REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BY INVESTIGATING OFFICER/BOARD OF OFFICERS

For use of this form, see AR 15-6; the proponent agency is OTJAG.

IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED IN FILLING OUT ANY PORTION OF THIS FORM, ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS

SECTION I - APPOINTMENT

appointed by MG Charles H. Swannack, Jr.

(Appointing authority)

13 MAY 04

(Date)

(Attach inclosure 1: Letter of appointment or summary of oral appointment data.) (See para 3-15, AR 15-6.)

SECTION II - SESSIONS

The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were each named, indicate capacity, e.g., President, Recorder, Member, Legal Advisor.)

The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were absent: (Include brief explanation of each absence.) (See paras 5-2 and 5-8a, AR 15-6)

Investigating officer (board) finished gathering/hearing evidence at 1400 on 17 JUN 04

(Time) (Date)

sections findings and recommendations at 0800 on 21 JUN 04

(Time) (Date)

SECTION III - CHECKLIST FOR PROCEEDINGS

YES NO NA

in cases (para 15-6, AR 15-6)

following inclosed and numbered consecutively with Roman numerals: (Attached in order listed)

item of appointment or a summary of oral appointment data?

Correspondence with appointing authority or counsel, if any?

Written communications to or from the appointing authority?

Ad Statements (Certificate, if statement provided orally)?

Items on by the investigating officer or board of any unusual delays, difficulties, irregularities, or other problems

ated (e.g., absence of material witness)?

ation as to sessions of a formal board not included on page 1 of this report?

other significant papers (other than evidence) relating to administrative aspects of the investigation or board?

1 Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet.

2 Use of the NA column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibits (para 3-16, AR 15-6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>q. Are all items offered (whether or not received) or considered as evidence individually numbered or lettered as exhibits and attached to this report?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r. Is there an index of all exhibits offered to or considered by investigating officer or board attached before the first exhibit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s. Is the testimony/statement of each witness been recorded verbatim or been reduced to written form and attached as an exhibit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t. Are copies, descriptions, or depictions (if substituted for real or documentary evidence) properly authenticated and is the location of the original evidence indicated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u. Is each written stipulation included of locations visited by the investigating officer or board (para 3-6b, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. If information was taken over the objection of a respondent or counsel, is a statement of the matter of which information was taken attached as an exhibit (para 3-16d, AR 15-6)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMPLETE ONLY FOR FORMAL BOARD PROCEEDINGS** (Chapter 5, AR 15-6)

- At the initial session, did the recorder read, or determine that all participants had read, the letter of notification (para 5-3b, AR 15-6)?
- Was each absence of any member properly excused (para 5-2a, AR 15-6)?
- Were members, witnesses, reporter, and interpreter sworn, if required (para 3-1, AR 15-6)?
- Were members who voted on findings or recommendations not present when the board received some evidence, or the omission describe how they familiarized themselves with that evidence (para 5-2a, AR 15-6)?

**COMPLETE ONLY IF RESPONDENT WAS DESIGNATED** (Section II, Chapter 5, AR 15-6)

- If the method and date of delivery to the respondent indicated on each letter of notification?
- Was the date of delivery at least five working days prior to the first session of the board?
- Does each letter of notification indicate:
  1. the date, hour, and place of the first session of the board concerning that respondent?
  2. the matter to be investigated, including specific allegations against the respondent, if any?
  3. the respondent's rights with regard to counsel?
  4. the name and address of each witness expected to be called by the recorder?
  5. the respondent's rights to be present, present evidence, and call witnesses?
- Was the respondent provided a copy of all unclassified documents in the case file?
- Were there relevant classified materials, were the respondent and his counsel given access and an opportunity to examine them?
- Was the respondent designated after the proceedings began (or otherwise was absent during part of the proceedings)?
- Was the record of proceedings and evidence received in his absence made available for examination by him and his counsel (para 5-4c, AR 15-6)?
- Is each respondent represented by counsel?

**AN IDEA lawyer, check here [ ]**

- Was the counsel present at all open sessions of the board relating to that respondent?
- Was the counsel requested but not made available, is a copy (or, if oral, a summary) of the request and the facts as it included in the report (para 5-6b, AR 15-6)?
- Did the respondent challenge the legal advisor or any voting member for lack of impartiality (para 5-7, AR 15-6)?
- Was the respondent properly denied and by the appropriate officer?
- Did the member successfully challenged cease to participate in the proceedings?
- Was the respondent given an opportunity to (para 5-8a, AR 15-6):
  1. contest his counsel at all open sessions of the board which deal with any matter which concerns that respondent?
  2. present to the introduction of real and documentary evidence, including written statements?
  3. inspect and otherwise introduce evidence?
- As a witness?

- Have his counsel make a final statement or argument (para 5-9, AR 15-6)?
- Did the recorder assist the respondent in obtaining evidence in possession of the Government and in the presence of witnesses (para 5-8b, AR 15-6)?
- Did the respondent's requests and objections which were denied indicated in the report of proceedings or in an exhibit thereto (para 5-11, AR 15-6)?

**EVENDMENT SERVICES**
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SECTION IV - FINDINGS

(Investigating officer) (board), having carefully considered the evidence, finds:

See attached sheet.

SECTION V - RECOMMENDATIONS

Of the above findings, the (investigating officer) (board) recommends:

See attached sheet.
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SECTION VI - AUTHENTICATION (para 3-17, AR 15-6)

If any voting member or the recorder fails to sign here or in Section VII, indicate the reason in the space where his signature should appear.

(Member) (Recorder) (Investigating Officer) (President)

SECTION VII - MINORITY REPORT (para 3-13, AR 15-6)

If dissent is indicated in Inclosure ________, the undersigned do(es) not concur in the findings and recommendations of the board. In such case, identify by number each finding and/or recommendation in which the dissenting member(s) do(es) not concur. State the reason for disagreement. Additional/substitute findings and/or recommendations may be included in the inclosure.

(Member) (Member) (Member)

SECTION VIII - ACTION BY APPOINTING AUTHORITY (para 2-3, AR 15-6)

If the findings and recommendations of the investigating officer (board) are approved (disapproved) (approved with following exceptions), (if the appointing authority returns the proceedings to the investigating officer or board for further proceedings or action, attach corresponding correspondence (oral as a numbered inclosure).)

(Member)
SECTION IV — FINDINGS

Classification. The findings and recommendations of this investigation are unclassified. Information in several classified documents was relevant to this investigation. These documents consisted of FRAGOs and memoranda from the 82d Airborne Division and CJTF-7. These documents are listed on the Index of Exhibits [ENCLOSURE 2] under the heading “Classified Exhibits”. These documents are attached in a classified annex to this investigation packet where they are available for reference.

Conduct of the Investigation.

In order to thoroughly investigate the allegations of misconduct by personnel in the Division Central Collection Point (DCCP) and the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) while supporting Operation Iraqi Freedom, the scope of this investigation covered four main areas. The four areas of concentration were conducting interviews at Fort Bragg; gathering information from the Arizona National Guard (AZNG); coordination with personnel within the Iraqi Theater of Operations (ITO); and results of previous command and IG visits to Division facilities. One point to note is that the DIF (operated by the 313th MI BN) was physically located within the DCCP (operated by the 855th MP CO (AZNG)). The terms are often used interchangeably in exhibits to this investigation, although each had its own independent chain of command and specific function. Unless information pertains to only one specific facility I am using the term “DCCP/DIF” to refer to the combined facility, as personnel in the facilities were in close coordination.

The process of taking statements and conducting interviews on Fort Bragg was a straightforward process. The personnel assigned to the 82d Airborne Division were available for interviews. Information provided from the 313th MI BN commander’s inquiry formed the foundation for this investigation [EXHIBITS A - J].

Obtaining information from the 855th MP CO (AZNG) was a central aspect of this investigation. I contacted AZNG to elicit their assistance in obtaining information and statements from members of the 855th MP CO, which was attached to the 82d Airborne Division during the timeframe covered by this investigation. The 855th MP CO was demobilized after their redeployment from the Iraqi Theater of Operations in MAR 04. I telephonically contacted the unit and informed their of my requirements regarding the investigation. He placed me in contact with the 981st Troop Command (AZNG) the unit to which the 855th MP CO reports. appointed , 860th MP CO (AZNG) as a Special Project Officer to assist in conducting interviews with the 855th MP CO Personnel [EXHIBIT K]. Two individuals were not available to be interviewed by requiring me to conduct telephonic interviews.

As the incident under investigation occurred within the ITO, I was required to contact personnel currently working at the interrogation facility at FOB ST Mere. I collected information from these individuals by means of SIPR e-mail messages. Most of these individuals are employed by CACI, a civilian-contracted company that provides interrogation support. Several of the individuals who may have additional information of value no longer work at the facility or were otherwise unavailable. However, the information I had intended to gather from these personnel has been provided by other...
sources to my satisfaction and I do not believe the inability to gather additional statements affects the finding of this investigation.

The DCCP/DIF underwent at least two separate IG inspections during the 82d Airborne Division’s most recent deployment in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom. The first of these was conducted by the CJTF-7 IG and occurred in the mid-JAN 04 timeframe. It is of particular interest as it took place only weeks after the incident under investigation. A second investigation took place in MAR 04. I have requested the report of inspection from the JAN 04 IG visit to the DIF in order to gain a better picture of procedures at the facility during the timeframe of the incident [EXHIBIT I]. I have solicited the assistance of the 82d Airborne Division, to assist in gathering information relevant to this investigation. The SAIG has not yet released the results of this inspection. Initial discussions have ascertained that the SAIG does not plan to release the results until late-JUN 04. It is possible that the results of these inspections may bring to light evidence of misconduct within the DCCP/DIF. However, I believe that it is most likely that any significant deficiencies or evidence of improper treatment of detainees would have already been brought to the attention of the Division chain of command. Indications from informal outbriefs were that the inspectors had only positive comments regarding the DCCP/DIF.

During the course of this investigation, the Fort Bragg CID office contacted me after being directed by the Department of Defense to investigate the same incident upon which this AR 15-6 investigation focuses. I have provided CID with copies of all statements and evidence that I had collected at that time. I do not believe that the CID investigation will impact this investigation. I have coordinated with CID to obtain copies of additional statements that will help clarify several aspects of this investigation, especially as it relates to members of the 855th MP CO. has informed me that they will forward me the information once they receive it from the Fort Huachuca office. I do not have an estimated time of completion for the CID investigation. Their findings could be helpful in evaluating whether to pursue legal courses of action against the subjects of this investigation.

Findings Regarding the Existence of Photographs

The first task specified for this investigation was to determine “whether other photographs of a similar nature exist”. In order to fully explore this issue, I have endeavored to identify the known and suspected dissemination of the specific photograph in question. I then sought to identify whether any other photographs displaying questionable conduct by personnel at the 82d Airborne Division Interrogation Facility had been taken and disseminated.

Dissemination of the photograph [EXHIBIT A]. During the course of this investigation, I have clear evidence or personal knowledge that the specific photograph in question [EXHIBIT A] was disseminated in the following manner:

1. Originally taken on a digital camera by on or about 23 DEC 03 and downloaded by him onto his personal computer. Allegedly deleted by prior to his redeployment [EXHIBIT M].
2. Transferred from [EXHIBIT M]. Allegedly deleted by 27 MAR 04 by CACI personnel, likely as directed by the 1st MARDIV HUMINT Exploitation Team Commander at the DIF.

3. Transferred to [EXHIBIT N]. Also located in an e-mail message sent by an unidentified individual [EXHIBITS P and Q]. Deleted in MAR 04 by CACI personnel, likely as directed by the 1st MARDIV HUMINT Exploitation Team Commander at the DIF.

4. Located in Yahoo e-mail account embedded in an e-mail message sent by a third party on 27 MAR 04 [EXHIBIT O].

5. Loaded onto the CACI by an unidentified individual [EXHIBITS P and Q]. Deleted in MAR 04 by CACI personnel, likely as directed by the 1st MARDIV HUMINT Exploitation Team Commander at the DIF.

6. Allegedly incorporated into a photo presentation by and possibly three other individuals from the 855th MP CO. No further information is available regarding this presentation or any potential dissemination of it [EXHIBIT G].

7. Within the 313th MI BN as it was incorporated into the commander's inquiry directed by [EXHIBIT U]. Provided to...

8. Sent to AZNG personnel specifically, 981st Troop Command; and the AZNG. The photo was sent by [email] embedded in an e-mail message sent by a third party on 28 MAY in order to facilitate this investigation. According to...

9. Sent to the Fort Bragg office of the Criminal Investigation Division (CID) by [email] on 28 MAY in order to facilitate their investigation.

10. Located in AKO e-mail account and Fort Bragg Server e-mail account. The photo was not distributed further from either of these accounts except as noted in (8) and (9) above. Both of these files will be permanently deleted upon completion of this investigation IAW AR 381-10 (US Army Intelligence Activities).

This list should not be considered a comprehensive rendering of the distribution of this photo. It is certainly possible that soldiers from the 855th MP CO have further electronically disseminated the photo prior to this investigation. Inconsistencies in their...
statements and indications that they did not believe that the photo constituted a significant act of misconduct lead me to believe that they likely have distributed the photo to others and have possibly maintained personal copies of the photo, although after being informed of this investigation they have probably made efforts to delete such copies. The CACI personnel who knowingly allowed the photo to be loaded onto and viewed from their computer at the DIF could likewise have further distributed the photo, could have further disseminated the photo, however as there is no indication that she had a copy of the photo prior to the 313th MI BN Commander's Inquiry, it is not likely that she has done so while being investigated. Additionally, the AZNG and CID have likely disseminated the photo in a manner that cannot be ascertained.

Existence and dissemination of similar photographs. provided the only evidence uncovered by this investigation of similar photos that may depict an act of misconduct by personnel at the DCCP/DIF. During his interview with AZNG, admitted that one additional photo was taken around the same timeframe (not further specified) and later destroyed. He did not believe that it had been disseminated. and did not elaborate on the details of this photo in his statement, and no further clarifying questions were added to the statement [EXHIBIT M]. However, in a telephonic follow-up discussion with stated that had told that the other photo showed him with his arm around the shoulders of a hooded and "flex-cuffed" detainee, in a manner that someone would pose with a buddy. The intent of this photo was clearly meant as an attempt at humor, but it does not appear to represent the same level of potential misconduct or possible public relations damage as the photo upon which this investigation is centered [EXHIBIT A]. No further information is available on this additional photo. If it had been loaded on the CACI computer, it was almost certainly deleted in early MAR 04 at the direction of along with all the other unauthorized photos on that system [EXHIBITS R-T]. CID is currently inquiring further into the existence of this or any similar photos.

