

IAD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 1 OF 3	
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER IAD STAFF		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
<u>Unit Inspected:</u> 2ACR/203 rd EN BN				
<u>Location:</u> Camp Duke, An Najaf, Iraq				
<u>Date:</u> 13 May 2004				
<u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT</u>				
I. Detainee Holding Facility Management:				
a). Established Plans/SOPs/Procedures.....		X		
b). Compliance to FRAGO(s), Policies, AR(s), SOP(s), etc.....		X		
d). Facility Staff/Guard training			X	
e). Detainee Handling/Discipline Procedures.....		X		
II. Detainee Holding Facility Security.....		X		
III. Detainee Processing and Tracking.....		X		
IV. Interrogation Procedures/Methods.....		X		
V. Compliance to Laws of War.....		X		
VI. Detainee Evidence/Property Accountability.....		X		
VII. Detainee Health and Welfare:				
a). Humane Treatment .& Hygiene.....		X		
b). Medical		X		
c). Morale.....		X		
Outbrief to Commander or designee completed? Yes)				

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITIES OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 2 of 3		
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER 1AD STAFF				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<p align="center"><u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT COMMENTS:</u></p> <p>1). Inspection Team consisted of:</p> <p>a). : Inspected facility management, compliance to Laws of War, Property/Evidence accountability, and Morale issues.</p> <p>b).): Inspected Medical, Humane Treatment, and Hygiene.</p> <p>c). : Inspected Detainee Processing/Tracking and Interrogation Methods.</p> <p>d). : Inspected Facility Security.</p> <p>2). Comments: Although facility is considered a 2ACR facility, it is operated by 203rd EN BN. Overall the unit/facility are doing well in the area of Detainee Operations. They have only been operating this facility for a few weeks and have made great strides to ensure their operations are in compliance to governing directives. Unit needs to continue in its efforts to build a suitable facility that will protect the detainees from the harsh weather conditions and heat. Unit stated that they do have a work order in to do such. Unit needs to also continue to refine its security measures and procedures as identified in the PMO section of the inspection checklist. Lastly, unit needs to develop an adequate training program designed for detainee operations. Unit personnel were very receptive to the inspection team's comments and expect that unit will continue to lean-forward and make improvements to their detainee operations.</p>						

CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 3 OF 3			
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<u>REFERENCES</u>						
1. CJTF-7 FRAGO 006M: Detention of Civilians, dated 190200ZMar 03						
2. CJTF-7 FRAGO 209: Criminal Investigations ISO Final Victory, Detention Facility Operations, EPW, Criminal Detainees and Security Internee Status Determinations, and handling of Suspected War Criminals, dated 282021DJUN03.						
3. CJTF-7 FRAGO 741: Rules for the Use of Force (RUF) for Detention Facilities, dated 051553DMAY03.						
4. CJTF-7 FRAGO 749: Intelligence & Evidence-Led Detention Operations Relating to Detainees, dated 242320DAUG03.						
5. CJTF-7 Memorandum: Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 10 Sept 2003.						
6. CJTF-7 FRAGO 710: Evidence Collection Procedures, dated 301935DAPR04.						
7. CJTF-7 IG EPW/CI Holding/Internment Facility Inspection Checklist.						
8. 1AD FRAGO 539A: Procedures for Detainees of Intelligence Interest and Criminal Detainees, dated 302359AUG03.						
9. ENCLOSURE 8, 1AD FRAGO 539A: Criminal Detention Training Package						
10. ENCLOSURE 1, TO 1AD FRAGO 539A: Minimum Standards for Brigade Holding Facilities.						
11. 1AD FRAGO 623A: Detainee Processing, dated 212345DJUL03						
12. 1AD PAM 1-201: Command Inspection Checklist—Detainee Holding Facilities (September 03)						
13. 1AD PM Tactical Update, 20 Feb 04: Interrogation Techniques.						
14. 1AD Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 19 February 04.						
15. 1AD OPORD 04-006 (IRON SABRE), DATED 16 April 04.						
16. 1AD FRAGO 47A: AM TACTICAL UPDATE ORDER 29 April 04, to OPORD 04-006.						
17. AR 190-8: Enemy Prisoners of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees and other Detainees, dated 1 October 1997.						
18. FM 3-19.40: Military Police Internment/Resettlement Operations, dated 1 August 2001.						
19. AR 350-1: Army Training and Education, dated 9 April 2003.						
20. AR 190-40: Serious Incident Report, dated 30 November 1993.						
21. AR 40-5: Preventive Medicine, dated 15 October 1990						
22. FM 8-51: Combat Stress Control in a Theater of Operations Tactics, Techniques & Procedures, dated 30 January 1998.						

