

MNC-I CID Special Agent

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

From: [REDACTED] MNC-I CID Special Agent
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2004 11:19 AM
To: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-I CID LNO PMO
Subject: trying to locate CONUS units

Hi M [REDACTED]

b7C-1,66-1

I am trying to locate two conus units. This is all the information I have on them:

1st AD, 4 BCT they were last here around 4 Mar 04.

E Troop 3 ACR they were last here around 8 Feb 04.

I think they have redeployed but I do not know their CONUS locations.

Thanks,

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

SA [REDACTED]

78th MP Det CID

Detainee Abuse Task Force

Camp Victory, Iraq

DSN:

Email: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil

SIPRNET email: [REDACTED]@iraq.centcom.smil.mil

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

b7C-1,66-1

SGT MNC-I CID Special Agent

67C-456-1

From: [REDACTED] S12 [REDACTED] @3MAWDM.usmc.mil
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2004 9:07 PM
To: [REDACTED] @us.army.mil
Subject: RFA 0184-04-CID259-80230

SA [REDACTED]

67C-456-1

I have been able to obtain information from records of the 2 complainants regarding capture dates, capturing units and dates of their induction into Abu Gharyb. I was not able to locate a detention facility under the name of Al Baghdadi. This seems to have been a camp for the capturing unit, where [REDACTED] was held until his transport to Abu Gharyb. Mr [REDACTED] medical records show a complaint of lacerations and abrasion sustained during his apprehension, which he explains were caused by barbed wire and handcuffs. Additionally, the capturing units have returned CONUS, but I have not been able to ascertain their CONUS locations. I have obtained a copy of the medical treatment record for [REDACTED] and will include it in my report. I apologize for not providing better results, but poor record keeping in the part of capturing units at the time made it difficult to narrow down any productive leads. I will be mailing you the report once it has been approved by my SSA.

r/

67C-106-1

Special Agent
 Naval Criminal Investigative Service
 I MEF, Camp Al Asad, Iraq

67C-456-4

67C-456-4

015166

1

000110

[REDACTED] SGT MNC-I CID Special Agent [REDACTED]

67C-1,66-1
Rec'd 1225; 18 Oct 04

From: [REDACTED] GS12 Angel D. [REDACTED]@3MAWDM.usmc.mil
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 9:45 AM
To: [REDACTED] US army.mil 67C-1,66-1
Cc: [REDACTED] GS12 67C-1,66-1
Subject: RFA 0184-04-CID259-80230

Importance: High

Mr [REDACTED]

I have been assigned to complete your RFA (mentioned above). This far we have determined Mr [REDACTED] has been released, and Mr [REDACTED] is pending a release board, in which his case will be considered for termination of detention. USAJAG personnel have provided information regarding their capturing units and location of detainment prior to transfer to ABU GHARIE prison, for us to locate and interview personnel at those detention facilities. I have to tell you, it does not look promising. Both units that detained them have since returned CONUS. I will attempt to ascertain where the units are based out of CONUS to facilitate your attempts to interview them. I will keep you apprised and you may contact me if there are additional questions or requests. Thank you.

[REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1
 Special Agent
 Naval Criminal Investigative Service
 I MEF, Camp Al Asad, Iraq
 DSN [REDACTED]

000111

015167

0184-04-C025790230

CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent
Sent: 15 October, 2004 6:48
To: [REDACTED] Civ [REDACTED]@ncis.navy.mil
Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil
Subject: RE: RFA-NCIS Investigations

*b7C-1,56-1
Re-send Again**b7C-1,56-1
NCIS Accts & recept*

I am resending the five RFAs. I sent them to you on 15 Oct 04, but never rec'd a response. Based on your email today, it appeared you did not receive them with the msg. I am resending them now. They will be in five emails, starting with this one.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

The attached is RFA 0184-04

CW3 [REDACTED]

*b7C-1,56-1*

Special Agent in Charge
 Detainee Abuse Task Force
 22nd MP BN (CID) (FWD)
 78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)
 Camp Victory, Iraq

PH: [REDACTED]
 CEL: [REDACTED]
 Em: [REDACTED]@iraq.centcom.smil.mil
 Em: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil

*b7C-1,56-1
b7C-1,56-1***Original Message**

From: [REDACTED] Civ [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@IMEFDM.usmc.mil]
Sent: 15 October, 2004 5:31
To: [REDACTED]@ncis.navy.mil
Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil
Subject: FW: RFA-NCIS Investigations

b7C-1,56-1

I asked [REDACTED] to give me some info regarding the Detainee Abuse Task Force (DATF). He provided same below. With our current compliment of SAs and our current MEF mission (crim and CI), it does not appear feasible to assume control of the DATF cases mentioned below. It appears those cases would require full time support at the DATF. Based on the RFA numbers, I don't know the details of the listed cases. We continue to complete Army RFAs in the AOR. This week, for example, SA [REDACTED] attempted the interview of a soldier who made war crime complaints to the IG. SA [REDACTED] is attempting to have an Iraqi victim

16-Oct-04

b7C-1,56-1 015168

000112

0184-04-C10259-80230

of detainee abuse located and interviewed in Rutbah (to include screening interviews and attempts to ID other victims) and SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1 is attempting to ID and interview a boy who was seen in an Army photo with a gun to his head. We have a couple Crim RFAs we are running for the Army from stateside units.

[REDACTED] mentioned coordination being conducted between his Bn Ops 67C-1,66-1 and NCIS. I assume the coordination refers to the examination of providing a NCIS SA to the DATAF. Based on the existing cases and potential for more, it would be worth a hard look. It appears Fallujah is not the optimal location from which to control the DATAF cases, but full time support to the DATAF in the near future appears to be a good course of action. I would appreciate any comments or suggestions.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

Sent: 08 October, 2004 9:19

To: [REDACTED] 67C-6,66-6

Cc: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

Subject: RFA-NCIS Investigations

[REDACTED] 67C-6,66-6
The DATA consist of 7 CID agents. 1 Agent in Charge; 1 Senior Investigative Agent (Asst. Agent in Charge); 5 investigating agents (1 of which is a Warrant Officer). We presently have 72 open investigations. Five are Significant Data Files (SDF), and the remainders are Reports of Investigations (ROI). Of those ROIs, 5 pertain to U.S. Marines and 2 pertain to Naval Seals. We are required to provide a weekly update every Saturday to CID Command. The briefs are then provided on the hill in DC. Each update has to have current investigative activity within the present 7 day reporting period (Sunday - Saturday). We get reports via CID command, Abu Ghraib, the various Detention Facilities in the area, and from various units themselves. The primary efforts are trying to track people/units down. A lot are active duty, and reserves. Once they rotate out of country and return to their various locations, it then becomes a little tasking trying to locate the person/unit. At the present time, the only cases we have not been able to provide any valid investigative activity on are the ones noted above. I was informed by my Battalion Operations section that they are effecting coordination with NCIS, above our level, to discuss what you and I talked about, referring investigations. I am still awaiting a response.

Break -

I just received response via email. They want us to resend the RFAs to you. I have attached the RFAs for your review. I request that you review them and determine what efforts can be made. As noted above, we are required to give a response/update every week.

I have attached the 5 RFAs:

0184-04

015169

000113

16-Oct-04

0184-04-C10257 80230

CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

57C-1,56-1

From: [REDACTED] GL@IMEFDM.usmc.mil

57C-6,56-6

Sent: 15 October, 2004 8:02**To:** [REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil

67C-1,56-1

Subject: RE: RFA-NCIS Investigations

I just assigned those five RFAs. The one at Camp Baghdadi is supposedly the det facility on Al Asad. I have folks there. The others appear to be near Ramadi. I have no SAs there. Didn't know if you knew that. They will require a bit more effort to get someone to all those locations.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil [mailto:[REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil]

67C-1,56-1

Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 7:38 AM**To:** [REDACTED]@IMEFDM.usmc.mil

67C-6,56-6

Subject: RE: RFA-NCIS Investigations

It is out West near Ramadi.