Findings Regarding Improper Conduct by DCCP/DIF Personnel

The second specified focus of this investigation was to determine "whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct with respect to detainees by other members of the 82d Airborne Division, or members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) at the DIF during the timeframe when the photograph was taken." Specifically, this investigation focused on

1. Intent of the soldiers for taking the photo
2. Procedures in place at the DCCP/DIF to preclude such incidents.
   a. Photography Policies
   b. Detainee Treatment Policies
3. Understanding of the soldiers of the policies and procedures governing treatment of detainees
4. General conduct by DCCP/DIF personnel in order to determine whether the taking of this photo was an isolated event or part of a larger systemic problem at the DIF
5. Knowledge of the chain of command of this incident or any others

Purpose of Taking the Photo. [EXHIBITS F, U and V], [EXHIBIT M], [EXHIBIT N] and [EXHIBIT W] were all consistent in their statements that the photograph was taken for personal purposes. They all stated that the photograph was meant as a joke and that the soldiers were posing for the picture. They all stated that the detainees were not physically harmed at the time and that the detainees were not placed in the positions shown in the photograph for the purpose of taking the photograph. There is no indication that the actions depicted in the photograph relate to the interrogation of the detainees, with the exception being that the "stress" position employed on the detainees was a result of the detainees' previous actions. Only [redacted] had any recollection of the circumstances surrounding the capture of the detainees and their subsequent placement in the stress position shown in Exhibit A. [redacted] stated that they had been placed in the position for failure to follow instructions and not being honest in explaining to interrogators why they had been captured collecting materials for constructing improvised explosive devices (IEDs) [EXHIBIT M]. Under these circumstances, the use of the stress position would be consistent with the procedures permitted by both Division and CJTF-7 procedures. It appears that the soldiers took advantage of this situation to use the detainees as a "prop" for their photo opportunity.

Photography Policy. There are two policies that are specifically relevant to this incident. The first policy relates to the taking of photographs in the DCCP/DIF and the second relates to the treatment of detainees. The 82nd Airborne Division Central Collection Point Standard Operating Procedures document that was in effect at the time of the incident (document dated 26 OCT 03) clearly outlines the photography policy in para. 11(d). The policy stated, "NO ONE is allowed to take photographs of the DCCP or any EPWs. MI personnel are the only military personnel allowed to take photographs of EPWs for the sole purpose of creating and maintaining an EPW interrogation file" [EXHIBIT X, Enclosure A]. This policy was reinforced in a Memorandum for Record dated 02 JAN 04 produced by the DCCP [redacted]. This memorandum stated "the discipline process that MI conducts WILL NOT be a "spectator sport" " [EXHIBIT X, Enclosure C]. The DCCP/DIF’s prohibition against photographing detainees for purposes other than identification or documentation is completely consistent with Division and CJTF-7 policies, as well as the Geneva Convention.

Policies for Treatment of Detainees. The 82d Airborne Division Interrogation Facility SOP states that “all interrogations, regardless of the Detainee’s status, will follow the Geneva Conventions and the Law of Land Warfare. Physical or mental torture, coercion, and threats are strictly prohibited” [EXHIBIT Y]. Likewise, the DCCP SOP states, "ALL EPWs are to be treated with dignity and respect...Do not subject detainees to public humiliation. Mistreatment includes but is not limited to the following: hitting, slapping, kicking, butt-stroking, spitting-on, using abusive language, or causing mental anxiety" [EXHIBIT X, Enclosure A]. The DCCP SOP updated as of 27 JAN 04 clearly states that soldiers who abuse detainees or fail to report or prevent such abuse are subject to punishment under the UCMJ [EXHIBIT X, Enclosure D].
The 82d Airborne Division published two FRAGOs that pertain to the proper treatment of detainees. The first of these was FRAGO 148 (DETAINEE AND EVIDENCE PROCEDURES) to OPORD 03-12 (CHAMPION VICTORY) [CLASSIFIED EXHIBIT A]. It was published on 10 NOV 03, well prior to the incident taking place on or about 21 DEC 03. The requirements outlined in this FRAGO were reinforced by FRAGO 298 (INTERROGATION TACTICS AND TREATMENT OF DETAINEES) to OPORD 03-12 (CHAMPION VICTORY) which was published on 23 JAN 04 [CLASSIFIED EXHIBIT B]. Both of these documents are classified SECRET//REL MCFI. The DCCP SOP draws upon FRAGO 148, and mirrors it verbatim in its requirement to treat all Iraqi citizens and all detainees with dignity and respect, as well as its definitions and examples of mistreatment. It also outlines the proper procedures for reporting suspected instances of detainee abuse. The authorized interrogation techniques outlined in FRAGO 298 do not include anything that could be interpreted as allowing the type of activity displayed in the photograph [EXHIBIT A]

CJTF-7 produced a number of documents pertaining to the proper treatment of detainees. FRAGO 749 [INTELLIGENCE AND EVIDENCE-LED DETENTION OPERATIONS RELATING TO DETAINEES] to CJTF-7 OPORD 03-036 [CLASSIFIED EXHIBIT C], dated 24 AUG 03, clearly states the manner in which detainees are to be treated. Two additional documents, the CJTF-7 Interrogation and Counter-Resistance Policy [CLASSIFIED EXHIBIT D] and an information paper titled "MANTAINING (sic) THE MORAL HIGH GROUND" [CLASSIFIED EXHIBIT E] provide additional guidance on the treatment and interrogation of detainees. These three documents are classified, but the general intent of them is to ensure that detainees are treated with dignity and respect and afforded Geneva Convention protections. All these documents are were incorporated into 82d Airborne Division FRAGOs 148 and 298.

All of these policies are nested with the Geneva Convention which states in Article 3' that persons taking no active part in hostilities should not suffer “outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment”; and Article 27 which states “protected persons are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons... [and] their honour...[and] shall at all times be humanely treated, and shall be protected especially against all acts of violence or threats thereof and against insults and public curiosity” [EXHIBIT I].

Army regulations and field manuals further reinforce the procedures mentioned above. AR 190-8 (EPWs, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internes (CI), and Other Detainees) mirrors both Article 3 and 27 of the Geneva Convention [Exhibit D]. FM 3-19-40 Military Police Internment / Resettlement Operations also incorporates the language from the Geneva Convention, further stating that “inhumane treatment is a serious violation of international law and the UCMJ” [EXHIBIT E].

This investigation finds that the DCCP/DIF polices and division FRAGOs incorporated all guidance issued by higher headquarters. There is no evidence that the Division, 313th MI BN, or 855th MP CO established any procedures that permitted detainees to be treated in a manner prohibited by CJTF-7 policies, relevant regulations, training standards, or the Geneva Convention.

Understanding Photography Policy. According to several sources, the photography policy was disseminated to personnel working in the DCCP/DIF. Stated that
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signs were posted prohibiting the taking of photographs and that each person working in the DCCP had to sign an acknowledgement that they had read, understood and would adhere to the policies written in the SOP [EXHIBIT Z].

Concluded with this stating that "all policies were posted on the wall" and that "everyone" had to read and sign the acknowledgement [EXHIBIT AA].

Further stated that policies were posted and in the DIF SOP, which everyone had read. He added that the MPs had similar guidelines posted from their chain of command" [EXHIBIT P].

Further stated that he believed that these acknowledgements were handed over to their replacements upon their redeployment [EXHIBIT AA]. I have coordinated with the 1 MARDIV HUMINT Exploitation Team [EXHIBIT AA], and the CAC [EXHIBIT AA], for their assistance in locating these documents. According to [EXHIBIT AA], there is little likelihood that these records will be located [EXHIBIT BB], [EXHIBIT QQ] and [EXHIBIT CC] both stated that the photography policy was clearly articulated.

There is no such consistency in the statements of the four soldiers involved in the photographing of the detainees [EXHIBIT A]. In regard to their understanding of the policies regarding the treatment of detainees, [EXHIBIT A] stated that she understood that "taking a picture of a detainee, from what I’ve heard was unauthorized" [EXHIBIT U].

[EXHIBIT N] stated that the MPs were "never told that we couldn’t take photos of the inmates, only that we couldn’t take photos that involved the security procedures" [EXHIBIT N]. [EXHIBIT N] stated that he was familiar with the DCCP policies regarding taking photographs and properly treating detainees and that the policies were posted on a clipboard next to the break room [EXHIBIT W]. [EXHIBIT W] also admitted that he had seen a sign posted that stated, “no photos are to be taken inside the perimeter of the facility”. However, he went on to state, "detainee photos were limited to fully clothed, face covered. Photo’s could be taken in moderation”. He added and that taking photos of detainees was “a matter of discretion” [EXHIBIT M].

Both [EXHIBIT M] stated that they had copies of the photo while in Iraq, but had deleted them prior to their redeployment. They both went on to state that they had never been told to delete them, but they had done so on their own volition [EXHIBITS M and W, respectively].

Based on the statements from leaders as well as the subjects involved in taking the photo, it seems clear that the soldiers understood the photography policy. The statements of [EXHIBIT M] to the effect that some photos of detainees were unauthorized and left to the soldiers “discretion” is implausible. [EXHIBIT M] stated that they actively took steps to delete the photo. This leaves the impression that the individuals knew that the photos were not authorized and that they did not want to risk having the pictures discovered while undergoing customs inspections. Such inspections routinely searched for pornography and included the potential for submitting to searches of computer drives and disks. It is not unreasonable to conclude that the soldiers understood the risk associated with possessing these photos and deleted them to avoid discovery. This investigation finds that [EXHIBIT M] were aware of and understood the policy prohibiting the
photographing of detainees for personal purposes despite them minimizing their understanding of the policy under questioning, and willfully disregarded that policy.

Understanding Treatment Policies. stated that he believed that all 855th MP CO soldiers knew the DCCP SOP and had proven their understanding of the procedures. stated that the policies “in regard to treatment of prisoners were posted. No hitting, cussing, disrespect in any way or parading them around. We were told to treat them the same way you would want to be treated” (EXHIBIT N). statement appears to be supported by statement that he had to verbally counsel for ridiculing detainees (EXHIBIT Z). Neither admit ever having been so counseled or having any knowledge of anyone in the DCCP/DIF ever having been counseled or disciplined for mistreating detainees.

stated that she knew the DCCP chain of command had established “definite rules for the MPs” relating to the treatment of detainees and she was confident that the interrogators were aware of the proper procedures as well, adding “we knew the limits”. She is consistent in admitting that she knew her actions were wrong saying that “it was a stupid mistake” (EXHIBIT U) and resulted from a “severe lapse of judgment” (EXHIBIT F). She even admitted as much in an e-mail message to (EXHIBIT O).

stated that everyone in the DIF were made to read the DIF SOP and all 82d Airborne Division FRAGOS regarding treatment of detainees and that she had no doubt that was aware of “what was and was not authorized as well as treating detainees with dignity and respect (EXHIBIT H). concurred stating that his first thoughts after seeing the photo in early MAR 04 was that the conduct of the soldiers was inappropriate and “demoralizing to the detainee [and] the guideline we had was that we would not demoralize, make fun of, be cruel to, or torture any detainee in the DIF” (EXHIBIT P).

The 313th MI BN also conducted pre-deployment training on the Geneva Convention and the Law of Land Warfare (EXHIBIT DD). confirmed that the company executed this training prior to deployment and that training was reinforced during the deployment (EXHIBIT CC).

from the 82d Airborne Division Office of the Staff Judge Advocate was part of a team that inspected the DCCP/DIF in FEB 04. Her observations left no doubt in her mind that the soldiers in the DCCP/DIF clearly understood the applicable policies and regulations regarding the treatment of detainees. She concluded, “I was impressed at how well all of the DCCP employees knew and understood all of the policies and SOPs as compared with all other facilities in the Division AO. It is unthinkable to me that any employees could claim that they did not know, from their MOS, from their rank and qualifications, from their training, from the DCCP SOP, from the instruction of their leadership, and from the sheer nature of their job while in Iraq that they were unaware that was wrong to take photographs in the holding facility or to in any way humiliate or even feign humiliation of a detainee. It is common knowledge to personnel in the interrogation and internment fields that such behavior is unacceptable” (EXHIBIT BB).

This investigation finds that the soldiers involved in the taking of the photograph (EXHIBIT A) understood the rules, regulations and procedures governing the proper conduct of detainees. Further, I conclude that the actions of these soldiers violates the
procedures outlined in the DCCP and DIF SOPs, 82d Airborne Division FRAGO 298, CJTF-7 FRAGO 749, and the Geneva Convention. Specifically, the simulated sodomizing of a detainee is clearly humiliating and demeaning. The fact that the detainees were hooded at the time may not have realized the type of actions being taken toward them, does not absolve the individuals of their misconduct. Their conduct certainly is not representative of the dignity and respect to which detainees are entitled and constitutes an “outrage upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment,” as stated in Article 3 of the Geneva Convention. Further, that these soldiers photographed this incident for their own amusement could be viewed as holding the detainees up to public curiosity, which is also forbidden by Article 27 of the Convention.

This investigation finds that [redacted] did not follow the abuse reporting procedures outlined in FRAGO 148 after he first observed the photo in early MAR 04 [EXHIBIT P]. As the [redacted], it is reasonable to conclude that he was aware of the requirements outlined in this document. I believe that [redacted] made an error in judgment by trying to “handle it at [his] level” when he ordered [redacted] to destroy the photo. I believe that [redacted] also demonstrated a lapse in judgment in identifying the photo as simply being an unauthorized personal photo that was “inappropriate” rather than evidence of what was, in fact, an instance of detainee abuse. He was remiss in not informing his chain of command, or even ensuring that the photo was, in fact, deleted. However, I do not believe that he deliberately attempted to cover-up the incident in order to hide evidence of what he believe was abuse.

There is no evidence that the activities of the soldiers taking the photograph was related to or was intended to support the interrogation process. There is no evidence that the detainees in the photograph were injured or harmed. Although it does not appear that the broomstick is making contact with the detainee, it is difficult to be certain, and impossible to verify that the detainee was not struck prior to, or after the photograph was taken. In every statement, all witness and all four subjects are unanimous in the opinion that this incident was meant as a joke and that the detainees were never harmed. Although I conclude that this was the case, there is no way to confirm this by viewing the photograph and the incident could be interpreted in a more sinister light, intentionally or otherwise. It should be noted that the detainees pictured in EXHIBIT A were in an authorized stress position. As noted above, the detainees were placed in this stress position for failure to cooperate with MPs and interrogators. Such procedures had undergone previous legal reviews and were considered acceptable under Division and CJTF-7 policies [EXHIBIT EE]. According to [redacted], their use was not a common practice [EXHIBIT Q] but was used on a case-by-case basis. The positioning and hooding of the detainees should not be construed as inhumane or humiliating treatment, rather it is the actions of the particular soldiers pictured in and responsible for taking the photo that resulted in the detainees being degraded and mistreated.