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 1 OF 6
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
1. Does the unit/facility have on hand copies of published FRAGO(s)/Tactical Updates applicable to Detainee Operations and Facility Management?.....			X	
2. Does the unit/facility comply with directives published in FRAGO(s)/ Tactical Updates?.....		X		
3. Does the unit/facility have on hand a copy of the latest 1AD Detainee Operations Inspection Checklists ?.....		X		
4. Does the unit/ facility have a copy of the previous inspection results/findings ?.....				X
5. Has the unit/facility corrected deficiencies/shortcomings found during previous inspections?.....				X
6. Is an adequate and current facility SOP published that addresses such issues as: physical security, guard procedures, ROI/ROE , CI In-processing, detainee health and welfare, detainee religious rights, detainee discipline, and intelligence collection and facility security/QRF procedures IAW CJTF-7 Detention Facility Inspection Checklist and CJTF FRAGO 741?.....			X	
7. Are interrogators utilizing approved interrogation methods IAW CJTF Interrogation Policy, 1AD Interrogation/Counter Resistance Policy & 1AD PM Tactical Update 20 Feb 04 ?.....		X		
8. Are procedures in place to separate women/minors/physically and mentally disabled detainees from adult male detainees IAW AR 190-8, para 3-4a & Enclosure 1 to 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....		X		
9. Are there procedural and training safeguards to prevent inhumane treatment and abuse of detainees IAW AR 1980-8 para. 1-5 (a-g) & para. 4 (b-c)?.....		X		

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 - CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 2 OF 6
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
10. Are facility personnel/staff aware of any actual or alleged incidents of detainee abuse, and/or mistreatment? No known cases of alleged or actual detainee abuse/mistreatment.....		X		
11. Have incidents (actual or alleged) of detainee mistreatment/abuse been reported through the chain of command and forwarded via SIR IAW AR 190-40, para 2-1/App. B?.....				X
12. Are there any indications of detainee mistreatment/abuse?..... NO.....		X		
13. Are written facility rules posted (native language) IAW AR 190-8, para. 6-10(b).....			X	
14. Does the unit/facility have established procedures to allow detainees to submit requests/complaints regarding the conditions of their confinement/internment (are the procedures posted detainees' native language) IAW AR 190-8, para 6-9?.....			X	
15. Does the unit/facility provide detainees with a copy of the 1949 Geneva Convention (in their own language) IAW AR 190-8, para. 3-4(a)?.....		X		
16. Are unit/facility personnel trained to conduct Detainee Operations (Geneva Convention, ROE/ROI, unlawful fraternization with Detainees, etc) IAW AR 350-1, para.4-14; CJTF-7 FRAGO 741; AR 190-8, para 3-6a; and FM 3-19.40 para. 2-48?) Is training documented?			X	
17. Does the unit/facility conduct training for newly assigned soldiers/facility staff, and sustainment training IAW AR 350-1 para 4-14c and Table G-1 Refresher Training?.....			X	

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 - CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 3 OF 6
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
18. Does the unit/facility conduct stress counseling and/or training on stress management techniques for guards/facility soldiers LAW FM 3-19.40, para 2-48?.....			X	
19. Does the facility utilize combat stress teams to conduct stress management training and counseling LAW FM 3-19.40, para 2-48 and FM 8-51, App. D, D-2 f(3) ?.....			X	
20. Are adequate control measures in place to maintain security and discipline within the facility ?.....		X		
Outbrief to Commander or designee completed? YES				

