

Mr. Army G3/AOC

(b)(6)-2

From: [REDACTED] MAJ PMG (b)(6)-2
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 1:01 PM
To: [REDACTED] MAJ OPMG (b)(6)-2
Subject: DAS Way Ahead Briefing (UNCLASSIFIED)

Attachments: DO Update Briefv2 -- Way Ahead.ppt

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

[REDACTED] here is the briefing if you can continue working.

thx

(b)(6)-2



DO Update Briefv2
- Way Ahead...

MAJ [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2
HQDA, OPMG (DAPM-ZC)
Strategic Planner/Integration
Pentagon RM [REDACTED]

Comm [REDACTED] (DSN 222)
Email to: [REDACTED] @HQDA.Army.mil

(b)(6)-2

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

016362

DSN [REDACTED]

(b)(6)-2

From: [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2004 8:48 AM
To: [REDACTED] MAJ PMG
Cc: (b)(6)-2 [REDACTED] MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] Mr HQDA DCS G-3/5/7/MPRI; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] COL VDAS; [REDACTED] LTC VDAS; [REDACTED] Mr PMG; [REDACTED] COL HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Subject: RE: G3FM ADOP Plan (UNCLASSIFIED)

Roger, thanks. (b)(6)-2

Concur -- intent is not to pursue an immediate Materiel solution, but to create a Doctrine task to assess force protection requirements in this environment, then, if determined appropriate, execute Materiel and Organization tasks to resource as necessary.

Thanks again

LTC [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2
MI/IO Organizational Integrator (OI)
Army G3 (DAMO-FMO)
COMM [REDACTED]
DSN [REDACTED] } (b)(6)-2

From: [REDACTED] MAJ PMG (b)(6)-2
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 5:41 PM
To: [REDACTED] COE HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Cc: [REDACTED] MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] Mr HQDA DCS G-3/5/7/MPRI; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] COL VDAS; [REDACTED] LTC VDAS; [REDACTED] Mr PMG (b)(6)-2
Subject: RE: G3FM ADOP Plan (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Yes Ma'am, I think this one needs some clarification:

I don't know that we need to immediately populate this particular issue into the "material bin" and chase weapon systems. This issue mainly focuses on external defenses. Really gets to the issue of detainee deaths/injuries from external insurgents and the command/role confusion regarding Force Protection at Abu Ghraib (implied task as an outcome of Taguba Report and DAIG Report).

[REDACTED] (b)(5)-1
Policy already requires risk analysis, assessment, and mitigation to provide for detainee safety. Maybe that solution is that doctrine provides the guidance that addresses the issue that commanders must task organize assets, based on their risk assessment, to meet protection measures. It may require a CS MP PLT or some other level of force.

MG Ryder was just looking at a way to capture the requirement or that we need to capture the requirement of defending facilities in a COE that does not have the relative security of a Rear Area. We foresee future facilities established anywhere across a non-linear environment.

v/r [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2

MAJ [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2
HQDA, OPMG (DAPM-ZC)
Strategic Planner/Integration
Pentagon RM [REDACTED]

016363

Comm: [REDACTED] (DSN 222)
Email to: [REDACTED]@HQDA.Army.mil

3 (b)(6)-2

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] COL HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 5:05 PM
To: [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Cc: [REDACTED] MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] MAJ PMG; [REDACTED] Mr HQDA DCS G-3/5/7/MPRI; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] COL VDAS; [REDACTED] LTC VDAS; [REDACTED] Mr PMG
Subject: RE: G3FM ADOP Plan (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b)(6)-2

(b)(6)-2

(b)(6)-2

Does MG Ryder want heavy Crew Served Weapons or is he referring to the M249s? The Saw is one of the crew served weapons that is compatible with the M16s - if we choose to go with heavier weapons then comes the question of repair, armor skills, ammo, storage, etc. First get clarity on the M249 vs other Crew Serve Weapons before we start changing other areas.

Do we have the M249s and other weapons resourced as required in the TOE? Ensure we get the question clarified as you have asked in the last sentence of your email then we can proceed.

Thanks,

COL [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 4:58 PM
To: [REDACTED] MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] LTC VDAS; [REDACTED] MAJ PMG; [REDACTED] Mr
Cc: (b)(6)-2 { [REDACTED] PMG; [REDACTED] Mr HQDA DCS G-3/5/7/MPRI; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] COL HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; [REDACTED] COL VDAS
Subject: RE: G3FM ADOP Plan (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b)(6)-2

BACKGROUND: When briefing MG Ryder on the Materiel slide this morning, he expressed concern about number of crew served weapons for the MPs -- stated he believed the Taguba report cited this as a force protection shortcoming (I did a quick search of the Taguba report -- found no reference to crew served weapons, but that doesn't make his point any less valid -- maybe it came from another report?).

CURRENT SIT: the new IR Companies do not have/will not have crew served weapons -- they do have/will have M9s, M16s, M249s, and shotguns

(b)(5)-1 { [REDACTED]

MAJ [REDACTED] is this even the issue the General was getting at this morning? Let me know if I'm off-base here -- thanks

LTC [REDACTED]
MI/O Organizational Integrator (OI)
Army G3 (DAMO-FMO)
COMM [REDACTED]
DSN [REDACTED]

(b)(6)-2

3 (b)(6)-2

016364

From: [REDACTED] MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 } (b)(6)-2
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2004 3:11 PM
To: [REDACTED] LTC VDAS
Cc: (b)(6)-2 { [REDACTED] LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 [REDACTED] Mr HQDA DCS G-3/5/7/MPRI; [REDACTED] LTC HQDA
Subject: DCS G-3/5/7 [REDACTED] COL HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
G3FM ADOP Plan (UNCLASSIFIED)

These are the tasks for FM (MP) for the DOAP. Pls incorporate in the matrix, these obviously will drive the "verbs" for the plan [REDACTED] (b)(6)-2

<< File: DO G3FM tasks (24 AUG 04)1.doc >>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

016365