CW3 [REDACTED]

57C-1,56-1

Special Agent in Charge

Detainee Abuse Task Force

22nd MP BN (CID) (FWD)

78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)

Camp Victory, Iraq

PH:

CEL:

Em:

Em:

67C-1,56-1

67C-1,56-1

67C-1,56-1

67C-1,56-1

67C-1,56-1

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@IMEFDM.usmc.mil]

67C-6,56-6

Sent: 15 October, 2004 7:32**To:** [REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil

67C-1,56-1

Subject: RE: RFA-NCIS Investigations

Any clarification where Al Habbaniya is located?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil

67C-1,56-1

[mailto:[REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil]

67C-1,56-1

Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:48 AM

67C-6,56-6

To: [REDACTED]@IMEFDM.usmc.mil

[REDACTED]@ncis.navy.mil

Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil

67C-1,56-1

Subject: RE: RFA-NCIS Investigations

67C-6,56-1

I am resending the five RFAs. I sent them to you on 15 Oct 04, but

16-Oct-04.

015170

000114

CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

67C-1, 66-6

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent 67C-6, 66-6
Sent: 08 October 2004 9:19 1715 67C-6, 66-6
To: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent 67C-6, 66-6
Cc: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent 67C-6, 66-6
Subject: RFA-NCIS Investigations

67C-6, 66-6

The DATA consist of 7 CID agents, 1 Agent in Charge; 1 Senior Investigative Agent (Asst. Agent in Charge); 5 investigating agents (1 of which is a Warrant Officer). We presently have 72 open investigations. Five are Significant Data Files (SDF), and the remainders are Reports of Investigations (ROI). Of those ROIs, 5 pertain to U.S. Marines and 2 pertain to Naval Seals. We are required to provide a weekly update every Saturday to CID Command. The briefs are then provided on the hill in DC. Each update has to have current investigative activity within the present 7 day reporting period (Sunday – Saturday). We get reports via CID command, Abu Ghraib, the various Detention Facilities in the area, and from various units themselves. The primary efforts are trying to track people/units down. A lot are active duty, and reserves. Once they rotate out of country and return to their various locations, it then becomes a little tasking trying to locate the person/unit. At the present time, the only cases we have not been able to provide any valid investigative activity on are the ones noted above. I was informed by my Battalion Operations section that they are effecting coordination with NCIS, above our level, to discuss what you and I talked about, referring investigations. I am still awaiting a response.

Break –

I just received response via email. They want us to resend the RFAs to you. I have attached the RFAs for your review. I request that you review them and determine what efforts can be made. As noted above, we are required to give a response/update every week.

I have attached the 5 RFAs:

0184-04
0192-04
0193-04
0225-04
0250-04

67C-1, 66-6

CW3 [REDACTED]

67C-1, 66-6

Special Agent in Charge
Detainee Abuse Task Force
22nd MP BN (CID) (FWD)

015171

000115

08-Oct-04

0184-04-CID-07-80230

78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)

Camp Victory, Iraq

PH:

CEL:

Em:

Em:

b7c-1,66-t
b7c-1,66-t
b7c-1,66-t
b7c-1,66-t
b7c-1,66-t

08-Oct-04

015172

000116

CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

From: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I 22nd MP Bn (CID) OPS Chief

Sent: 08 October, 2004 3:04

To: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

Subject: RE: NCIS

Report RFA sent to NCIS on CAT 1 reports. I will tell Ms. [REDACTED] the same on these as I did with Mr. [REDACTED]. I don't want to hear it. These cases should never have been sent to us. They should have been referred to NCIS by CID Command, if they are all RFIs from them. We can not make them work at our pace. CAT 1 Monitorship means nothing to them.

If you are getting nothing from NCIS here, let me know and we will then submit the RFAs through Command to NCIS in DC to over here.

THanks. Sorry.

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 8:55 PM

To: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I 22nd MP Bn (CID) OPS Chief

Subject: RE: NCIS

Will do chief - I just hope we do not get beat up for NCIS' failure to respond in a timely manner.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

CW3 [REDACTED]



Special Agent in Charge
Detainee Abuse Task Force
22nd MP BN (CID) (FWD)
78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)
Camp Victory, Iraq

PH:

CEL:

Em:

Em:

[REDACTED]@iraq.centcom.mil

[REDACTED]@us.army.mil

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil

[mailto:[REDACTED]@vcmain.hq.c5.army.mil]

Sent: 08 October, 2004 2:52

To: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil

Subject: FW: NCIS

Importance: High

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

[REDACTED]
69c4156-1

015173

000117

08-Oct-04

0184-04-CIO257-80230

b7C-1,56-1
Answer from Command. Need to send out the RFAs again to NCIS. See [REDACTED] answer below. The way I look at your information it is that we know the Marines are subjects. Now if we get the info reports on actions done, we might as well complete the case and close. I do not understand this.