PERSONAL INFO Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 4 of 6		
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
Comments:						
<p>1. a). Issue: Does unit/facility have copies of published FRAGO(s) applicable to Detainee Operations ?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Facility did not have copies of many FRAGO(s) applicable to detainee operations; nor were they aware of the key FRAGO(s) that pertain to detainee operations. Facility is however in compliance to directives published in the FRAGO(s) for this area of the inspection.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Unit leadership needs to ensure that information pertaining to detainee operations is disseminated to the facility OIC.</p> <p>4/5. a) Issue: Does facility have a copy of the previous inspection results and corrected previously identified deficiencies? N/A</p> <p>b). Discussion: This facility had not been inspected before; this was the first inspection to include using this new 1AD inspection checklist.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Facility maintain a copy of this inspection and make the appropriate corrections, where warranted, before the next inspection.</p> <p>6. a). Issue: Does the facility have an adequate SOP?</p> <p>b). Discussion: facility SOP is very general in nature and does not include such specifics as: internal security procedures, training for guards/facility staff, and detainee in-processing (briefing of facility rules, filing complaints, etc).</p> <p>c). Recommendation: That the unit/facility continue to develop their SOP to include these items.</p> <p>7. a). Issue: Are Interrogators utilizing approved interrogation methods?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Although facility/interrogators were not aware that 1AD CG issued specific guidance (1AD Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 19 Feb. 03) that supplements CJTF's Interrogation Policy, the interrogators are in full compliance with established directives and do a very good job utilizing interrogation methods that are consistent with the humane treatment of detainees.</p> <p>c) Recommendation: Get a copy of the 1AD Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 19 Feb. 03 and keep up the good work in this area.</p>						

CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 5 of 6			
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
Comments:						
<p>9. a). Issue: Are there procedural and training safeguards in place to prevent inhumane treatment and abuse of Detainees?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Facility does have procedural safeguards in place to include NCO supervision and spot checking and a memo that the soldiers read and sign to confirm that they understand the "right" way to treat detainees. The facility however; does not have a training program in place to cover mandatory training of RUF, Fraternization, Laws of War, and Geneva Convention. This is a new checklist item that facility was not aware of. Facility OIC stated that he will develop a training program to cover these areas.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Unit/Facility develop a training program specifically designed for Detainee Operations and Detainee handling for the personnel who work at the facility.</p>						
<p>11. a) Issue: Have incidents (actual/alleged) of detainee abuse been reported through the chain of command?</p> <p>b). Discussion: N/A. Personnel interviewed have no knowledge of known or alleged incidents of detainee abuse/mistreatment.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: None</p>						
<p>13. a). Issue: Are written facility rules posted (in native language) ?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Unit/facility has not developed facility rules of which to brief each detainee.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Unit/facility develop a set of facility rules that are briefed to each detainee as they are in-processed; additionally, post the rules , in Arabic, so the detainees can see and read the rules. This requirement should be part of the unit's SOP; suggested to the unit that they develop an "in-processing checklist" that is used for in-processing each detainee and to include briefing facility rules as a checklist item.</p>						
<p>14. a). Issue: Does facility have a procedure for detainee to file complaints/requests?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Facility does not have any formal procedure in place of which detainees are aware that they can communicate their requests/complaints through facility staff. Interrogators, although, mentioned that they have designated one detainee in each area to be the spokesperson who can submit complaints to the facility staff.</p> <p>c) Recommendation: The facility develop a formal procedure for detainees to file complaints/requests. This procedure should be briefed to each detainee as they are in-processed and suggest this be part of the facility SOP and in-processing checklist as addressed in # 13 above.</p>						

 Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 -
 CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

