut you'd get released. There were TTPs out there on how to get released, and one of them since the fall was abuse. Detainees who were hooded and didn't know that [redacted] was in the room, would bang their head against the wall and later claim they were abused. There's a significant amount of fabrication and deception by detainees about abuse. I read in the SIR that was written on [redacted] that she still claimed that a different relative, and not her brother, was abused. It appears suspect to me that if [redacted] brother had truly been abused that she would not have

10. EXHIBIT
11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT
PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT TAKEN AT DATED

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE BE INDICATED.

FORM 2823, DEC 1998

DA FORM 2823, JUL 72, IS OBSOLETE

USAPA V1.03

SECRET//NOFORN Formica Report - Annex 75

USE THIS PAGE IF NEEDED. IF THIS PAGE IS NOT NEEDED, PLEASE PROCEED TO FINAL PAGE OF THIS FORM.

DP

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED]

TAKEN AT Camp Victory Abaco DATED 20/1/67

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

mentioned it to one of my interrogators at the time of her interrogations. Instead, [REDACTED] is now making this claim along with her brother as if she had reported it earlier, which she had not. [REDACTED] would have had the chance at 1st Brigade's interrogation/increment facility to have conversed with her brother and relay this information herself, but she did not, as far as I know from what their SDRs state. This makes her claim now appear suspect on [REDACTED] brother's case.

END

Nothing Follows

Nothing Follows

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

FORM 2, 1955 (REV. 2/23, DEC 1966)

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES

USAPA VI.00

~~SECRET/NOFORN~~

Formosa Report - Annex 75

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED]

TAKEN AT Camp Victory North DATED 20040617

136

B. STATEMENT (Continued)

Not Used

Not Used

AFFIDAVIT

I, [REDACTED], HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 2. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

b6

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 17 day of June, 2004 at Camp Victory North

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

[REDACTED]
(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

[REDACTED]
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)
Art. 136, UCMJ
(Authority To Administer Oath)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT: [REDACTED]

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

3, DA FORM 2823, DEC 1998

USAPA V1.00

SECRET/NOFORN

Foreign Report - Annex 75