General DCCP/DIF Observations. The DCCP/DIF maintained an excellent reputation for adherence to established regulations and command policies in regard to the proper treatment of detainees according to statements by [redacted] [EXHIBIT Q], [redacted] [EXHIBIT CC], [redacted] [EXHIBIT Z], [redacted] [EXHIBIT AA], [redacted] [EXHIBIT EE], and my own personal observations while serving on the Division Staff during Operation Iraqi Freedom. In their statements, three CACI contractors, [redacted]
Chaisof Command Knowledge and Actions. The DCCP and DIE chains of command were in close coordination. However, the DCCP leadership took action to ensure that the roles of MPs and interrogators remained distinct. In a Memorandum for Record dated 02 JAN 04, [REDACTED] gave clear guidance that "AT NO TIME will an MP give a command in order to assist MI with the discipline process. ONLY orders to move [detainees] or for the purpose of protection to MP, MI, [or] interpreter personnel will be given by MPs". [EXHIBIT X, ENCLOSEMENT C].

The first 82d Airborne Division soldier to become aware of the existence of the photograph in question was [REDACTED] stated that he observed the photograph on a computer belonging to CACI. He instructed [REDACTED] to destroy the photo. He also stated that he informed [REDACTED] to ensure the photo was destroyed. [REDACTED] stated that he did not notify the chain of command as he thought that as the incident could be "handled at [his] level" [EXHIBIT P]. [REDACTED] denied having any knowledge of the photo until it was shown to him immediately prior to his interview [EXHIBIT AA].

The 82d Airborne Division Commanding General directed that a team comprised of members of the Provost Marshall’s Office, the Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, and the DIE OIC conducted an inspection of the DIE on 12 FEB 04. The Interrogation Tactics and Detainee Treatment Inspection Assessment Team, also referred to as the Tiger team, concluded among other things that “the guard are well versed on ROE, immediate action drills, and use of force...All operations conducted at the DCCP are conducted in a professional manner by well-trained 31A’s who know and execute their Tasks, Purposes, and Missions...and interrogators know their boundaries and do not exceed them...No improvements are needed to the interrogation operations at the DIE".

The overall assessment from this inspection stated, “All stress positions and corrective treatment (when a detainee breaks the cages rules) follow the Division Commander’s guidance in FRAGO 298 .... All areas with respect to interrogation operations meet the Division standards”[EXHIBIT GO]. Other than the aforementioned additional photograph taken by [REDACTED] [EXHIBIT M], this investigation has identified no additional evidence of any misconduct by DCCP/DIE personnel or significant deficiencies within the facility. There is no indication whatsoever that there were systemic problems within the DCCP/DIE.
The 313th MI BN chain of command only became aware of the existence of the photograph when informed of such by the CACI in MAR 04. had a chance encounter with in late MAR 04 during redeployment. During that meeting, he informed him that a photograph matching the description of the photograph in question [EXHIBIT A], immediately informed the 313th MI BN chain of command. The of the 313th MI BN, initiated an inquiry on 05 APR 04 [EXHIBIT B]. Due to the sensitive nature of the incident, promptly informed the Division chain of command. There is no clear evidence that the 855th chain of command had any knowledge of the incident prior to my contacting the unit to solicit assistance in the conduct of this investigation. states that he told to delete the photo, a claim that denies. also claimed that had knowledge of the photo [EXHIBIT M], but this could easily be a self-serving statement on part in an effort to share the burden of responsibility for the incident. for the AZNG, showed the photo to shortly before the 02 JUN 04 interview. told me that seemed sincerely surprised at the photo and concluded that had not seen the photo prior to that time [EXHIBIT AA].

This investigation concludes that the 313th chain of command had no previous knowledge of this incident prior to the initiation of the commander's inquiry, with the exception of previous knowledge of the photo. The 313th MI BN took appropriate actions to investigate the matter and inform the division chain of command. I further conclude that the leadership within the AZNG did not have previous knowledge of the incident. The AZNG, specifically the 981st Troop Command and the 855th MPCO, have fully supported this investigation. All indications are that and In particular took every means possible to prevent incidents such as this.

Summary

The actions of the four soldiers in question clearly demonstrated bad judgment on their part, were unprofessional and violated established policies from the DCCP/DIF level up to the CJTF-7 level. The fact that the detainees were hooded and thus possibly were unaware that they were being ridiculed and photographed, while potentially a matter of mitigation, does not relieve the soldiers from the responsibility of adhering to the requirements of the Geneva Convention, command policies, or other standards of conduct.

In this case, DCCP/DIF standards were in compliance with established command policies and regulatory requirements. The units took actions to ensure that soldiers understood the standards. It is reasonable to conclude that the soldiers in question knew that their actions were wrong.

There is no evidence of any systemic lack of discipline or misconduct by DCCP/DIF personnel. According to statements by , the DIF/DCCP had always received high marks on external inspections. The results from the 82d Airborne Division Tiger Team inspection in FEB 04 also single out the DCCP/DIF as being exemplary of high standards in the areas of facility security, detainee treatment, and interrogation procedures. The Division chain of command has not received any...
negative feedback from the different IG inspections that took place in early 2004. When informed of the existence of the photograph, she immediately notified her chain of command and the 313th MI BN quickly initiated a commander's inquiry. With the exception of a failure to report the incident when he first became aware of it, there is no other evidence of the 855th MP CO or 313th MI BN chains of command ever having knowledge of this or any other incident.

SECTION V — RECOMMENDATIONS

1.
\[ b(3), (5), + (c) \]
MEMORANDUM FOR Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 82d Airborne Division

SUBJECT: Appointment as AR 15-6 Investigating Officer

1. You are hereby appointed an investigating officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct an informal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the enclosed photograph dated 21 December 2003. The photograph, taken at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere, Iraq, depicts members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard), and detainees. Preliminary evidence in the form of a Commander’s Inquiry conducted by is enclosed. Direct coordination with the 855th MP Company is authorized.

2. From the evidence, you will make appropriate findings and recommendations. Your findings will, at a minimum, address the following:

   a. Whether other photographs of a similar nature exist.

   b. Whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct with respect to detainees by other members of the 82d Airborne Division, or members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) at the DIF during the timeframe when the photograph was taken.

3. Your investigation will be conducted using the informal procedures under AR 15-6. All witnesses will be sworn and each witness will be provided a Privacy Act statement. If in the course of your investigation you come to suspect that any individual may have committed a criminal offense, you must advise them of their rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, or the Fifth Amendment, as appropriate, using DA Form 3881. You may obtain assistance with these legal matters and your investigation from Office of the Staff Judge Advocate.

4. Submit your findings and recommendations on DA Form 1574, along with all supporting documentation, within ten days for legal review and submission to me. Contact should you need additional time to complete your investigation.

Encls as

AIR ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ENCLOSURE 1
AR 15-6 Investigation
DCCP/DIF Operations

Exhibit 9

CHARLES H. SWANNACK, JR.
MG, USA
Commanding
MEMORANDUM FOR [REDACTED], Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 505th Parachute Infantry Regiment

SUBJECT: Amendment to Appointment as AR 15-6 Investigation Officer, dtd 13 May 2004

The memorandum appointing you as an AR 15-6 investigating officer, dated 13 May 2004, is amended as follows:

a. In addition to the mandates set out in paragraph two of the original appointment memorandum, your findings and recommendations will address the treatment of [REDACTED], ISN 25022, NDRS 156095 during his interrogation at the 82d Airborne Division Detainee Interrogation Facility (DIF). The 15 May 2004 MNF-Iraq Detainee Detention and Review Board determined that the case file reflected he was subjected to sleep management and/or diet manipulation while at the DIF. You will determine whether [REDACTED] was in fact subjected to these practices and, if so, to what extent. Further, you will address whether such practices were allowed within the facility and, if so, to what extent.

b. Your suspense for completing this investigation is extended to 16 June 2004. Should you need additional time to complete your investigation, contact [ANCHOR] at [ANCHOR].

WILLIAM B. CALDWELL, IV
MG, USA
Commanding
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MEMORANDUM FOR [REDACTED] D Company [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Commander's Inquiry, [REDACTED] 66-5


2. [REDACTED] is directed to gather all available evidence concerning a potential Geneva Convention violation involving detainees. After gathering all evidence, you are directed to make specific findings of fact as to whether or not the allegations are true. If during the investigation additional witnesses are needed, consult with me on how to proceed. Your findings and supporting evidence should be presented to me upon completion no later than 8 April 2004.

3. If you have any questions concerning this investigation, you may contact me or [REDACTED].

Commanding
OFFICER'S INQUIRY

1. Any commissioned officer or warrant officer may be appointed as Investigating Officer.
2. The individual being investigated should have been counseled by his/her chain of command (DA Form 4856) on the alleged offence(s).
3. The IO will attain facts by taking sworn statement from witnesses (DA Form 2823).
4. When taking sworn statements from the accused, have him/her read and sign a Rights Waiver Certificate (DA Form 3881).
5. When a witness is not available for interview in person, information may be obtained by telephone inquiry, written correspondence, or other informal means.
6. The IO will gather all available evidence (sworn statements, records of correspondence, counseling forms, etc) and write a result of inquiry. (See for an example of a result of inquiry)
7. Upon completion of the Investigation, a copy of the packet will be sent to Criminal Law for legal review by an SJA.
MEMORANDUM FOR

Military Intelligence

313th Battalion, Ft Bragg, NC 28310-5000

SUBJECT: Commander's Inquiry, 6-2

I. As directed by (Signed) I have conducted a commander's inquiry in the potential Geneva Convention violation involving detainees. I have gathered all applicable and reasonably obtainable evidence in this matter. I then conducted my investigation in accordance with pursuant to Rule for Courts Martial 303 and AR 15-6.

2. On 26 March 2004, this issue of a photo that may possibly implicate detainee abuse came to my attention. I immediately met with (Signed) and advised her of her rights on DA form 3881. (Signed) waived her rights and gave me an explanation about the photo. Essentially, (Signed) stated that she had caused no physical harm to any detainees at any time during her mission as an interrogator at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) and that the photo was done only as a joke. At the time, I had not seen the photo and had no other information to verify this claim.

3. On or about 1 April 2004, (Signed) was able to obtain a digital copy of the photo in question and sent it to me via email. I reviewed the photo and requested guidance from higher on the next steps.

4. On 5 April 2004, I began my official investigation and I started my questioning with (Signed) provided me a statement and reviewed all policies and regulations concerning detainee treatment. (Signed) also reviewed the photo and stated that all interrogators were fully aware and understood all policies concerning detainees.

5. On 6 April 2004, I contacted my (Signed) He came in and provided me a statement about the photo. (Signed) stated that he discovered the photo on a personal computer of one of the 855th MP soldiers. (Signed) immediately ordered the soldier to delete the photo and any other copies of it. During that time, (Signed) was not able to directly contact (Signed) to counsel her on her actions in the photo, as it was during our redeployment period and she was at a location between Iraq and Kuwait.

6. FINDINGS:
   a. During the course of this investigation, I have determined that (Signed) did not cause harm or physical injury to any detainees while deployed in Iraq. Based on the

   6-5
evidence, I have determined that [redacted] did display a poor sense of judgment by posing with the detainee in that manner she had done. The photo shows [redacted] posing with a broom, which is pointed at the rectal area of a detainee, while he was in an authorized stress position. Further findings indicate that [redacted] knowingly condoned the taking of the photo, despite knowing that photos of detainees are only taken for official purposes. Additionally [redacted] did not attempt to destroy or retrieve the photo. [redacted] also acknowledges that the photo is in direct violation of dignity and respect, a well-known requirement interrogators are accountable for.

b. During my investigation, I have also determined that [redacted] did direct that the photo be destroyed and he also reprimanded the 855th MP soldier involved, and questioned him further on who else may have that unauthorized photo. [redacted] did however fail to inform higher of the incident. Although [redacted] did not have knowledge of the photo during the period it had been taken, he did fail to inform his chain of command of this incident. [redacted] did however make immediate on the spot corrections and attempted to correct the situation at that moment of discovery. Essentially [redacted] stated that he did not inform the chain of command because he wanted to take care of the situation at his level. Although [redacted] made the on the spot correction, he now realizes that it was a mistake to not immediately inform his chain of command.

c. The final item I have determined during my investigation is that we cannot fully account for the digital photo. It could potentially be in the hands of an undetermined amount of individuals. The 855th MP Company is an Army National Guard unit out of Arizona and I have no immediate contact with chain of command to determine any additional findings.
in a full workday. If so, the EPW will be compensated at a rate proportionately lower than the authorized daily rate. Such a decision must be approved by the Camp Commander.

4-17. Days of paid work per month
The maximum number of days of paid work for an EPW will be limited to the number of workdays in a calendar month. The total workdays include the total number of days minus Sunday and any holiday specifically authorized by HQDA, ODCSOPS, (DAMO-ODL) PWIC.

4-18. Unpaid work
EPW/RE will not be paid for those services connected with administering and maintaining EPW camps, branch camps, and hospitals when such services are performed on a daily rotation or other temporary basis. Unpaid work, in all cases, will include:

a. Kitchen police
b. Laundry ordnance
c. Ground police.
d. Other routine fatigue details of the types normally assigned and performed equitably and temporarily by persons in U.S. Army units.

4-19. Sale of articles and repair services
The canteen officer may sell articles made to order for, or repair services performed for, U.S. personnel by EPW. This sale is subject to the following provisions:

a. Articles will be manufactured or repair services will be performed only during the spare time of EPW.
b. No expense to the U.S. will be incurred for equipment, materials, or labor.
c. Repair work or the making of articles to order for U.S. personnel will be prohibited unless an order for the work is placed through the EPW canteen.
d. The canteen officer will fix the price of each article or repair service. The price will reasonably conform to prices for similar articles or services in the civilian market, less the cost of any material supplied by the customer.
e. The canteen officer and the Camp Commander will enter into a blanket contract. Under this contract, the canteen officer will pay to the Camp Commander amounts derived from the sale of articles made to order for, and repair service performed for, U.S. personnel, less a handling charge by the canteen of not more than 10 percent. The canteen officer will submit a voucher monthly to the camp commander. The voucher will list:

(1) The individual sales and services performed during the month.
(2) The price charged for each.
(3) The deductions made for handling charges.