b7C-1,56-1
Original Message

From: [REDACTED] CW5 [mailto:[REDACTED]@us.army.mil]

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 9:12 AM

To: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil

Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil; [REDACTED] CW5; [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: NCIS

Importance: High

[REDACTED]
b7C-1,56-1
The final Answer from the upstairs. OPS

Original Message

From: [REDACTED] CW5 [mailto:[REDACTED]@belvoir.army.mil]

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 3:04 PM

To: [REDACTED] CW5

Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil; [REDACTED]

Group (CID)

Subject: RE: NCIS

Importance: High

[REDACTED] et al

No you cannot refer the cases to NCIS. Re-send the RFAs to NCIS and we will revisit the issue of referring the cases to NCIS when the RFAs are completed and recd. If they state the marines were involved then we may consider referring them. But not until that time.

b7C-1,56-1
[REDACTED]

Original Message

From: [REDACTED] CW5

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 9:40 AM

To: [REDACTED] CW5

Cc: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil; [REDACTED]

3d MP Group (CID)

Subject: FW: NCIS

[REDACTED]
b7C-1,56-1

Please, read below about referral to the NCIS on five of detainee abuse cases involving either Marines or their AORs and give us further guidance. I am FOR [REDACTED] recommendation.

b7C-1,56-1
015174

000118

08-Oct-04

Read below on an SA with Medical issue. Can it be waived? Let me know. Thanks. OPS

Original Message

From: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I 22nd MP Bn (CID) OPS Chief 67C-456-1
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 7:26 AM
To: [REDACTED] us.army.mil 67C-156-1
Subject: FW: NCIS

Mr. [REDACTED] 67C-156-1

See Attached memorandum. These 5 cases are allegations of Detainee Abuse that have occurred in the 1 MEF AOR. These should be investigated by NCIS, not us. Could you coordinate with Command to see if we can close out our case now and refer the information to NCIS if we can not get NCIS in Fallujah to assume.

ANother issue. I have an SA here who wants to put in his warrant packet. However, MEDCOM here has put out that they will no longer complete physicals in theater based on security issues and man power.

Can we get this waived?

[REDACTED] 67C-156-1

Original Message

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I CID Special Agent 67C-666-1
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 7:35 PM
To: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I 22nd MP Bn (CID) OPS Chief 67C-156-1
Subject: NCIS

Chief,

Could you please review the attached memo and provided you thoughts. The memo is not a letter of referral. It is simply requesting NCIS make contact and accept the investigations. If they do, then I will prepare a letter of referral. I want send the memo to [REDACTED] requesting they assume on these cases. All of the incidents occurred under the Marine control. 82nd departed on/are about 22 Mar 04 (noted in memo). At this point, the cases are dragging. I am not sure or Cmd's take on trying to refer case to NCIS but this point we are not getting much of anything on the cases. As noted in the memo, SA [REDACTED] related he never received several of the RFAs, which were sent before we arrived. Once they were re-sent we still never received any response on either of them except for 0184-04, and we were never contacted by [REDACTED] to say they were leaving. I have already been talking (via email) with SA [REDACTED] noted below, and she is suppose to have the info for 0184-04. 67C-156-1 67C-666-1 67C-156-1 67C-156-1

[REDACTED] 67C-1, 66-1

015175

000119

08-Oct-04

0184-04-C10259-00230

67C-1,66-E,
67C-1,66-I

NCIS

Al Asad, Iraq

CW3 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 67C-1,66-E

Special Agent in Charge
Detainee Abuse Task Force
22nd MP BN (CID) (FWD)
78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)
Camp Victory, Iraq

PH:

67C-1,56-E

CEL:

67C-1,56-E

Em:

Iraq.centcom.smil.mil

67C-1,56-E

Em:

@us.army.mil

67C-1,56-E

-15176

000120

08-Oct-04

CW3 MNC-I Special Agent

67C-156-6

From: CW3 MNC-I Special Agent [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2004 5:16 PM [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
To: CW3, CW4, CJTF7-Operations Officer, CW3 [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
CJTF7-22ND MP BN/FSO, CW2 MNC-I 22ND MP BN (CID) Criminal Intel [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
CW2 MNC-I 22ND MP BN (CID) Criminal Intel [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Cc: CW5 [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Subject: RE: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG- AVR-20)

FYI:

Our ROI 0184-04-CID259-80230 has two victims listed:

Mr. [REDACTED]
Mr. [REDACTED]

67C-456-6
67C-456-6

The initial report was dispatched on 26 Jun 04, with unknown Victim/Subject.