IAD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY MANAGEMENT		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 6 of 6			
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<p style="text-align: center;">Comments:</p> <p>16/17.a). Issue: Are unit/facility personnel trained to conduct Detainee Operations?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Unit/facility personnel have been briefed on ROE/ROI, but not on many other required areas such as: Fraternalization with detainees, Geneva Convention, handling of property/evidence (DA Form 4137), stress management, etc) This is a new checklist item that facility was not aware of. Facility OIC stated that he will develop a training program to cover these areas.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Unit/Facility develop a training program specifically designed for Detainee Operations and Detainee handling for the personnel who work at the facility.</p> <p>18/19 a) Issue: Does unit/facility conduct stress counseling/training for facility personnel?</p> <p>b). Discussion: Unit has not conducted such; this is a new checklist item and unit was not aware of the requirement. Unit stated they will schedule this in the near future.</p> <p>c). Recommendation: Periodically, utilize combat stress teams to conduct stress counseling for soldiers working at the facility.</p>						

 Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-
 CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY SECURITY		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 1 OF 4
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
1. Does the outer perimeter include either a fence line topped with barbed wire; triple-strand concertina fence; or non-scalable wall IAW ENCL 1 to 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
(b)(2)-3				
3. Do holding cells lock from the outside IAW ENCL 1 TO 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
4. Is there a second perimeter fence for holding areas IAW ENCL 1 TO 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
5. Are detainee shelters within the second perimeter IAW ENCL 1 TO 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
6. Are armed guard forces of sufficient size to:				
a). Control all access points to the outer perimeter IAW ENCL 1 TO 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
b). Overwatch the remainder of the facility from a tower or rooftop IAW ENCL 1 TO 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		
(b)(2)-3				
7. Do guard towers have unobstructed views of the (clear zone) perimeter fence and the lanes between fences IAW FM 3-19.40, para 6-7 ?		X		
8. Do guard towers have reliable communications between the tower, guards and TOC/C2 IAW FM 3-19.40, para 6-7 ?		X		
9. Does the facility have adequate lighting to support its security plan IAW FM 3-19.40, para 6-7 ?		X		
10. Do holding area guards act to protect detainees from harmful actions of other detainees, guards, or external forces IAW Enclosure 1 to 1AD FRAGO 539A ?		X		

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 -
CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY SECURITY		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 04		PAGE 2 OF 4
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
11. Does the facility/unit have an adequate security plan/procedures in place IAW CJTF-7 Holding Facility Inspection Checklist ?		X		
12. Are guards knowledgeable of the security plan (QRF), ROE, use of force policies and self defense methods IAW FM 3-19.40, para. 2-29, FM 350-1, para 2-48 and CJTF FRAG0 741 ?		X		
(b)(2)-3				
14. Are weapons/rounds stored, secured and guarded , as appropriate, so detainees do not have access to the weapons storage area?		X		
15. Are unit/facility personnel trained to conduct Detainee Operations (Geneva Convention, ROE/ROI, Fraternalization with Detainees, etc) (IAW AR 350-1, para. 4-14; and AR 190-8 para 3-6a)			X	
16. Are facility guards/staff aware of any actual or alleged incidents of detainee abuse, and/or mistreatment ? NO		X		
17. Do facility guards/staff know the reporting reporting procedures if they observe or become aware of a detainee being abused (notify chain of command/IG/SJA, SIR requirement) IAW AR 190-8, para. 2-1 ?		X		

 Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 -
 CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