The Camp Commander will deposit the amount derived from the sale of articles made to order for, or repair services performed for, U.S. personnel with the U.S. Treasurer. Procedures for these transactions are prescribed in AR 37-1. The EFPW will be paid an hourly rate. The rate will not exceed the authorized daily rate for paid work for the services performed. However, in no case will the amount paid to the EPW exceed the price of the article or repair service fixed under subparagraph d above. Amounts will be subject to deductions provided for in this regulation. Any residual money will be disbursed by the EPW camp counsel for use by camp EPW. Such disbursement must be approved by the Camp Commander.

4-20. Disability compensation
a. An EPW may be injured or suffer a disability while working in circumstances that may be attributed to work. If so, DA Form 2675-R (Certificate of Work Incurred Injury or Disability) will be initialed in four copies. The original will be given to the EPW; a second copy will be forwarded to the PWIC to be sent to the Prisoner of War Information Center; and the third and fourth will be placed in the EPW's personnel file.

b. A claim by the EPW for compensation for work-injured injury or disability will be forwarded to the PWIC. The PWIC will send the claim to the Power on which the EPW depends for settlement. A copy of the completed DA Form 2675-R taken from the personnel files of the EPW will be attached to the claim. DA Form 2675-R will be reproduced locally on 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper. This form is for the use of Army only.

4-21. Operation of government vehicles
EPW may be licensed to operate Government motor vehicles according to AR 600-55.

Section II
Contract Employment

4-22. Rules and procedures
Rules and procedures governing the military and contract employment of EPW will be according to the most current contract laws, procedures and guidelines and comply with the provisions of the Geneva Convention. All requests for the contracting of EPW will be forwarded promptly through channels to HQDA, ODCSOPS (DAMO-ODL) and be coordinated with HQDA, DADA.

Chapter 5
Beginning of Internment (Cl)

5-1. General protection policy—civilian internee

a. Treatment.

(1) No form of physical torture or moral coercion will be exercised against the CI. This provision does not constitute a prohibition against the use of minimum force necessary to effect compliance with measures authorized or directed by these regulations.

(2) In all circumstances, the CI will be treated with respect for their person, their honor, their family rights, their religious convictions and practices, and their manners and customs. At all times the CI will be humanely treated and protected against all acts of violence, insults, public curiosity, bodily injury, reprisals of any kind, sexual attack such as rape, forced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

(3) The CI will be especially protected against all acts of violence, insults, public curiosity, bodily injury, reprisals of any kind, sexual attack such as rape, forced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

(4) The CI will be treated with the same consideration and without adverse distinction based on race, religion, political opinion, sex, or age.

(5) The CI will be entitled to apply for assistance to the protecting powers, the International Committee of the Red Cross, approved religious organizations, relief societies, and any other organizations that can assist the CI. The commander will grant these organizations the necessary facilities to enable them to assist the CI within the limits of military and security considerations.

(6) The following acts are specifically prohibited:

(a) Any measures of such character as to cause the physical suffering or extermination of the CI. This prohibition applies not only to murder, torture, corporal punishment, mutilation, and medical or scientific experiments, but also to any other measure of brutality.

(b) Punishment of the CI for an offense they did not personally commit.

(c) Collective penalties and all measures of intimidation and terrorism against the CI.

(d) Repressals against the CI and their property.

(e) The taking and holding of the CI as hostages.

(f) Deporations from occupied territory to the territory of the occupying power or to that of any other country, occupied or not, are prohibited.

b. Authorization to intern. Internment of protected civilian persons in a CI camp is authorized and directed provided that such
CHAPTER 3 (Division Collecting Points and Corps Holding Areas)

3-23. Do not use coercion to obtain information from captives. This includes basic information such as name, rank, service number, and date of birth that captives are required to provide under the Geneva Conventions. Coercion or inhumane treatment of captives is prohibited and is not justified by the stress of combat or deep provocation. Inhumane treatment is a serious violation of international law and the UCMJ.

CHAPTER 4 (EPWs)

4-46. Equitable treatment enhances the control of EPWs. According to the Geneva Conventions, all EPWs are treated humanely and are protected against violence, intimidation, insults, and public curiosity. Treat all EPWs alike, regardless of rank, sex, and privileged treatment (which may be accorded due to their health, age, or professional qualifications).

SWORN STATEMENT

LOCATION

Command's Office

DATE
6 Apr 04

TIME
1145

FILE NUMBER

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS
6 Co. 318th MIl Bn

OATH: 0300

On or about 21 Dec 03 a picture was taken of me which

gives the appearance that I am abusing a detainee with a

broom. In the photograph there are six individuals, including

myself; three detainees, and two soldiers from the

865th MP Co out of Arizona National Guard. The soldier

with the hat on is , and the other soldier

also with the 865th MP Company

took the photograph.

I in no way, shape, or form harmed or humiliated any of the

detainees in the photograph, and neither did the other soldiers

in the picture. The photograph was taken completely as a joke,

and was a posed shot. When the photograph is enlarged, you can

see that the broom is not touching the detainee. The photograph

was taken on a 865th day.

The day the photograph was taken, many other photos were taken

at the same event. The photograph was taken after a severe lapse of

judgment on my part, however, I believe that the idea of me

abusing or abusing a prisoner is unfathomable, and therefore never

was considered the consequences of the previous photo.

There are many witnesses that can attest to my conduct while

working at the Division Interrogation Facility. I do not speak

Arabic, and was therefore rarely alone with the detainees because

I had no means of communication. I have personal email addresses

for the individuals in the photograph and for my interpreter if

their questioning is necessary. Nothing follows.
PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

Section A. Rights

I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or talk privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

IMMUNITY (Contd. on reverse side)

B. Waiver

and my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and having a lawyer present with me.

WITNESSES (If available)

C. (Type or Print)

LOCATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE

D. (Type or Print)

LOCATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE

Ex. ORGANIZATION OF INVESTIGATOR

D CO, 313TH MI BN, 82D ABN DIV
APO AE 09384

DOD 007062
I was looking at some pictures on one of the MP's computer. The MP was assigned to the detainee facility. Upon looking at the pictures, I came across one particular picture of one of my soldiers acting inappropriately towards one of the detainees in a stress position. After reviewing the picture, I asked [redacted] about the picture and he answered that they were joking when they took the picture, it was all out of fun. I then told him that he should not have that picture. He informed me that several other MPs may have three more individuals may have that copy because they had made a print of all their pictures from the time they came to Iraq. I told [redacted] that such a picture would get him in trouble if it was to be discovered because someone would perceive the picture to be humiliating the detainee. I then ordered [redacted] to destroy the picture and get rid of any other pictures as such. I believed it was cause after questioning him and he swore.
to me that all individuals involved in the camera. I am not sure if I destroyed all the pictures, but I did order him to get rid of it. I was unable to contact her at a different location and in the process of conveying to her wait for the redeployment. I decided to handle the situation at my level by making a judgement call and solve the matter at the lowest level as possible.

Nothing follows.

I, [name], having been sworn, do solemnly swear or affirm that I have read or have had read to me this statement, and do hereby declare that I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made by the declarant. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing the statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without threat of punishment, and without coercion, duress, influence, or unlawful inducement.

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 6th day of April, 2009,

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

typical name of person administering oath)

Company Commander

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

[Redacted]
I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On the 24th of March 2004 while I was awaiting redeployment at Camp Wolverine Kuwait, my former civilian employee expressed to me a concern over a photo that was found by a US Marine on one of the civilian computers at the Interrogation Facility (DIF), at FOB St. Mere, Iraq. He claimed that in the photo [redacted] was posing with a detainee. Upon completing my conversation with [redacted], I called the Battalion Rear Detachment and addressed the issue to [redacted] in Kuwait.

On the 5th of April 2004 [redacted] forwarded the picture to me and asked me to write this sworn statement. I was completely shocked by the picture. I still cannot believe that such a thing could have taken place at the DIF [redacted] under my charge.

The steps I took as the Battalion Rear Detachment included contacting the Battalion Rear Detachment and the 82d Airborne Division. All the soldiers who worked at the DIF under my charge were briefed on all operations from both Headquarters. They were also made to read all 82d Airborne Divisions FRAGOs dealing with detained operational procedures to include interrogation techniques and tactics. The 82d Airborne Division FRAGO 298 (Classified Secret) specifically outlined the exact treatment of detainees. It stated in detail what was and was not authorized as well as treating detainees with dignity and respect.

In my opinion, [redacted] was well aware of all these rules not only through the above mentioned resources but also by her 97th Interrogation training at Fort Huachuca, AZ. There is no doubt in my mind that when this picture was taken, she knew it was wrong and that it was in violation of the Geneva Convention, specifically the section that is in reference to the treatment of Detainees and Enemy Prisoners of War.

NOTHING FOLLOWS
The Fourth Geneva Convention

(August 12, 1949)

U.N.T.S. No. 973, vol. 75, p. 287

CONVENTION (IV) RELATIVE TO THE PROTECTION OF CIVILIAN PERSONS IN TIME OF WAR

Signed at Geneva, 12 August 1949
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PART I
The undersigned Plenipotentiaries of the Governments represented at the Diplomatic Conference held at Geneva from 21 April to 12 August 1949, for the purpose of establishing a Convention for the Protection of Civilians in Time of War, have agreed as follows:

PART I

GENERAL PROVISIONS

LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ACLU-RDI 1182 p.37
Article 1. The High Contracting Parties undertake to respect and to ensure respect for the present Convention in all circumstances.

Arts. In addition to the provisions which shall be implemented in peace-time, the present Convention shall apply to all cases of declared war or of any other armed conflict which may arise between two or more of the High Contracting Parties, even if the state of war is not recognized by one of them.

The Convention shall also apply to all cases of partial or total occupation of the territory of a High Contracting Party, even if the said occupation meets with no armed resistance.

Although one of the Powers in conflict may not be a party to the present Convention, the Powers who are parties thereto shall remain bound by the Convention in their mutual relations. They shall furthermore be bound by the Convention in relation to the said Power if the latter accepts and applies the provisions thereof.

Art. 3. In the case of armed conflict not of an international character occurring in the territory of one of the High Contracting Parties, each Party to the conflict shall be bound to apply, as a minimum, the following provisions:

1) Persons taking no active part in the hostilities, including members of armed forces who have laid down their arms and those placed hors de combat by sickness, wounds, detention, or any other cause, shall in all circumstances be treated humanely, without any adverse distinction founded on race, colour, religion or faith, sex, birth or wealth, or any other similar criteria.

In this context, the following acts are and shall remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever with respect to the above-mentioned persons:

(a) violence to life and person, in particular murder of all kinds, mutilation, cruel treatment and torture;

(b) taking of hostages;

c) outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment;

d) the passing of sentences and the carrying out of executions without previous judgment pronounced by a regularly constituted court, affording all the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples;

e) The wounded and sick shall be collected and cared for.
An impartial humanitarian body, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, may offer its services to the Parties to the conflict.

The Parties to the conflict should further endeavour to bring into force, by means of special agreements, all or part of the other provisions of the present Convention.

The application of the preceding provisions shall not affect the legal status of the Parties to the conflict.

Art. 4. Persons protected by the Convention are those who, at a given moment and in any manner whatsoever, find themselves, in case of a conflict or occupation, in the hands of a Party to the conflict or Occupying Power of which they are not nationals.

 Nationals of a State which is not bound by the Convention are not protected by it. Nationals of a neutral State who find themselves in the territory of a belligerent State, and nationals of a co-belligerent State, shall not be regarded as protected persons while the State of which they are nationals has normal diplomatic representation in the State in whose hands they are.

The provisions of Part II are, however, wider in application, as defined in Article 13.

Persons protected by the Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949, or by the Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of Wounded, Sick and Shipwrecked Members of Armed Forces at Sea of 12 August 1949, or by the Geneva Convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War of 12 August 1949, shall not be considered as protected persons within the meaning of the present Convention.

If in the territory of a Party to the conflict, the latter is satisfied that an individual protected person is definitely suspected of or engaged in activities hostile to the security of the State, such individual person shall not be entitled to claim such rights and privileges under the present Convention would, if exercised in the favour of such individual person, be prejudicial to the security of such Party.
Where in occupied territory an individual protected person is detained as a spy or saboteur, or as a person under definite suspicion of activity hostile to the security of the Occupying Power, such person shall, in those cases where absolute military security so requires, be regarded as having forfeited rights of communication under the present Convention.

In each case, such persons shall nevertheless be treated with humanity and, in case of trial, shall not be deprived of the rights of fair and regular trial prescribed by the present Convention. They shall also be granted the full rights and privileges of a protected person under the present Convention at the earliest date consistent with security of State or Occupying Power as case may be.

Art. 6. The present Convention shall apply from the outset of any conflict or occupation mentioned in Article 2.

In the territory of Parties to the conflict, the application of the present Convention shall cease on the general close of military operations.

In the case of occupied territory, the application of the present Convention shall cease one year after the general close of military operations; however, the Occupying Power shall be bound, for the duration of the occupation, to the extent that such Power exercises the functions of government in such territory, by the provisions of the following Articles of the present Convention: 1 to 12, 27, 29 to 34, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 59, 61 to 77, 143.

Protected persons whose release, repatriation or re-establishment may take place after such dates shall meanwhile continue to benefit by the present Convention.

Art. 7. In addition to the agreements expressly provided for in Articles 11, 14, 15, 17, 36, 108, 109, 132, 133 and 149, the High Contracting Parties may conclude other special agreements for all matters concerning which they may deem it suitable to make separate provision. No special agreement shall adversely affect the situation of protected persons, as defined by the present Convention, not restrict the rights which it confers upon them.

Protected persons shall continue to have the benefit of such agreements as long as the Convention is applicable to them, except where express provisions to the contrary are contained in the aforesaid or subsequent agreements, or where more favourable measures have been taken with regard to them by it or other of the Parties to the conflict.
Art. 8. Protected persons may in no circumstances renounce in part or in entirety the rights secured to them by the present Convention, and by the special agreements referred to in the foregoing Article, if such there be.