This initial was initiated from Transmittal of Information (TOI) (0279-04-CID001) (dtd 14 Jun 04).

The status report was dispatched on 10 Jul 04, adding another unknown and the two victims noted above.

The Statement of Possible Abuse received with TOI 0279-04 is the same one received with RFI 0549-04-CID001, other than one is typed (0549-04), and the other (0279-04) is handwritten.

Both Statements of Possible Abuse has the same date, 3 Mar 04, the same soldier's name, SPC [REDACTED] same type of incident checked (Physical Abuse) Detainee on Detainee 67C-156-6 Abuse.

The victim's statement, which I have here in my hands, details the allegations pertaining to TOI 0279-04 and RFI 0549-04.

Also, the MOT (dtd 10 Sep 04) (DATE Tracking Number AG-SVR-18), reflects in paragraph 2 that the victim in question is listed in our ROI 0184-04-CID259-80230.

Chief [REDACTED]

67C-156-6

-----Original Message-----

From: CW3 [mailto: [REDACTED]@force1.army.smil.mil] 67C-156-6
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2004 3:51 PM [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
To: CW4, CJTF7-Operations Officer, CW3 [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
CJTF7-22ND MP BN/FSO, CW2 [REDACTED] 67C-156-6 Crim Intell [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Cc: [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Subject: FW: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG- AVR-20)

22d OPNS: Info ref the RFI, received back from CID HQ.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@BELVOIRDMS.ARMY.SMIL.MIL] 67C-156-6
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 3:14 PM [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
To: CW3 [REDACTED] 67C-156-6
Subject: RE: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG- AVR-20)

OK, nope, they are wrong, according to DATE records. According to the 2d Stat on 0184, the victim names are different from the victim in this RFI and the description of abuse is completely different.

015177

000121

Can they explain further because it is not a repeat. [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
[REDACTED] is keeping track and he says two different [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
people and we do not have victim in RFI 0549 listed as a victim in any [REDACTED]
detainee case.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] - CW3 [mailto: [REDACTED]@FORCEL.ARMY.SMIL.MIL] 67C-1,56-1
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 8:16 AM 67C-1,56-1
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG-AVR-20)

Okay, let me know either SIPR or NIPR. I'll be back on SIPR in an hour or two.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@SBELVOIRDMS.ARMY.SMIL.MIL] 67C-1,56-1
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 3:03 PM 67C-1,56-1
To: [REDACTED] - CW3
Subject: RE: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG-AVR-20)

Stand by and let me ask the DAF.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] - CW3 [mailto: [REDACTED]@FORCEL.ARMY.SMIL.MIL] 67C-1,56-1
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 7:44 AM 67C-1,56-1
To: CW3 [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
Subject: FW: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG-AVR-20)

Will this be a deletion of RFI 0549-04??

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] - CW3 MNC-I Special Agent [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2004 12:27 PM 67C-1,56-1
To: [REDACTED] - CW4 MNC-I 22d MP Bn (CID) 67C-1,56-1
S-3: [REDACTED] - CW3 MNC-I 22ND MP BN (CID) FSO; [REDACTED] - CW5; 67C-1,56-1
CW3 [REDACTED] (E-mail), (E-mail); [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
Cc: [REDACTED] (E-mail), (E-mail); [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1
P: CW3 MNC-I 22ND MP BN (CID) FSO; [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-I 22ND MP BN 67C-1,56-1
(CID) Criminal Intel; [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I 22d MP Bn (CID) S-3 67C-1,56-1
Subject: RE: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG-AVR-20)

FYI,

This incident is already being investigation under ROI 0184-04-CID259-80230
(Ref Transmittal of Information 0279-04-CID001 (Unk abuse of unk detainees
at an unk location in Iraq). The initial report was dispatched 25 Jun 04.