4021

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04	
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE PROCESSING/TRACKING	CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 1 OF 4	
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY	INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM	GO	NG	NA
2ACR BIF			
1. Does unit/facility enter the detainee in the ASASL database when the detainee is in-processed in to the Brigade holding area IAW 1 AD FRAGO 539A ?.....		X	
2. Does the unit/facility have copies (properly completed) of the CPA Forces Apprehension Form, with two statements (DA Form 2823) for detainee IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A/632A?..... (NOTE: Criminal—APP. Form, Statements, Evidence; Threat to Coalition—APP. Form, Statements, and SIR; Detainee of Intel Interests—App. Form & SIR)	X		
3. Does the unit/facility provide an area for intelligence collection efforts IAW CJTF Detainee Holding facility Inspection Checklist ?.....	X		
4. Does the unit/facility maintain an updated DD Form 629 (Receipt for Prisoner or Detained Person) log to maintain accountability of detainees IAW AR 190-8, CH 2 ?.....	X		
5. Are detainees in possession of Internment Serial Numbers (ISN) IAW CJTF FRAGO 739 ?.....			X
6. Is the facility receiving sufficient information from the capture paperwork, or subordinate facility, to properly conduct in processing, screenings and interrogations IAW 1AD FRAGO 623A ?.....	X		
7. Are interrogators utilizing approved interrogation methods IAW CJTF Interrogation Policy, 1AD Interrogation Policy 19 Feb 04, & 1AD PM Tactical Update 20 Feb 04 ?.....	X		
8. Does the battalion holding facility move detainees, and evidence; to the brigade holding facility within eight hours of capture IAW 11AD FRAGO 539A ?.....			X
9. Does the brigade holding facility submit an SIR (Summarized Interrogation Report) within 48 hours after capture IAW 1AD OPORD 04-006 ?.....		X	

CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE PROCESSING/TRACKING		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 2 OF 4
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
10. Does the brigade facility submit SIR(s) from subsequent interrogations every 24 hours for as long as the brigade has custody of the detainee IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....		X		
11. Does the brigade holding facility move "Division Targets", to the DIF within 72 hrs after capture IAW 1AD FRAGO 47A ?.....		X		
12. Does the brigade holding facility move detainees (not Division-Targets) to the DIF within 10 days after capture, extended to 14 with CG approval, IAW 1AD FRAGO 47A ?.....		X		
13. Does the brigade facility interrogate detainees within 72 hours from time of capture IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....		X		
14. Does the Brigade CDR, XO, S3, or S2 sign the SIR(s) prior to the transfer of the detainee to the DIF as a means to provide QC on the detainee's documentation to ensure they are complete and that the recommended disposition of the detainee is reflective of the commander's desires IAW 1AD FRAGO 623A ?.....		X		
15. Are criminal detainees moved from the brigade holding facility to the CHF within 72 hours of apprehension IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....			X	
16. Does the DIF hold detainees for only 96 hours, at which time they should receive final disposition instructions from the 1AD Command Group IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A?.....				X
17. Does the DIF provide SIR(s) every 24 hours on detainees with translation of documents, to include evidence seized with each detainee IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....				X

CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

IAD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE PROCESSING/TRACKING		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 3 OF 4
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
18. Does the DIF report four times daily a list of all detainees currently at the DIF and once daily a rollup of all persons currently held, or previously processed through the DIF IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....				X
19. Does the DIF have copies of written approval for each detainee that entailed disposition out of the DIF IAW 1AD FRAGO 539A ?.....				X
20. Does the DIF have approval documentation for all detainees sent to Abu Gurayb IAW 1AD FRAGO 47A ?.....				X
21. Are facility personnel/staff aware of any actual or alleged incidents of detainee abuse, and/or mistreatment ? NO.....		X		
Outbrief to Commander or designee completed? Y				

1 CONSULT INTO Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 -
 CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETANIEE PROCESSING/TRACKING		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 4 of 4		
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Question 1: No. This is due to connectivity issues with the DIV, the RGT and SQD have a suitable alternate method that works well.</p> <p>Question 9: No, SIRs are not provided within 36 hrs. This is mainly a manning issue as well as connectivity. RGT needs to enforce this with subordinates and I expect this to help considerably. As far as connectivity, the RGT will have to be more proactive in letting higher know of comms issues when they occur.</p> <p>Question 15: No, distance is a mitigating factor in this and a recommendation would be to adjust the timeline accordingly.</p> <p>Overall 2ACR is doing a very good job under less than ideal circumstances. They have a few problems, most can be fixed fairly easily and are RGT internal. There are some time based requirements from Division and Corps that need to be revised in order to make 2ACR a success; namely the movement of criminals in 72hrs.</p> <p>I feel that special attention/praise to (b)(6)-2 & (b)(7)(C)-2 He has organized his RGT's tracking system to meet published as well as ad hoc data calls from G2 and PMO. The tracking system that he developed for SIRs and evidence from detainees is stellar considering the condition that the RGT is working in.</p>						