Art. 9. The present Convention shall be applied with the cooperation and under the scrutiny of the Protecting Powers whose duty it is to safeguard the interests of the Parties to the conflict. For this purpose, the Protecting Powers may appoint, apart from their diplomatic or consular staff, delegates from amongst their own nationals or the nationals of other neutral Powers. The said delegates shall be subject to the approval of the Power with which they are to carry out their duties.

The Parties to the conflict shall facilitate to the greatest extent possible the task of the representatives or delegates of the Protecting Powers.

The representatives or delegates of the Protecting Powers shall not in any case exceed their mission under the present Convention.

They shall, in particular, take account of the imperative necessities of security of the State wherein they carry out their duties.

Art. 10. The provisions of the present Convention constitute no obstacle to the humanitarian activities which the International Committee of the Red Cross or any other impartial humanitarian organization may, subject to the consent of the Parties to the conflict concerned, undertake for the protection of civilian persons and for their relief.

Art. 11. The High Contracting Parties may at any time agree to entrust to an international organization which offers all guarantees of impartiality and efficacy the duties incumbent on the Protecting Powers by virtue of the present Convention.

When persons protected by the present Convention do not benefit or cease to benefit, no matter for what reason, by the activities of a Protecting Power or of an organization provided for in the first paragraph above, the Detaining Power shall request a neutral State, or such an organization, to undertake the functions performed under the present Convention by a Protecting Power designated by the Parties to a conflict.

Protection cannot be arranged accordingly, the Detaining Power shall request or shall accept, subject to the provisions of this Article, the offer of the services of a humanitarian organization, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, to assume the humanitarian functions performed by...
Protecting Powers under the present Convention.

Any neutral Power or any organization invited by the Power concerned or offering itself for these purposes, shall be required to act with a sense of responsibility towards the Party to the conflict on which persons protected by the present Convention depend, and shall be required to furnish sufficient assurances that it is in a position to undertake the appropriate functions and to discharge them impartially.

No derogation from the preceding provisions shall be made by special agreements between Powers one of which is restricted, even temporarily, in its freedom to negotiate with the other Power or its allies by reason of military events, more particularly where the whole, or a substantial part, of the territory of the said Power is occupied.

Whenever in the present Convention mention is made of a Protecting Power, such mention applies to substitute organizations in the sense of the present Article.

The provisions of this Article shall extend and be adapted to cases of nationals of a neutral State who are in occupied territory or who find themselves in the territory of a belligerent State in which the State of which they are nationals has not normal diplomatic representation.

Art. 12. In cases where they deem it advisable in the interest of protected persons, particularly in cases of disagreement between the Parties to the conflict as to the application or interpretation of the provisions of the present Convention, the Protecting Powers shall lend their good offices with a view to settling the disagreement.

For this purpose, each of the Protecting Powers may, either at the invitation of one Party or on its own initiative, propose to the Parties to the conflict a meeting of their representatives, and in particular of the authorities responsible for protected persons, possibly on neutral territory suitably chosen. The Parties to the conflict shall be bound to give effect to the proposals made to them for this purpose. The Protecting Powers may, if necessary, propose for approval by the Parties to the conflict a person belonging to a neutral Power, or delegated by the International Committee of the Red Cross, who shall be invited to take part in such a meeting.
GENERAL PROTECTION OF POPULATIONS AGAINST CERTAIN CONSEQUENCES OF WAR

Art. 13. The provisions of Part II cover the whole of the populations of the countries in conflict, without any adverse distinction based, in particular, on race, nationality, religion or political opinion, and are intended to alleviate the sufferings caused by war.

Art. 14. In time of peace, the High Contracting Parties and, after the outbreak of hostilities, the Parties thereto, may establish in their own territory and, if the need arises, in occupied areas, hospital and safety zones and localities so organized as to protect from the effects of war, wounded, sick and aged persons, children under fifteen, expectant mothers and mothers of children under seven.

Upon the outbreak and during the course of hostilities, the Parties concerned may conclude agreements on mutual recognition of the zones and localities they have created. They may for this purpose implement the provisions of the Draft Agreement annexed to the present Convention, with such amendments as they may consider necessary.

The Protecting Powers and the International Committee of the Red Cross are invited to lend their good offices in order to facilitate the institution and recognition of these hospital and safety zones and localities.

Art. 15. Any Party to the conflict may, either direct or through a neutral State or some humanitarian organization, propose to the adverse Party to establish, in the regions where fighting is taking place, neutralized zones intended to shelter from the effects of war the following persons, without distinction:

(a) wounded and sick combatants or non-combatants;
(b) civilian persons who take no part in hostilities, and who, while they reside in the zones, perform no work of a military character.

When the Parties concerned have agreed upon the geographical position, administration, food supply and supervision of the proposed neutralized zone, a written agreement shall be concluded and signed by the representatives of the Parties to the conflict. The agreement shall fix the beginning and the duration of the neutralization of the zone.
Art. 16. The wounded and sick, as well as the infirm, and expectant mothers, shall be the object of particular protection and respect.

As far as military considerations allow, each Party to the conflict shall facilitate the steps taken to search for the killed and wounded, to assist the shipwrecked and other persons exposed to grave danger, and to protect them against pillage and ill-treatment.

Art. 17. The Parties to the conflict shall endeavour to conclude local agreements for the removal from besieged or encircled areas, of wounded, sick, infirm, and aged persons, children and maternity cases, and for the passage of ministers of all religions, medical personnel and medical equipment on their way to such areas.

Art. 18. Civilian hospitals organized to give care to the wounded and sick, the infirm and maternity cases, may in no circumstances be the object of attack but shall at all times be respected and protected by the Parties to the conflict.

States which are Parties to a conflict shall provide all civilian hospitals with certificates showing that they are civilian hospitals and that the buildings which they occupy are not used for any purpose which would deprive these hospitals of protection in accordance with Article 19.

Civilian hospitals shall be marked by means of the emblem provided for in Article 38 of the Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949, but only if so authorized by the State.

The Parties to the conflict shall, in so far as military considerations permit, take the necessary steps to take the distinctive emblems indicating civilian hospitals clearly visible to the enemy land, air and naval forces in order to obviate the possibility of any hostile action.

View of the dangers to which hospitals may be exposed by being close to military objectives, it is recommended that such hospitals be situated as far as possible from such objectives.

19. The protection to which civilian hospitals are entitled shall not cease unless they are used for
commit, outside their humanitarian duties, acts harmful to the enemy. Protection may, however, cease only after due warning has been given, naming, in all appropriate cases, a reasonable time limit and after such warning has remained unheeded.

The fact that sick or wounded members of the armed forces are nursed in these hospitals, or the presence of small arms and ammunition taken from such combatants which have not yet been handed to the proper service, shall not be considered to be acts harmful to the enemy.

Art. 20. Persons regularly and solely engaged in the operation and administration of civilian hospitals, including the personnel engaged in the search for, removal and transporting of and caring for wounded and sick civilians, the infirm and maternity cases shall be respected and protected.

In occupied territory and in zones of military operations, the above personnel shall be recognizable by means of an identity card certifying their status, bearing the photograph of the holder and embossed with the stamp of the responsible authority, and also by means of a stamped, water-resistant armlet which they shall wear on the left arm while carrying out their duties. This armlet shall be issued by the State and shall bear the emblem provided for in Article 38 of the Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949.

Other personnel who are engaged in the operation and administration of civilian hospitals shall be entitled to respect and protection and to wear the armlet, as provided in and under the conditions prescribed in this Article, while they are employed on such duties. The identity card shall state the duties on which they are employed.

The management of each hospital shall at all times hold at the disposal of the competent national or occupying authorities an up-to-date list of such personnel.

Art. 21. Convoys of vehicles or hospital trains on land or specially provided vessels on sea, conveying wounded and sick civilians, the infirm and maternity cases, shall be respected and protected in the same manner as the hospitals provided for in Article 18, and shall be marked, with the consent of the belligerent, by the display of the distinctive emblem provided for in Article 38 of the Geneva Convention for Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949.

22. Aircraft exclusively employed for the removal of wounded and sick civilians, the infirm and maternity cases or for the transport of medical personnel and equipment, shall not be attacked, but the respected while flying at heights, times and on routes specifically agreed upon between all the
Parties to the conflict concerned.

They may be marked with the distinctive emblem provided for in Article 38 of the Geneva Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field of 12 August 1949.

Unless agreed otherwise, flights over enemy or enemy occupied territory are prohibited.

Such aircraft shall obey every summons to land. In the event of a landing thus imposed, the aircraft with its occupants may continue its flight after examination, if any.

Art. 23: Each High Contracting Party shall allow the free passage of all consignments of medical and hospital stores and objects necessary for religious worship intended only for civilians of another High Contracting Party, even if the latter is its adversary. It shall likewise permit the free passage of all consignments of essential foodstuffs, clothing and tonics intended for children under fifteen, expectant mothers and maternity cases.

The obligation of a High Contracting Party to allow the free passage of the consignments indicated in the preceding paragraph is subject to the condition that this Party is satisfied that there are no serious reasons for fearing:

(a) that the consignments may be diverted from their destination,

(b) that the control may not be effective, or

(c) that a definite advantage may accrue to the military efforts or economy of the enemy through the substitution of the above-mentioned consignments for goods which would otherwise be provided or produced by the enemy or through the release of such material, services or facilities as would otherwise be required for the production of such goods.

The Power which allows the passage of the consignments indicated in the first paragraph of this Article may make such permission conditional on the distribution to the persons benefited thereby being made under the local supervision of the Protecting Powers.

Such consignments shall be forwarded as rapidly as possible, and the Power which permits their free passage shall have the right to prescribe the technical arrangements under which such passage is allowed.
Art 24. The Parties to the conflict shall take the necessary measures to ensure that children under fifteen, who are orphaned or are separated from their families as a result of the war, are not left to their own resources, and that their maintenance, the exercise of their religion and their education are facilitated in all circumstances. Their education shall, as far as possible, be entrusted to persons of a similar cultural tradition.

The Parties to the conflict shall facilitate the reception of such children in a neutral country for the duration of the conflict with the consent of the Protecting Power, if any, and under due safeguards for the observance of the principles stated in first paragraph. "</p>"

They shall, furthermore, endeavour to arrange for all children under twelve to be identified by the wearing of identity discs, or by some other means.

Art 25. All persons in the territory of a Party to the conflict, or in a territory occupied by it, shall be enabled to give news of a strictly personal nature to members of their families, wherever they may be, and to receive news from them. This correspondence shall be forwarded speedily and without undue delay.

If, as a result of circumstances, it becomes difficult or impossible to exchange family correspondence by the ordinary post, the Parties to the conflict concerned shall apply to a neutral intermediary, such as the Central Agency provided for in Article 140, and shall decide in consultation with it how to ensure the fulfilment of their obligations under the best possible conditions, in particular with the cooperation of the National Red Cross (Red Crescent, Red Lion and Sun) Societies.

If the Parties to the conflict deem it necessary to restrict family correspondence, such restrictions shall be confined to the compulsory use of standard forms containing twenty-five freely chosen words, and to the limitation of the number of these forms despatched to one each month.

Art 26. Each Party to the conflict shall facilitate enquiries made by members of families dispersed owing to the war, with the object of renewing contact with one another and of meeting, if possible. It shall encourage, in particular, the work of organizations engaged on this task provided they are acceptable to it and conform to its security regulations.
ARTICLE 27. Protected persons are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons, their honour, their family rights, their religious convictions and practices, and their manners and customs. They shall at all times be humanely treated, and shall be protected especially against all acts of violence or threats thereof and against insults and public curiosity.

Women shall be especially protected against any attack on their honour, in particular against rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.

Without prejudice to the provisions relating to their state of health, age and sex, all protected persons shall be treated with the same consideration by the Party to the conflict in whose power they are, without any adverse distinction based, in particular, on race, religion or political opinion.

However, the Parties to the conflict may take such measures of control and security in regard to protected persons as may be necessary as a result of the war.

ARTICLE 28. The presence of a protected person may not be used to render certain points or areas immune from military operations.

ARTICLE 29. The Party to the conflict in whose hands protected persons may be, is responsible for the treatment accorded to them by its agents, irrespective of any individual responsibility which may be incurred.

ARTICLE 30. Protected persons shall have every facility for making application to the Protecting Powers, the International Committee of the Red Cross, the National Red Cross (Red Crescent, Red Lion and Sun), Society of the country where they may be, as well as to any organization that might assist them.
These several organizations shall be granted all facilities for that purpose by the authorities, within the bounds set by military or security considerations.

Apart from the visits of the delegates of the Protecting Powers and of the International Committee of the Red Cross, provided for by Article 143, the Detaining or Occupying Powers shall facilitate, as much as possible, visits to protected persons by the representatives of other organizations whose object is to give spiritual aid or material relief to such persons.

Art. 31. No physical or moral coercion shall be exercised against protected persons, in particular to obtain information from them or from third parties.

Art. 32. The High Contracting Parties specifically agree that each of them is prohibited from taking any measure of such a character as to cause the physical suffering or extermination of protected persons in their hands. This prohibition applies not only to murder, torture, corporal punishments, mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person, but also to any other measures of brutality whether applied by civilian or military agents.

Art. 33. No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

None is prohibited.

Crimes against protected persons and their property are prohibited.

Art. 34. The taking of hostages is prohibited.
SIR,

I'll let the facts and findings speak for themselves, but will highlight a couple of points. To me, it's clear that there was no physical contact or harm. It is also clear exactly what was conducted and by whom.

Now IAW the attached documents, this violates acts of "public curiosity." There was no violence, results, bodily injury, reprisals etc. What I need to clarify is that if this violation is punishable under the UCMA. At the same time I'm hesitant to consult the SJA, but I'm leaning towards calling within what we've done. I have a good relationship with him; since he knows I've got 3 Courts Martial.

If it is punishable, my recommendation for punishment is a written local reprimand for not notifying the chain of command. I would then recommend a Field Grade Article 15 for [redacted] with a light punishment such as reduced rank and pay, but suspended.

I believe we need to send the message that even joking about these actions is not tolerable. This is my recommendation. Let me know if you want me to contact SJA.
AFVA-AO (15-6)  

21 May 2004  

MEMORANDUM FOR [redacted] 860th Military Police Company, Arizona Army National Guard  

SUBJECT: Appointment as Special Project Officer for AR 15-6 Investigation dtd 13MAY04  

1. You are hereby appointed a special project officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to assist [redacted] Brigade Combat Team / 82nd Airborne Division and AR 15-6 Investigating Officer, in the informal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the enclosed photograph dated 21 December 2003. The photograph, taken at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere, Iraq, depicts members of D Company, 313th Military Intelligence Battalion (82nd Airborne Division), members of 855th Military Police Company (Arizona Army National Guard) and detainees. Direct coordination with [redacted] is authorized.  