Chief [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [mailto: [REDACTED]@SBELVOIRDMS.ARMY.SMIL.MIL] 67C-1,56-1
Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 3:51 PM 67C-1,56-1
To: CW4 [REDACTED] (Iraq) (E-mail); [REDACTED] CW3 CJTF7-22ND MP 67C-1,56-1
BN/FSO [REDACTED] (E-mail); CW5 [REDACTED] (E-mail); [REDACTED] (E-mail); 67C-1,56-1
Cc: [REDACTED] (E-mail); [REDACTED] (E-mail)
Subject: RFI 0549-04-CID001 - MOT (AG-AVR-20)

Gentlemen

015178

000122

Please find the attached RFI.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil 67C-1,66-1
[mailto:[REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil] 67C-1,66-1
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2004 7:16 AM 67C-1,66-1
To: [REDACTED]@sbelvoirdms.army.smil.mil 67C-1,66-1
Subject: Fwd: MOT (AG-AVR-20)

Forwarded for review/action as deemed appropriate in case not previously received.

SA [REDACTED]

67C-1,66-1

015179

000123

CW3 MNC-I Special Agent

67C-1,66-1

From: [REDACTED] CW3 MNC-I Special Agent 67C-1,66-1
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2004 4:20 PM
To: [REDACTED] @imefdm.usmc.smil.mil
Subject: RE: RE: Case Updates Requested

67C-1,66-1

67C-1,66-1

Can you check out the attached RFAs. Based on your msg you only have one. Based on the agents we took the cases over from they sent four. Can you please review them to determine which one you have, and determine if the others are ones that you would be able to assist in. If they are in hostile areas please let me know and then we can look at possibly closing them down.

67C-1,66-1

AIR 0193-04.pdf	Initial J192-04-CID259.doc	RFA 0193-04 NCIS.doc	
AIR J193-04-CID259.pdf	Detainee Info J193-04-CID259.pd	Initial J193-04-CID259.doc	RFA NCIS J193-04-CID259.d
RFA encis 0225-04-CID259.pdf	RFA NCIS 0225-04-CID259.d		
Encls	RFA NCIS J184-04-CID259.pdf		

67C-1,66-1

I know of only one case NCIS Al Asad has open in support of Army detainee Abuse investigations. I will send you the NCIS Case Control Number and title block information later today. At the present time I only have myself and four agents to work the IMEF Area of Operations. I do not have the capability or can support areas where the agent can be placed in harms way. Thanks [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil 67C-1,66-1
[mailto: [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil] 67C-1,66-1
Sent: Saturday, August 21, 2004 7:59 AM
To: [REDACTED]@imefdm.usmc.smil.mil 67C-1,66-1
Subject: Re: Case Updates Requested

SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1

I was wondering if you have a current status of the below listed investigations.

Thank you,

SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil> 67C-1,66-1
Date: Friday, August 13, 2004 6:35 pm

015180 000124

018404-C10259-80230

Subject: Case Updates Requested

> Good Evening SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1
>
> I am SA [REDACTED] with the 78th MP DET (CID), Camp Victory, Iraq, and [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1
> have
> been assigned as Case Agent for the following investigations:
> 0184-04#
> CID259-80230; 0192-04-CID259-80236; 0193-04-CID259-80237; and 0225-
> 04CID259-80259.
> All of the above cases have been sent a Request For Assistance to
> NCIS
> as they are in NCIS AOR.
> Since these investigations involve detainee abuse I must send
> weekly
> updates to CID Command in Washington, DC and require weekly updates
> of
> the RFAs sent.
> To make a long story short, I need your help.
> I need to know the status of the RFA's we have sent. Do you plan
> to
> open up on these RFAs? If so, I will need the case number that
> your
> office has assigned.
> If you are not planning on opening up on these investigations I
> will
> need to document that too, so I can justify the closing of the
> case on
> this side.
> I want to thank you in advance for any assistance you can provide.
> Take care and be safe,
>
> SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,66-1
>
> 78th MP DET CID
> Camp Victory, Iraq
> Detainee Abuse Task Force
>

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]@us.army.mil [mailto:[REDACTED]@us.army.mil]
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2004 4:12 PM
To: [REDACTED]@iraq.centcom.mil.mil
Subject: Fwd: RE: Case Updates Requested

<< Message: RE: Case Updates Requested >>

015181 000125

From [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil> Add Sender

Sent Saturday, August 21, 2004 8:59 am

To [REDACTED]@imefdm.usmc.smil.mil 67C-1,56-1

Subject: Re: Case Updates Requested

SA [REDACTED] 67C-1,56-1

I was wondering if you have a current status of the below listed investigations.