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-
 CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

1 AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 17 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 1 OF 3	
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER 1AD STAFF		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
<p>Unit Inspected: RSS, 2ACR</p> <p>Location: Camp Echo, Iraq</p> <p>Date: 18 June 2004</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT</u></p> <p>I. Detainee Holding Facility Management:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a). Established Plans/SOPs/Procedures.....</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b). Compliance to FRAGO(s), Policies, AR(s), SOP(s), etc.....</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">d). Facility Staff/Guard training</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">e). Detainee Handling/Discipline Procedures.....</p> <p>II. Detainee Holding Facility Security.....</p> <p>III. Detainee Processing and Tracking.....</p> <p>IV. Interrogation Procedures/Methods.....</p> <p>V. Compliance to Laws of War.....</p> <p>VI. Detainee Evidence/Property Accountability.....</p> <p>VII. Detainee Health and Welfare:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a). Humane Treatment & Hygiene.....</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b). Medical</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">c). Morale.....</p> <p>Outbrief to Commander or designee completed? Yes</p>		X		
		X		
		X		
		X		
		X		
		X		
			X	
		X		
		X		
		X		

1 AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 17 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITIES OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 2 of 3		
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER IAD STAFF				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<p align="center"><u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT COMMENTS:</u></p> <p>1). Inspection Team consisted of:</p> <p>a). (---) : Inspected facility management, compliance to Laws of War, Property/Evidence accountability, and Morale issues.</p> <p>b). : Inspected Medical, Humane Treatment, and Hygiene.</p> <p>c). Inspected Detainee Processing/Tracking and Interrogation Methods.</p> <p>d). : Inspected Facility Security.</p> <p>2). Comments: Although unit has only been operating this facility for a few weeks, it was evident they have put forth very good effort to comply with governing directives. Although most inspected areas were noted as "GO"; the unit needs to continue to refine their detainee operations with special consideration for found deficiencies as noted in this checklist. Highlighted areas requiring attention include: evidence/property tagging and tracking, further development of their detainee operations training program, and documentation of their preventive medicine inspections. Overall, the unit/facility's operations are in good shape and associated personnel are leaning forward to make improvements.</p>						

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 04

4027

1 AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 17 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 3 OF 3	
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER 1AD STAFF		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
<u>REFERENCES</u>				
1. CJTF-7 FRAGO 006M: Detention of Civilians, dated 190200ZMar 03				
2. CJTF-7 FRAGO 209: Criminal Investigations ISO Final Victory, Detention Facility Operations, EPW, Criminal Detainees and Security Internee Status Determinations, and handling of Suspected War Criminals, dated 282021DJUN03.				
3. CJTF-7 FRAGO 741: Rules for the Use of Force (RUF) for Detention Facilities, dated 051553DMAY03.				
4. CJTF-7 FRAGO 749: Intelligence & Evidence-Led Detention Operations Relating to Detainees, dated 242320DAUG03.				
5. CJTF-7 Memorandum: Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 10 Sept 2003.				
6. CJTF-7 FRAGO 710: Evidence Collection Procedures, dated 301935DAPR04.				
7. CJTF-7 IG EPW/CI Holding/Internment Facility Inspection Checklist.				
8. 1AD FRAGO 539A: Procedures for Detainees of Intelligence Interest and Criminal Detainees, dated 302359AUG03.				
9. ENCLOSURE 8, 1AD FRAGO 539A: Criminal Detention Training Package				
10. ENCLOSURE 1, TO 1AD FRAGO 539A: Minimum Standards for Brigade Holding Facilities.				
11. 1AD FRAGO 623A: Detainee Processing, dated 212345DJUL03				
12. 1AD PAM 1-201: Command Inspection Checklist—Detainee Holding Facilities (September 03)				
13. 1AD PM Tactical Update, 20 Feb 04: Interrogation Techniques.				
14. 1AD Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 19 February 04.				
15. 1AD OPORD 04-006 (IRON SABRE), DATED 16 April 04.				
16. 1AD FRAGO 47A: AM TACTICAL UPDATE ORDER 29 April 04, to OPORD 04-006.				
17. AR 190-8: Enemy Prisoners of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees and other Detainees, dated 1 October 1997.				
18. FM 3-19.40: Military Police Internment/Resettlement Operations, dated 1 August 2001.				
19. AR 350-1: Army Training and Education, dated 9 April 2003.				
20. AR 190-40: Serious Incident Report, dated 30 November 1993.				
21. AR 40-5: Preventive Medicine, dated 15 October 1990				
22. FM 8-51: Combat Stress Control in a Theater of Operations Tactics, Techniques & Procedures, dated 30 January 1998.				