2. Your duties will include conducting interviews with specified soldiers from the 855th MP Company in accordance with the attached guidance provided by [redacted]. You are not limited by the questions provided and should feel free to ask clarifying questions as well as additional questions to pursue relevant lines of questioning. The individuals in question should feel free to add any additional statements if they desire.  

3. Your interviews will be conducted using the informal procedures under AR 15-6. All statements will be sworn using DA Form 2823. Each witness will be read his rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, or the Fifth Amendment, as appropriate, using DA Form 3881 as well as provided a Privacy Act statement.  

4. Submit the results of your interviews with supporting rights and privacy documentation to [redacted] or directly to 981st Troop Command / Arizona Army National Guard or directly to your interviews.  

5. POC is the undersigned at [redacted] DSN.
Questions for 82d Airborne Division 15-6 Investigation

1. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?
2. Did you establish policies regarding taking photographs of detainees in the DIF?
3. Were these policies understood by all soldiers and civilians working in the DIF?
4. Do you know of any actions on the part of MPs or Interrogators that could have been construed as abuse, humiliating or inhumane treatment or misconduct?
5. Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?
6. Do you know who took this photograph and in what manner it was disseminated?
7. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo?
8. Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the "stress position" displayed in the photograph?
9. How often were "stress positions" utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
10. Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
11. Do you know if any other photos exist which may show questionable conduct on the part of MPs and/or interrogators at the DIF?
12. Were DIF personnel trained on and familiar with the policies for the proper treatment of detainees? Were these policies posted?
13. Were you present during the CJTF-7 Inspector General inspection of the DIF in JAN 04; or any other official inspection of the DIF? Did you receive any feedback on the findings of any inspections?
14. Were you ever informed that the DIF personnel or the facility itself was in violation of regulations, command policies, official guidance, or training procedures?
15. Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?
16. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
1. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?

2. Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF? Were these policies posted?

3. Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?

4. Why was this photo taken?

5. Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?

6. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?

7. What computers was this photo downloaded on to?

8. To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?

9. Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?

10. Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the “stress position” displayed in the photograph?

11. How often were “stress positions” utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?

12. Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?

13. Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?

14. Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?

15. Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?

16. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
1. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?
2. Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF? Were these policies posted?
3. Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?
4. Why was this photo taken?
5. Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?
6. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?
7. What computers was this photo downloaded on to?
8. To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?
9. Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?
10. Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the “stress position” displayed in the photograph?
11. How often were “stress positions” utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
12. Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?
13. Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
14. Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?
15. Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?
16. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
1. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?

2. Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF? Were these policies posted?

3. Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?

4. Why was this photo taken?

5. Did you take this photograph [show photo]? If not, who did?

6. Did you take any other photographs of a similar nature that depict MP personnel / MI or Civilian interrogators with detainees?

7. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?

8. What computers was this photo downloaded on to?

9. To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?

10. Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?

11. Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the “stress position” displayed in the photograph?

12. How often were “stress positions” utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?

13. Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?

14. Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?

15. Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?

16. Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may have displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?

17. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
1. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?
2. Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF? Were these policies posted?
3. Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?
4. Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?
5. Why was this photo taken?
6. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?
7. What computers was this photo downloaded on to?
8. To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?
9. Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?
10. Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the “stress position” displayed in the photograph?
11. How often were “stress positions” utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
12. Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?
13. Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
14. Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?
15. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
MEMORANDUM FOR Inspector General
ATTN: Records Release Office, SAIG-ZXR, 2511 Jefferson Highway, Arlington, VA 22202

SUBJECT: Request for Report of Investigation

1. Reference. AR 20-1, 29 MAR 2002

2. Purpose. To obtain the results of the CJTF-7 Inspector General inspection of the 82d Airborne Division's Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) conducted in JAN 04 in order to properly fulfill my duties as AR-15-6 Investigating Officer.

3. I have been appointed an Investigating Officer IAW AR 15-6 by the 82d Airborne Division Command General (encl 1). The scope of my duties entails identifying "whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct [by MPs and/or interrogators] at the DIF" during the timeframe of late December 2003. CJTF-7 IG personnel inspected the DIF in mid-January 2004. The findings of this inspection would presumably be relevant to making a proper assessment of the conduct of MPs and interrogators at the DIF.

4. Pursuant to AR 20-1 para. 3-6(i), I am making a formal request to receive the ROI of the CJTF-7 Inspector General inspection of the 82d Airborne Division's DIF. The inspection took place in mid-January 2004. The location of the inspection was FOB St. Mere, Fallujah, Iraq.

5. I am requesting the following information from this inspection:

   a. Any evidence of abuse or inhumane treatment of detainees or misconduct on the part of 82d Airborne Division interrogators, civilian interrogators, or MPs attached to the 82d Airborne Division (855th MP Company).
   b. If any evidence of abuse or maltreatment of detainees was identified, whether this abuse was systemic or a result of an isolated incident(s).
   c. Evidence of any unauthorized photographs originating in the 82d Airborne Division DIF.

6. I have been able to obtain the following information regarding this inspection after speaking with [redacted] at the MNC-I IG Office:

   a. The inspection was directed by the CJTF-7 Commanding General LTG Sanchez.
   b. The inspection of the 82d Airborne Division DIF occurred in mid-January 2004.
   c. [Redacted], SAIG, conducted the inspection.
   d. The results were not sent directly to SAIG as the inspection had been directed by LTG Sanchez. However, [redacted] believes that the ROI may have been provided to SAIG inspectors after a subsequent inspection.
   e. There was no case number assigned to this inspection. The inspection was titled: Inspection Results of Detainee Operations.
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EXHIBIT 9

DOD 007087
I have the following POC information for the MND-I IG Office, APO 09342; DSN:

(1) MNC-I IG –
(2) Chief of Inspections, MNC-I IG –

POC for this memorandum is the undersigned. I can be reached at comm.: for DSN:

My NIPR email address is:

Encl.

1. Investigating Officer Appointment Orders
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SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS.

CATION: 1020 E 22ND ST, TUCSON, AZ 85711
DATE: 5/22/04
TIME: 1300
FILE NUMBER: 
GRADE/STATUS:

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS.

I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

I served in Airborne Division AR-15-6 Investigation from 13 May 2004.

I was an Investigating Officer, 82ABN.

What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?

I was responsible for the treatment of detainees and taking photographs in the DIF.

Were there policies posted?

Yes, SOP was posted.

Have you ever seen this photograph before [show photo]?

Yes.

Why was this photo taken? [show photo]

As a joke. It was to be a funny posed photo for humor only.

Did you take this photograph [show photo]?

If not, who did?

Yes.

Did you take any other photographs of a similar nature that depict MP personnel (MI) or Civilian interrogators with detainees?

Only one.

Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?

Yes.

Was any detainee in the photo ever hit, touched or sodomized with the broom in the photograph?

Yes. The broom was used toantasy detainees.

Did you take this photograph?

Yes, it was taken after the incident.

Did the chain of command know about this photo? If so, who?

Yes, the chain of command knew.

Was there a sign posted outside the DIF instructing no photos are to be taken inside the perimeter of the facility? If so, when was it posted?

Yes, after the occurrence.

Do you agree that these were taken during these operations?

Yes.

In your opinion, the atmosphere in the DIF was that of a general base.

Photos of soldiers and equipment were transmitted, photo of detainees were limited to fully clothed, face covered.

Photos could be taken in moderation. The chain of command knew and allowed.

Where photos of soldiers and equipment, i.e., stadium photo and blackhawks.

Not photos of detainees were not specifically pulled out. It was a matter of discretion, i.e., to show a specific method of transport.

EXHIBIT M

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

Saying: "STATEMENT OF __________ TAKEN AT _______ DATED _______ CONTINUED."

ST BEND THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT AND BE INITIALLED.

NATIONAL PAGES ARE UTILIZED, THE BACK OF PAGE 1 WILL BE LINED OUT, AND THE JERSEY SIDE OF ANOTHER COPY OF THIS FORM.
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What computers was this photo downloaded on to? [ ]

By whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?

Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph? [ ]

Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the stress position displayed in the photograph? [ ]

The closest detainee was in the "stress position" for failure to follow the other two SRP rules and signals to SRP personnel about why the other two SRP rules were violated in the photograph? [ ]

Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph? [ ]

Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIP? [ ]

Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they distributed? [ ]

Yes, one, it was destroyed/erased, as far as I know it was just disseminated.

Do you know of any instances in which DIP personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner? [ ]

Were any DIP personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees? [ ]

Nothing follows.

AFFIDAVIT

I confirm on page 1 and ends on page 2, I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made and the statement is true. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing the statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without part of punishment and without coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement.

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized to administer oaths, this 22 day of May 2004 at 4090 G St, 2nd Flr, Suite A7, 855

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

(Authority To Administer Oaths)
PART 1 - RIGHTS WAIVER/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

Section A. Rights

An investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army 860MP Company / AZ ARNG and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am suspected/accused: SUSPECTED - Failure to Obey Order or Regulation / Maltreatment of a Prisoner or Detainee.

He/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

- I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
- Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
- For offenses subject to the UCMJ I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.
- or -
- For offenses not subject to the UCMJ I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.
- I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

Section B. Waiver

I have the rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and having a lawyer present with me.

I, [Interviewee's Name], hereby waive my constitutional rights as stated above. I do not want to give up my rights.

[Signature]

Date: [Date]

Witnesses (if available):

[Signature] 

[Signature] 

[Signature] 

Section C. Non-waiver

[Signature] 

Date: [Date]

I do not want to be questioned or say anything.

[Signature] 

Section D. Subsequent Waiver

[Signature] 

Date: [Date]

I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and having a lawyer present with me.

[Signature] 

Date: [Date]
MEMORANDUM FOR

Company, 82d Airborne Division

SUBJECT: Appointment as AR 15-6 Investigating Officer

1. You are hereby appointed an investigating officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct an informal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the enclosed photograph dated 21 December 2003. The photograph, taken at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere, Iraq, depicts members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard), and detainees. Preliminary evidence in the form of a Commander's Inquiry conducted by a DOD investigator is enclosed. Direct coordination with the 855th MP Company is authorized.

2. From the evidence, you will make appropriate findings and recommendations. Your findings will, at a minimum, address the following:

   a. Whether other photographs of a similar nature exist.

   b. Whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct with respect to detainees by other members of the 82d Airborne Division, or members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) at the DIF during the timeframe when the photograph was taken.

3. Your investigation will be conducted using the informal procedures under AR 15-6. All witnesses will be sworn and each witness will be provided a Privacy Act statement. If in the course of your investigation you come to suspect that any individual may have committed a criminal offense, you must advise them of their rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, or the Fifth Amendment, as appropriate, using DA Form 3881. You may obtain assistance with these legal matters and your investigation from the Office of the Staff Judge Advocate.

   Submit your findings and recommendations on DA Form 1574, along with all supporting documentation, within ten days for legal review and submission to me. Contact my staff should you need additional time to complete your investigation.

Charles H. Swannack, Jr.
MG, USA
Commanding
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN

EXHIBIT 19
MEMORANDUM FOR Record

SUBJECT: Summary of Telephonic Interview with

1. Purpose. The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize my telephonic interview with 855th MP CO Arizona National Guard (AZNG). I conducted this interview as part of an ongoing informal investigation IAW AR 15-6 for which I have been appointed Investigating Officer

2. Background. [redacted] has been named by several sources as being in a photograph that may demonstrate an instance of detainee abuse. [redacted] is an MP assigned to the 855th MP CO, AZNG. I had sent a digital copy of the photograph in question to [redacted] a soldier named in the investigation. His unit was attached to the 82d Airborne Division from SEP '03 to MAR '04 in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom. I contacted the 855th MP Company and requested that they interview [redacted] along with a list of 4 other soldiers in the 855th MP CO. [redacted] was unavailable to participate in a face-to-face interview as he was participating in a cross-country trip. The unit contacted [redacted] and asked him if he would agree to be telephonically interviewed. He agreed and gave the unit permission to inform me of his phone number. I contacted [redacted] at that number on 24123MAY04.

3. Conduct of the interview. I explained to [redacted] the purpose for my contacting him. I read him the appointment orders assigning me as an Investigating Officer IAW AR 15-6 and informed him of the scope of the investigation. I informed him of his rights, reading verbatim from a DA FORM 3881, Rights Warning Procedure / Waiver Certificate. He agreed to waive his rights. I instructed him to then inform a witness in my presence that he was aware of his rights and had agreed to waive them. He did this. The name of the witness and his signature appears at the bottom of this memorandum. I then asked a series of questions to [redacted] and recorded his responses. The interview went as follows:

a. Q: What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility or "DIF" at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?
   A: Security, escorting inmates, searches, bringing them to receive medical treatment, putting them into holding for interrogators, periodic cell searches to identify any stockpiling of weapons or food, processing for release or forwarding for further interrogation, and getting them moving.

b. Q: Were you responsible for the welfare of the detainees?
   A: Yes, we made sure they had medical treatment, blankets, that they weren't fighting with each other, and separating vulnerable detainees from the rest; like children or teenagers from the adults. We were also concerned about our safety.

c. Q: Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF? Were these policies posted?
A: We were never told that we couldn’t take photos of the inmates, only that we couldn’t take photos that involved the security procedures. The Platoon Leader and Platoon Sergeant took a platoon photo at the DIF. The procedures in regard to treatment of prisoners were posted. No hitting, cursing, disrespect in any way or parading them around. We were told to treat them the same way you would want to be treated. The procedures came up with them and the captain signed them.

d. Q: Have you ever seen a photograph that depicts three soldiers in a room with three hooded detainees bound with their hands above their heads in which one of the soldiers is holding a broom stick and pointing it toward the buttocks of one of the detainees?
A: Yes, I’ve seen it. I received an email asking for it. She said that there was an investigation going on and that she needed it. The one you have is probably the one I emailed to her. It was a staged photo.

e. Q: How did it get on your computer?
A: I’m in the photo with [redacted]. I don’t remember who took it. It was given to me. It was digital.

f. Q: Why was this photo taken?
A: It was just goofing around, spur of the moment.

g. Q: Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?
A: I’m not sure.

h. Q: Do you recognize the detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?
A: No. I wouldn’t be able to recognize them. I believe they were all hooded. I believe they were there like that because MI wanted to keep them awake. They were hooded so they couldn’t observe us.

i. Q: Was this photo downloaded onto any other computers?
A: Not to my knowledge. I would assume that whoever took the picture downloaded it onto a computer to load it onto a thumb drive.

j. Q: Were any other copies of this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?
A: Not to my knowledge. I know [replaced] didn’t have it because I sent it to her.

k. Q: Is the photo still on your computer?
A: I don’t know. I’d have to look at my computer. It’s in Arizona. I might have had to make reclamation disks and redo everything. I probably don’t still have it.

l. Q: Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?
A: No.

m. Q: Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the “stress position” displayed in the photograph?
A: I don’t know. Usually the sergeants did that paperwork. The paperwork would be there somewhere. I didn’t read the folders. That was an MI thing.

n. Q: How often were “stress positions” utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
A: I don’t know who the approving authority was. It was probably the captain. They were only done when the detainees were being difficult, to deprive them of sleep. I assume the sleep deprivation would make them more forthcoming. That’s just a guess, I just did what I was told.

o. Q: Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?
A: No, no, no, no. The photo was a spur of the moment, goofing around thing. They weren't put in that position for the photo.

p. Q: Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
A: No. We weren't coming into contact with them. It was a posed shot. We never touched them. I don't think we were breaking any rules. It wasn't as bad as the other photos that are out in the media.

q. Q: Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?
A: If there were, I don't know. It would have been with a personal camera. To my knowledge, there were no other photos. I know they took pictures for the files. But to my knowledge those were the only other pictures taken.

r. Q: Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?
A: No.

s. Q: Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
A: I think maybe for some things. I don't think anything was ever written down. I think I was even guilty for cussing at them. And I was told that I shouldn't do that.

t. Do you have anything you would like to add?
A: I know there is a lot of media hoopla... is one of the best NCOs I've known. It was just stupidity on all of our parts. It's sad that she is under investigation because of the media. If she needs any character witnesses, please call me. I would be glad to go wherever I had to go to support her.

u. Q: Do you have anything else you wish to add?
A: No.
Subject: Re: VERY IMPORTANT!!!!!