Thank you,

SA [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]@us.army.smil.mil> 67C-1,66-1

Date: Friday, August 13, 2004 6:35 pm

Subject: Case Updates Requested

> Good Evening SA [REDACTED]

>

> I am SA [REDACTED] with the 78th MP DET (CID), Camp Victory, Iraq, and

> have

> been assigned as Case Agent for the following Investigations:

> 0184-04-

> CID259-80230; 0192-04-CID259-80236; 0193-04-CID259-80237; and 0225-

> 04CID259-80259.

> All of the above cases have been sent a Request For Assistance to

> NCIS

> as they are in NCIS AOR.

> Since these investigations involve detainee abuse I must send

> weekly

> updates to CID Command in Washington DC and require weekly updates

> of

> the RFAs sent.

> To make a long story short, I need your help.

> I need to know the status of the RFA's we have sent. Do you plan

> to

> open up on these RFAs? If so, I will need the case number that

> your

> office has assigned.

> If you are not planning on opening up on these investigations I

> will

> need to document that too, so I can justify the closing of the

> case on

> this side.

> I want to thank you in advance for any assistance you can provide.

> Take care and be safe,

>

> SA [REDACTED]

> 78th MP DET CID

> Camp Victory, Iraq

> Detainee Abuse Task Force

>

015182

000126

8/21/2004

<http://akosmail.us.army.smil.mil/frame.html>

CW2 MNC-I Special Agent to Charge

67C-1166-1

From: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I Operations Officer
Sent: Saturday, June 26, 2004 12:08 PM
To: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-I Special Agent to CI
Subject: RE: INITIAL/SSI 0184-04-CID259-80230

67C-1.56-6

2004-12-08 PM
CW2 MNC-I Special Agent to Charge

676-686-1

INITIAL/SSI 0184-04-CID259-80230

You know you can have your agents check with [REDACTED] we have some medical logs in the evidence room from the Abu case.

-Original Message-

From: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-1 Special Agent to Charge
Sent: Saturday, June 26, 2004 8:14 AM
To: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-1 Operations Officer
Subject: RE: INITIAL SSU184-04-CID259-80230

690-1156-1

37G-456-1

We are drafting the RFA up already and we'll add the medical log issue to it. Thanks.

695-1564

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-I Operations Officer
Sent: Friday, June 25, 2004 7:09 PM
To: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-I Special Agent to Charge
Subject: RE: INITIAL/SSI 0184-04-CID259-80230

592-1,664

69C-656-1

67C4656-1

I was thinking, if the Air Force Medics treated these people, maybe we need to send an RFA back to have them re-interviewed to determine the possible dates and what would have happened to the medical records. Were they logged in a medical log or anything?

620-4664

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-1 Special Agent to Chage
Sent: Friday, June 25, 2004 3:06 PM
To: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-1 [REDACTED] CW4 MNC-1 Operations Officer; [REDACTED]; 'Cid001crcsc (E-mail) (E-mail)' (E-mail); [REDACTED] WO1 (CID LNO); [REDACTED] COL MNC-1 PMO; [REDACTED] COL MNF-1 Chief of Staff Detainee Ops; [REDACTED] CW5; 'CW'; [REDACTED] CW2 (CID LNO PMO); [REDACTED] CW3, MNC-1 22ND MP BN/FSO; [REDACTED] CW2 MAJ (1AD PROVOST MARSHAL); [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-1 22ND MP BN/Criminal Intel; [REDACTED] CW3; 'USACIDC'
Cc: [REDACTED] CW2 MNC-1 Special Agent to Chage
Subject: INITIAL/SSI 0184-04-CID259-80230

Here it is.

<< File: Initial 0184-04-CID259.doc >>

59c-4, 56-1

6.7e-1, 56-6

**Special Agent In Charge
78th MP DET (CID) (FWD)
Baghdad, Iraq
APO AE 09342**

015183

000127