AETV-THI Form 1-201-R dated 1 July 2000

DA IG

4028

IAD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04		
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 1 OF 3	
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER IAD STAFF		
ITEM		GO	NG	NA
<p>Unit Inspected: 1 SQDN/2ACR</p> <p>Location: Camp Delta, Al Kut, Iraq</p> <p>Date: 13 May 2004</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT</u></p> <p>I. Detainee Holding Facility Management:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a). Established Plans/SOPs/Procedures.....X</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b). Compliance to FRAGO(s), Policies, AR(s), SOP(s), etc.....X</p> <div style="background-color: #cccccc; padding: 2px;">(b)(2)-3</div> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">c). Detainee Handling/Discipline Procedures.....X</p> <p>II. Detainee Holding Facility Security.....X</p> <p>III. Detainee Processing and Tracking.....X</p> <p>IV. Interrogation Procedures/Methods.....X</p> <p>V. Compliance to Laws of War.....X</p> <p>VI. Detainee Evidence/Property Accountability.....X</p> <p>VII. Detainee Health and Welfare:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a). Humane Treatment & Hygiene.....X</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b). MedicalX</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">c). Morale.....X</p> <p>Outbrief to Commander or designee completed? Yes (BN XO and</p>				

Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01-CORR-101, dtd 9 Nov 01

IAD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITIES OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004		PAGE 2 of 3		
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER IAD STAFF				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<p align="center"><u>OVERALL ASSESSMENT COMMENTS:</u></p> <p>1). Inspection Team consisted of:</p> <p>a). : Inspected facility management, compliance to Laws of War, Property/Evidence accountability, and Morale issues.</p> <p>b). : Inspected Medical, Humane Treatment, and Hygiene.</p> <p>c). : Inspected Detainee Processing/Tracking and Interrogation Methods.</p> <p>d). : Inspected Facility Security.</p> <p>2). Comments: Unit has only been operating the facility for a weeks weeks; considering such, it is evident that they have put forth good effort to ensure they are in compliance to governing directives. The areas, in priority order, that require the greatest attention are security, training, medical/hygiene. The enclosed checklist provides the details for these areas. Unit/facility staff appear to be leaning forward to improve their detainee operations. The unit should have no problem correcting the areas listed as NG.</p>						