Date: [27 Mar 2004 16:55:01 -0700]

From: [redacted]  
To: [redacted]  
Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2004 7:32 AM

Gentlemen, (please pass this on to all of the other MPs that I worked with)

You guys have a picture of me holding a broom near a detainee. I don't have a copy of this picture anywhere...but some Marine got a hold of it and now I'm being investigated for detainee abuse. I guess one of you shared photos with the marines...but either way, they have a copy of that picture. And this isn't an informal investigation like the one with the press guys...I've been read my rights and everything. I want to say one thing...I don't blame anyone but myself for the photo...it was very poor judgement on my part to take a picture like that...but I also think that we all know that I was definitely not abusing that detainee.

Apparently one of my Marine friends emailed her at Camp Wolfe and told her about the picture. She then called our battalion commander directly and told him about the photo, and the proof of detainee abuse, and he initiated the investigation. She didn't contact me to ask me about the picture, or the command here in Kuwait...she called directly to our colonel at Fort Bragg, and now there's nothing I can do.

Anyway, this email serves two purposes. First, I know that at least one more of you guys is in the picture, but I cannot remember who. If I'm being investigated...I'm sure that the other individuals in the picture will be investigated as well, so heads up! Secondly, can I please have a copy of this picture ASAP!! I can't stress how badly I need this picture so I can show people that it was just a posed shot, and that I wasn't physically beating anyone with a broom.

LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
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I can't believe that she has done this... psycho, right? Anyway, my entire military career is on the line here, so please help! If they investigate/question any of you... please be completely honest about anything you saw at the DIF at any time.

Sorry to bother you guys with this stuff. I know that you're probably living it up back in the United States... and I can't tell you how jealous I am. I miss you guys... let me know how life is back in the real world!

Miss Yahn
**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSN).

**ISSN**.

To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified, your social security number is used as an additional means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval. Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

---

**LOCATION**

313TH MI BN (82) AIRBORNE DIV FT. BRAGG, NC 28310

**DATE:** MAY 04

---

I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

I WILL BE ASKING QUESTIONS TO WHICH I WILL BE PROVIDING ANSWERS WHICH ARE TRUTHFUL TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

A. I WAS THE

**Q.** YOU WERE

**A.** YES, SIR.

**Q.** WHEN DID YOU BECOME AWARE THAT WAS PHOTOGRAPHED WHILE HOLDING A BROUGHTON IN THE PRESENCE OF SEVERAL MPS AND DETAINNEES?

**A.** IT WAS ABOUT 1-2 WEEKS PRIOR TO OUR LEAVING THE DIF. SOMETIME IN EARLY MARCH.

**Q.** HOW DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF THE PHOTO CRASH?

**A.** THE MPS WHO WERE WORKING WITH US WERE SHOWING US PICTURES PRIOR TO THEM LEAVING. I SAW THIS ONE ON ONE OF THE COMPUTERS.

**Q.** WERE THERE ANY OTHER PHOTOS SHOWING ANY QUESTIONABLE CONDUCT ON THE PART OF THE MPS OR INTERROGATORS?

**A.** NO, SIR. THAT WAS THE ONLY PICTURE I SAW.

**Q.** WAS THE PHOTO LOADED ON ANY DIVISION COMPUTERS?

**A.** I THINK THE COMPUTER WAS ONE OF THE MPS COMPUTERS, BUT IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN ONE THAT BELONGED TO CACI, A CIVILIAN CONTRACTED INTERROGATOR COMPANY. THE PICTURE WAS NOT LOADED ON ANY DIVISION COMPUTERS. THE MPS WOULD HAVE HAD TO COME INTO OUR OFFICE TO LOAD THE PICTURE ONTO OUR COMPUTER. I DON'T THINK THAT HAPPENED.

**Q.** WHEN DID YOU TELL ABOUT THE PHOTO?

**A.** I TOOK A LOOK AT THE PICTURE, AND IT WAS INAPPROPRIATE AND THEY COULDN'T HAVE IT. I DIDN'T SIR. I DIDN'T WANT TO HANDLE IT AT MY LEVEL. THEY SAID THE PICTURE WAS TAKEN IN A PROFESSIONAL SENSE AND THAT THE PERSON WAS A PERPETRATOR OF TERRORISM. I SAID THAT IT WAS THE PERCEPTION THAT WOULD GET THEM IN TROUBLE.

---

**EXHIBIT P**

AR 15-6 Investigation

15 MAY 04

**LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**
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STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] 
TAKEN AT [REDACTED] 
DATED 17 MAY 1998

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q: DID YOU SEE THEM GET RID OF THE PICTURE?
A: I DIDN'T PHYSICALLY SEE THEM GET RID OF IT, BUT I TOLD THEM TO.

Q: WHY DID YOU THINK THE PICTURE WAS UNAPPROPRIATE?
A: BECAUSE WHEN I LOOKED AT IT, THE FACT THAT SHE HAD A BROOMSTICK IN HER HAND AND SMILE LIKE THAT I THOUGHT 'OH MY GOD, SOMEONE WILL TAKE IT THE WRONG WAY'.

Q: WAS THIS ACTIVITY BY MPs AND INTERROGATORS A VIOLATION OF ANY POLICIES, REGULATIONS, COMMAND ENFORCEMENT OR TRAINING?
A: TO ME THE PICTURE WAS MAKING FUN OF THE DETAINEE AND WAS UNAPPROPRIATE. IT WENT DEhumanizing TO THE DETAINEE. THE GUIDELINE WE HAD WAS THAT WE COULD NOT DENORMALIZE, MAKE FUN OF, BE CRUEL TO OR TORTURE ANY DETAINEE IN THE DIF.

Q: WERE THESE GUIDELINES TRAINED ON BY DIF PERSONNEL AND/OR POSTED?
A: YES THEY WERE POSTED AND WERE IN THE SOP. THE DIF SOP HAD EVERYONE READ THE SOP. THE MP HAD SIMILAR GUIDELINES POSTED FROM THEIR CHAIN OF COMMAND.

Q: HOW DID THE 313TH MP CHAIN OF COMMAND BECOME AWARE OF THE PICTURE?
A: I DIDN'T FIND OUT UNTIL I GOT BACK FROM WHAT I WAS TOED. ONE OF THE MARINES WHO REPLACED US FOUND THE PICTURE. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN ON CACI'S COMPUTER, AND TOOK THE MP'S CHAIN OF COMMAND, FOUND OUT.

Q: HAD YOU EVER OBSERVED OR BECOME AWARE OF ANY INAPPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF DETAINEE IN THE DIF BY THE MP, 313TH PERSONNEL OR CACI PERSONNEL?
A: NO SIR. NONE HAD TAKEN PLACE AT ALL, IN THE DIF, THERE HAD ALREADY BEEN AN INVESTIGATION AND NOTHING WAS FOUND. SOME REPORTERS HAD BEEN TOLD AND HAD CLAIMED THAT THEY WERE ABUSED, BUT NOTHING WAS FOUND. THAT IS HOW I KNOW THAT NOTHING INAPPROPRIATE WAS HAPPENING AT THE DIF.

Q: WHO WERE THE AT THE DIF?
A: MYSELF, AND THEN THE CACI CIVILIANS. I CAN'T REMEMBER ALL THEIR NAMES. THERE WERE BETWEEN SEVEN AND NINE OF THEM.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADD?
A: WHEN YOU ASKED ME IF I HAD TOLD THE CHAIN OF COMMAND, THE REASON I DIDN'T WAS BECAUSE AS I WAS OR THE DIF AND I KNEW THERE WAS NO ABUSE GOING ON, I JUST WANTED THEM TO GET RID OF THE PICTURE. NO SIR.

END OF STATEMENT.
HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

WITNESSES:


typed name of person administering oaths

(Signature of Person Administering Oaths)

(Authority To Administer Oaths)
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## RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/ WAIVER CERTIFICATE

### Authority:
Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)

### Principal Purpose:
To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified. Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

### Routine Uses:

### Disclosure:
Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.

### Location:
BDE, C1757 FT BRAGG, NC 28310

### Date:
17 MAY 1985

### Time:
1400

### File No.:

### ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS:
D CO, 513TH MI BN, 82d ABD
FT. BRAGG, NC 28310

## PART I: RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

### Section A. Rights

The Investigator whose name appears below told me that he works with the United States Army BDE, 82d AIRBORNE DIVISION and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am accused:

**Possible Knowledge or Detention Abuse**

He failed to ask me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

1. I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
2. Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
3. If the person subject of UCMJ, I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

   - or -

   If the person is not subject to UCMJ, I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

   If I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

### Comments (Continue on reverse side)

### Section B. Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and without a lawyer present with me.

### SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE

I agree to the above statement.

### SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR

I certify that the above statement was made voluntary.

### DATE OF INTERVIEW

The interview took place on the above date.

### SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR

I certify that the interview took place on the above date.

### ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

For official use only.
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INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN
For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2851; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately

ROUTINE USES: Your social security number is used as an additional alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

LOCATION

BEGG C9/57, FT. BRAGG, NC 28310

DATE

2001 05/19

TIME

1600

FILE NUMBER

357-78-8520

GRADE/STATUS

O-3

PERSONAL DATA

313TH MI BN, 82D AIRBORNE DIVISION, FT. BRAGG, NC 28310

STATEMENT

I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

Q: WHAT WERE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES WITH REGARD TO THE DIVISION INTERROGATION FACILITY (DIF)?

A: IT'S SPELDED OUT IN DETAIL IN THE SOP. TO SUMMARIZE, I WOULD DECIDE UPON DETAINEE DISPOSITION - TO MOVE TO ABU CHURAYB CONTINUE TO HOLD AT THE DIF, OR TO RELEASE. I WAS IN CHARGE OF THE INTERROGATORS, CASE PERSONNEL, AND THRE CIVILIAN ARAB CONTRACT LAWYERS. I MONITORED INTERROGATION TECHNIQUES, STRESS PLANS, DETAINEE WELLBEING, CIVILIAN CONSENT, AND THE HEALTH AND WELFARE OF THE SOLDIERS. I COORDINATED WITH JAG FOR DETAINEE RELEASE BOARD AS WELL AS TO OBTAIN FEEDBACK ON INTERROGATION TECHNIQUES.

Q: WHEN DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF THE PHOTO OF IN QUESTION? DMC.

A: I WAS IN KUWAIT GETTING READY TO REDPLOY. I RAN INTO A CACI CIVILIAN WHO WANTED TO GO ON LEAVE. HE TOLD ME ABOUT THE PHOTO BEING ON THE CACI COMPUTER, AND THIS PHOTO WAS NOT IN OURS. HE SAW THAT ANOTHER CACI CIVILIAN HAD RECEIVED A NUMBER OF PICTURES FROM THE MPs.

Q: DID YOU PROVIDE THE MP'S ACTIONS CONTRADICT REGULATIONS, POLICIES, COMMAND GUIDANCE AND/OR TRAINING STANDARDS?

A: YES. FRAGO 298 AND 148 HIGHLIGHTED THE POLICIES. FRAGO 298 MAY HAVE CAME OUT AFTER THE INCIDENT, HOWEVER ALL THE INTERROGATORS NOW KNOW THESE POLICIES. THE MP'S HAD A SIMILAR POLICY WHICH WAS POSTED. I PERSONALLY BELIEVE THAT HER ACTIONS HUMILIATED THE DETAINEE. HIS HEAD WAS COVERED, BUT HE WAS NOT INJURED OR HURT. HIS ACTIONS WERE CLEARLY UNPROFESSIONAL. THIS IS WHY I INFORMED.

Q: HAD YOU EVER BEEN AWARE OF UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BY DIF PERSONNEL IN THE PAST?

A: NO, SIR. WHEN I FIRST HEARD ABOUT THIS, I WAS SHOCKED. THE DIF PERSONNEL HAD ALWAYS BEEN PROFESSIONAL IN THE PAST. I THINK THE RAMIFICATIONS OF HER ACTIONS. I WAS SELECTED TO INSPECT THE OTHER HOLDING FACILITIES IN THE SOUTHERN DIVISION. THE DIF WAS A MODEL DETAINMENT FACILITY. THERE HAD BEEN SEVERAL VISITS BY A NUMBER OF JUDGE OFFICERS TO THE DIF BECAUSE IT WAS A FACILITY THAT THEY WANTED TO EMBRACE.

