From: ████████ MAJ PMG   (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 12:58 PM
To: ████████ COL VDAS; ████████ LTC VDAS   (b)(6)-2
Cc: ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████
████████ Mr PMG; ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ Mr. DCS, ⎱ (b)(6)
████████ G-2; ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; '████████ LTC OTSG' ⎰
████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7
Subject: RE: Detainee Ops defined (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: **UNCLASSIFIED**
Caveats: FOUO

Sir,

MG Ryder reviewed your notes and understands the importance of issues you were working. Because of the issues regarding EA, span of control, policy oversight, etc -- he does not want to create a new term, which based on planning levels, may have unintended future implications.

To avoid commingling two separate but synchronized operations, he wants to call the plan "Army Detainee and Interrogation Operations Plan." He didn't say, but I don't think use of the words "execution" or "implementation" was objectionable.

He is reviewing the definition and will let us know.

v/█████ (b)(6)-2

MAJ ████████   (b)(6)-2
HQDA, OPMG (DAPM-ZC)
Strategic Planner/Integration
Pentagon RM████████

Comm: ████████ (DSN 222)   ⎱ (b)(6)-2
Email to: ████████@HQDA.Army.mil ⎰

-----Original Message-----
From: ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/DAMO-TRI   (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 12:31 PM
To: ████████ COL VDAS; ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ Mr PMG; ████████ LTC VDAS; ⎱
████████ CPT HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ MAJ PMG; ████████ Mr. DCS, G-2; ⎰ (b)(6)-2
████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 ████████ LTC OTSG'
Cc: ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7   (b)(6)-2
Subject: RE: Detainee Ops defined

COL ████████   (b)(6)-2
Sir, it is my understanding that ████████████████████████████████████

██

██   (b)(5)-1

██

(b)(6)-2
I may be incorrect, so I included LTC ████████ on this message to clarify if that is indeed the received guidance.

I may, however, offer the following ████████████████████████████

1. ████████████████████████████████
2. ████████████████████████████████   (b)(5)-1
3. ████████████████████████████████
4. ████████████████████████████████
5. ████████████████████████████████

016308

ACLU-RDI 2220 p.1

DOD-048467

MAJ ████████ (b)(6)-2
Detainee Operations Task Force (Planning Cell)
████████ DSN 664 (b)(6)-2

From: ████████ COL VDAS (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 12:06 PM
To: (b)(6)-2 ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ Mr PMG; ████████ LTC VDAS ████████ CPT HQDA
DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ MAJ PMG; ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ Mr. DCS, G-2;
████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ LTC OTSG'
Subject: RE: Detainee Ops defined

(b)(5)-1 ██

██

1) ██

2) ██

██

██

██

Thoughts??? Any other ideas. This is a tough one.

COL ████ (b)(6)-2

From: ████████ COL VDAS (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 10:44 AM
To: ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 (b)(6)-2
Cc: (b)(6)-2 ████████ Mr PMG; ████████ LTC VDAS ████████ CPT HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ MAJ PMG;
████████ COL ████████ MAJ HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ Mr. DCS, G-2; ████████ MAJ
HQDA DCS G-3/5/7; ████████ LTC OTSG
Subject: RE: Detainee Ops defined

(b)(6)-2 ████████ I think the definition as I think we are using it for the purposes of this plan should be sufficiently broad to in fact
cover from the point of capture and should include interrogation / HUMINT collection operations.

From: ████████ LTC HQDA DCS G-3/5/7 (b)(6)-2
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2004 10:13 AM
To: ████████ COL VDAS; ████████ Mr PMG; ████████ Mr. DCS, G-2 (b)(6)-2
Subject: Detainee Ops defined

Here's a first ██████ definition for Detainee Operations, based primarily on scanning FM3-19.40, Military Police
Internment/Resettlement Operations --

██ (b)(5)-1

████ ██████████ aspects of interrogation ████████████ operations -- should ██
██████████████████████████████████ under MP control ██

016309

DOD-048468

(b)(5)

v/r,
LTC ███ (b)(6)-2

Classification: <u>UNCLASSIFIED</u>
Caveats: FOUO

016310