AETV-THI Form 1-201-R dated 1 July 2000

DA IG

4030

1AD DETAINEE OPERATIONS INSPECTION CHECKLIST		DATE OF INSPECTION 13 MAY 04				
FUNCTIONAL AREA/SUBORDINATE AREA: DETAINEE HOLDING FACILITY OPERATIONS		CHECKLIST EFF DATE: September 2003 CHG (1) 6 May 2004	PAGE 3 OF 3			
INSPECTION OFFICE/AGENCY IG		INSPECTOR'S NAME & PHONE NUMBER 1AD STAFF				
ITEM				GO	NG	NA
<u>REFERENCES</u>						
1. CJTF-7 FRAGO 006M: Detention of Civilians, dated 190200ZMar 03						
2. CJTF-7 FRAGO 209: Criminal Investigations ISO Final Victory, Detention Facility Operations, EPW, Criminal Detainees and Security Internee Status Determinations, and handling of Suspected War Criminals, dated 282021DJUN03.						
3. CJTF-7 FRAGO 741: Rules for the Use of Force (RUF) for Detention Facilities, dated 051553DMAY03.						
4. CJTF-7 FRAGO 749: Intelligence & Evidence-Led Detention Operations Relating to Detainees, dated 242320DAUG03.						
5. CJTF-7 Memorandum: Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 10 Sept 2003.						
6. CJTF-7 FRAGO 710: Evidence Collection Procedures, dated 301935DAPR04.						
7. CJTF-7 IG EPW/CI Holding/Internment Facility Inspection Checklist.						
8. 1AD FRAGO 539A: Procedures for Detainees of Intelligence Interest and Criminal Detainees, dated 302359AUG03.						
9. ENCLOSURE 8, 1AD FRAGO 539A: Criminal Detention Training Package						
10. ENCLOSURE 1, TO 1AD FRAGO 539A: Minimum Standards for Brigade Holding Facilities.						
11. 1AD FRAGO 623A: Detainee Processing, dated 212345DJUL03						
12. 1AD PAM 1-201: Command Inspection Checklist—Detainee Holding Facilities (September 03)						
13. 1AD PM Tactical Update, 20 Feb 04: Interrogation Techniques.						
14. 1AD Interrogation and Counter Resistance Policy, dated 19 February 04.						
15. 1AD OPOD 04-006 (IRON SABRE), DATED 16 April 04.						
16. 1AD FRAGO 47A: AM TACTICAL UPDATE ORDER 29 April 04, to OPOD 04-006.						
17. AR 190-8: Enemy Prisoners of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees and other Detainees, dated 1 October 1997.						
18. FM 3-19.40: Military Police Internment/Resettlement Operations, dated 1 August 2001.						
19. AR 350-1: Army Training and Education, dated 9 April 2003.						
20. AR 190-40: Serious Incident Report, dated 30 November 1993.						
21. AR 40-5: Preventive Medicine, dated 15 October 1990						
22. FM 8-51: Combat Stress Control in a Theater of Operations Tactics, Techniques & Procedures, dated 30 January 1998.						

 Personal Info Redacted IAW Sec of Def Memo 01 -
 CORR-101, did 9 Nov 04

**CJTF-7, INSPECTOR GENERAL (IG), EPW/CI HOLDING/INTERMENT
FACILITY INSPECTION**

HOLDING _____ **FACILITY** _____ **INSPECTED:**

DATE _____ **OF** _____ **INSPECTION:**

CAMP _____ **COMMANDER/COMMANDANT:**

IG _____ **INSPECTION** _____ **TEAM:**

REFERENCES:

- (a) FM 3-19.40 (Internment/Resettlement Operations)
- (b) AR 190-8/MCO 3461.1 (Enemy Prisoners of War (EPW), Retained Personnel (RP), Civilian Internees (CI) and Other Detainees)
- (c) relevant FRAGOs

INSPECTION AREAS & PROCESS

1. EPW Facility Management: Does the EPW/CI facility commander ensure/provide for:

a. **Command and Control, and Operate/Administer, a Secure Camp?**
Specifically:

Is an adequate, **current and relevant camp SOP published** (and updated, as required), which fully implements requirements outlined in AR 190-8/MCO 3461.1; the SOP should include, minimally, all camp physical security, guard measures/procedures (internal, external and QRF) and a statement concerning applicable ROI/ROE for escapees; as well as all matters addressed below?

2. In-processing of Interned Prisoners (to include tagging, assignment of ISN, fingerprinting, photographing, and weighing, as required)?:

a. Describe accountability and/or tracking system used (e.g., BATS, NDRS)
[Note: for theatre level coalition holding facilities (CHF): BATS to NDRS (6 digit

DA IG

4032