EXHIBIT Q

AR 15-6 Investigation
DCCP/DIF Operations
13 MAY 04

FORM 2823, DEC 1998

LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
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0384-04-CID023 67275
Q: HAD THE DIF EVER BEEN INSPECTED BY AN AGENCY EXTERNAL TO THE DIVISION?
A: THE 1G FROM CTJTF-2 CAME DOWN. THEY INSPECTED THE WHOLE FACILITY. I NEVER SAW THE RESULTS, BUT WHEN THE INSPECTOR LEFT HE WAS VERY HAPPY WITH THE FACILITY.

Q: DO YOU KNOW IF ANY OTHER PICTURES EXIST, OR HOW WIDELY THIS PICTURE MAY HAVE BEEN DISSEMINATED?
A: NO, THIS IS THE ONLY PICTURE I KNOW OF, BUT I FOUND OUT FROM A CAAC CIVILIAN. I KNOW THAT THE 855TH STILL HAS IT AS THEY SENT IT TO BOS-5. IT'S VERY IRRITING BECAUSE THEIR LEADERSHIP HAD NOTICED POSTED EVERYWHERE NOT TO HAVE PICTURES TAKEN INSIDE THE FACILITY EXCEPT TO SHOW ANY INJURIES NEW ARRIVALS MAY HAVE HAD AND FOR IDEN TIFICATION PURPOSES.

Q: WERE ACTIONS SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN IN THE PHOTO EVER USED TO STRESS DETAINERS OR TO PREPARE THEM FOR INTERROGATION?
A: THE STRESS POSITION THAT THEY WERE IN WAS AN AUTHORIZED STRESS POSITION AND WAS SOMETIMES USED. WHAT HAPPENING WAS NOT AUTHORIZED. IT WAS NOT A COMMON PRACTICE TO USE THAT STRESS POSITION.

Q: DO YOU KNOW WHO THE DETAINERS IN THE PICTURE ARE?
A: NO, I CANNOT SEE WHAT THEIR DETAINEE NUMBER WAS. WE PROCESSED AROUND 3000

Q: HERE ANY DIF PERSONNEL EVER DISCIPLINED FOR UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT?
A: NO, SIR. THEY NEVER SHOWED UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT. IF THEY HAD, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED RIGHT AWAY.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADD?
A: I WISH I HAD BEEN TOLD ABOUT THIS AS SOON AS I BECAME AWARE. THEN WE COULD HAVE ADDRESSED THIS RIGHT AWAY. I FEEL THAT FOR EVERYTHING THAT WAS ACCOMPLISHED, THIS SOLDIER MADE ONE MISTAKE AND RUINED THE REPUTATION OF THE DIF. SHE WAS A GOOD INTERROGATOR, BUT HER LACK IN JUDGEMENT MAKES IT CLEAR THAT SHE IS NOT READY TO BE AN INTELLIGENCE OFFICER.

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WISH TO ADD?
A: NO, SIR

END OF STATEMENT
AFFIDAVIT

I HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1 AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE.

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 17th day of MAY, 2004, before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 17th day of MAY, 2004.

[Authority To Administer Oaths]

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature
Printed Name
SSN

LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
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I'm the Marine Corps HUMINT DIF. I'd like to provide some information to your
questions below. I will also ask all my Marines to provide input as
I'd like to provide some information to your
questions below. I will also ask all my Marines to provide input as

I've heard of this photograph, but unfortunately did not see it. I
saw several folders of pictures located on the CACI
computers.

I was of MPs and the facility. I instructed to
personal folders and personal picture folders from the computers,

The computer systems we work on are classified and I was
removed. Photos of an unclassified nature were located on a classified system,

about to be connected to the SIPRNET. I just conducted another
of the drives on the computer and no pictures or personal photographs

Is this description of the photo match with the one that was found
on the computer and of which had been informed? I heard
photograph, but not in the detail that you describe. What I heard
were photos of Army MPs with Detainees and yes there was a broom
but the broom stick was not touching the detainee.

The photo, or any other similar photos, still on the CACI
computers at the interrogation facility? No. I had all
been wiped from the computers. I believe was the
who removed all these photos. This action was taken back in
I was briefed that personal photos were on the CACI

Copies of this photo or any similar photos been further
wiped? If so, to whom? To the best of my knowledge, all MPs
during that time have copies of these photographs on
personal computers or CDs.

The CACI personnel know of any evidence of improper conduct on
311th MI BN Interrogators or MPs at the DIF? I'm not aware of
any from the part of 311th MI BN Interrogators or the MPs.
Sir,

Here's the gist. As we were conducting our deployment in Mar 04, [redacted] had been the [redacted] for our [redacted] that a photograph showing questionable conduct on the part of several personnel at the DIF had been loaded onto the CACI computer. It started a chain of events which has thus far culminated in my AR investigation as directed by our Commanding General.

The photo was timestamped 12/21/2003. It depicted three fully clothed detainees who were zip-tied to a wall with their hands above their heads. The detainees backs are towards three soldiers. One female, wearing a black fleece over-garment and the other two male soldiers next to her. The female is holding a broomstick pointing it at a detainee's buttocks area. The photo appears to be a posed shot with any questionable attempt at humor. There does not appear to be any sexual contact between the broomstick and the detainee, but it is difficult to state that definitively.

Specifically have been charged with finding out to what extent this or similar photos, may have been disseminated; and whether there is evidence of abuse, mistreatment, humiliation or other such torts towards detainees at the DIF by members of the 313th MI and the 855th MP CO (AZNG) around the timeframe that the photo was taken.

I would like to confirm the following from the CACI folks:

- Is this description of the photo match with the one that was found on the CACI computer and of which [redacted] had been informed?
- Is the photo, or any other similar photos, still on the CACI computer at the interrogation facility?
- Have copies of this photo or any similar photos been further disseminated? If so, to whom?
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Do the CACI personnel know of any evidence of improper conduct on the part of 313th MI BN interrogators or MPs at the DIF?

If you can assist me in querying the CACI folks, I would greatly appreciate it. If any of them would prefer to contact me directly at my email address, that is certainly acceptable.

Obviously, I would prefer to keep this information as close-hold as possible while this investigation, and a concurrent one being conducted on-ongoing. I know that calling DSN from over there can be problematic, but if you want to give it a shot to ask me any questions, DSN is:

Thanks,

--------------------

:

1-15 testing some assistance from you guys: The 82d Airborne Division General has appointed me an Investigating-Officer IAW AR 199.
ACEUAMC

CACI contracted interrogators at the Interrogation Facility whom I believe are still there. Their conduct is not the focus of my investigation, but I believe that they may have information that will be useful. In fact, the overall and as the one who first brought the issue to our attention, I just need to clarify some information and conduct a quick follow-up with him, as well as the others who were there when we left ( ). If I could hear SIPR/NIPR e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling him but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is

Thanks for your help.

Original Message

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:09 PM

Subject: RE: Contact Information

persons who work the Detention facility are for the SJA who is the Div control for detainee ops. These personnel need to talk to. They are in the To line.

Original Message

Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 3:51 PM

Subject: RE: Contact Information
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**SWORN STATEMENT**

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-451; the proponent-agency is ODCSOPS

To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately disclosed, your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

2. **DATE:** 
   
3. **TIME:** 
   
5. **FILE NUMBER:** 
   
6. **SSN:** 
   
7. **GRADE/STATUS:** 

**LOCATION:**

1. **NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME**

2. **ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS**

3. **TITLE:**

4. **NUMBER:**

5. **CITY:**

6. **STATE:**

7. **ZIP CODE:**

8. **COUNTRY:**

9. **E-MAIL:**

10. **PHONE:**

11. **FAX:**

**LEGEND**

- **INITIALS:** 
- **STATEMENT:** 
- **PAGE**

**EXHIBIT U**

AR 15-6 Investigation
DCCP/DIF Operations
13 MAY 04

**DA FORM 2823, DEC 1998**

**LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE**
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USE THIS PAGE IF NEEDED. IF THIS PAGE IS NOT NEEDED, PLEASE PROCEED TO FINAL PAGE OF THIS FORM.

STATEMENT OF ________________________________ TAKEN AT 306 C9157, FT. BENNING, GA 31905 DATED 14 MAY 04 1305

Q: DO YOU BELIEVE THE ACTIONS DEPICTED IN THE PHOTO, OR THE ACTION OF TAKING THE PHOTO WERE CONTRARY TO REGULATIONS, POLICIES OR OFFICIAL GUIDANCE THAT YOU RECEIVED?
A: YES, SIR. TAKING A PICTURE OF A DETAINEE FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD, IS UNAUTHORIZED. HAVING MY ACTIONS WERE UNAUTHORIZED, I DIDN'T TOUCH THAT MAN WITH THE BROOMSTICK.

Q: DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER SIMILAR PHOTOS OR INSTANCES OF THIS NATURE TAKEN AT THE DIF?
A: NO, SIR

Q: WHAT TRAINING HAVE YOU RECEIVED WHICH OUTLINED THE PROPER TREATMENT OF DETAINEES?
A: I WENT THROUGH THE BASIC INTERROGATION COURSE AT FORT McCUSSA.

Q: ANYTHING WORTH YOU WERE IN IRAQ?
A: NO, SIR

Q: WERE GUIDELINES FOR THE PROPER TREATMENT OF DETAINEES POSTED ON THE DIF?
A: I DO BELIEVE, SIR. I'M NOT positive, BUT I'M PRETTY SURE THERE WERE. THERE WERE DEFINITE RULES FOR THIS MP, I CAN GUARANTEE THAT. AS FOR AS FOR THE INTERROGATORS, WE SPOKE ABOUT WHEN I ARRIVED. WE KNEW THE LIMITS.

Q: HAD YOU EVER INTERROGATED ANYONE, OPERATIONALY, PRIOR TO ARRIVING IN IRAQ?
A: WHILE STATIONED IN ______________________________, I HAD WORKED IN DEBRIEFING DEFECTORS AND US MILITARY PERSONNEL.

Q: WAS ANYONE ELSE IN THE DIF OTHER THAN AWARTE OF THIS PHOTOGRAPH?
A: TO BE HONEST, I COULDN'T TELL YOU. THERE MAY HAVE BEEN OTHERS WHO MAY HAVE SEEN US OR SEEN THE PHOTOGRAPH.

Q: DO YOU THINK YOUR ACTIONS CONSTITUTED ABUSE OR HUMILIATING CONDUCT?
A: NO, SIR.

Q: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WISH TO ADD?
A: NO, SIR.

END OF STATEMENT
STATEMENT OF
LS:: STATEMENT (Continued)

AFFIDAVIT

I, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE IS TRUE I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE.

I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE.

I, ____________ (Signature of Person Administering Oath), Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 17th day of May, 2007 at __________ (Place of Administering Oath).

AT __________, FRIDAY, AT __________ (Time of taking Affidavit).

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)
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**RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE**

For use of this form, see AR 190-30; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

**DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORITY:</th>
<th>Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:</td>
<td>To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified. Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval. Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTINE USES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCLOSURE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION**

| CIV E C7657, FT. BRAGG, NC 28310 |

**DATE**

| 17 MAY 94 |

**TIME**

| 1300 |

**FILE NO.**

|  |

**PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE**

Section A. Rights

The investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she/it with the United States Army 82d Airborne Division and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am aware: MALTREATMENT OF A PRISONER.

I do not have to answer any question or say anything.

1. Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.

2. **[Signature of Investigator]**

   - For personnel subject to the UCMJ, I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at my own expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.
   - For civilians not subject to the UCMJ, I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me by any questioning begins.
   - I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

   **WITNESSES (if available):**

   **SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE:**

   **[Signature of Interviewee]**

   **[Date]:**

Section B. Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offenses under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and without having a lawyer present with me.

**NAME (Type or Print):**

**ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE:**

**SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE:**

[Signature]

[Date]

**NOTE:**

This waiver certificate to any sworn statement (DA FORM 2823) subsequently executed by the suspect/accused.
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN
SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY:
Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 552b; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:
To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately

ROUTINE USES:
Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

DISCLOSURE:
Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

LOCATION
1. EOD C-9157 FT Bragg, NC 28310

DATE
2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 3. TIME
20040520 1405

FILE NUMBER
4. FILE NUMBER

SSN
5. SSN

GRADE/STATUS
6. GRADE/STATUS

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS
7. DOD, 513th MI BN/ 182D AIRBORNE DIVISION, FT Bragg, NC 28510

I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

THAT DURING THIS INTERVIEW WILL BE ASKING QUESTIONS TO WHICH I WILL
BE PROVIDING ANSWERS THAT ARE TRUTHFUL TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

Q: CAN YOU IDENTIFY THE SOLDIERS PICTURED WITH YOU IN THE PHOTO IN QUESTION TAKEN
ON 12/21/2003?

A: THE SOLDIER ON THE LEFT IS AND THE OTHER IS

Q: DO YOU KNOW WHO THE DETAINES IN THE PHOTO ARE?

A: NO SIR.

Q: DO YOU RECALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THEY WERE DETAINED?

A: NO SIR. I DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT THESE DETAINES IN PARTICULAR.

Q: HOW COMMON WAS IT TO PUT DETAINES IN THIS PARTICULAR STRESS POSITION, OR SIMILAR
POSITIONS?

A: THE POSITION WAS USED OCCASIONALLY. IT WOULD DEPEND UPON THE BEHAVIOR OF THE DETAINES
AND THE INTAC VALUE. AT TIMES WE MIGHT HAVE SEVERAL IN STRESS POSITIONS, THEN
WE MIGHT GO BY WITHOUT US USING ANY STRESS POSITIONS ON ANYONE. IT ALSO DEPENDED ON HOW
MUCH TIME WAS AVAILABLE TO INTERROGATE AT THE TIME THIS PHOTO WAS TAKEN. WE WERE IN SORT OF A COLD
AND HAD MORE TIME TO WORK ON THESE DETAINES TO GET THE INTAC.

Q: DO YOU RECALL WHY THESE PARTICULAR DETAINES WERE HOUSED AND PUT IN THE STRESS POSITION?

A: NO SIR. I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHO THEIR PARTICULAR INTERROGATOR WAS

Q: WHERE THEY PUT IN THIS POSITION FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING THIS PHOTO?

A: NO SIR.

Q: DO YOU RECALL THE DIF UNDERGOING A 16 INSPECTION IN JANUARY 2004?

A: I WASN'T BEACU INVOLVED IN THESE KINDS OF INSPECTIONS. WE OFTEN HAD HIGH RANKING OFFICERS
GOING THROUGH THE DIF.

Q: DO YOU KNOW IF THIS PHOTO, OR ANY SIMILAR PHOTOS, WERE DOWNLOADED ONTO ANY DIF COMPUTERS?

A: I CAN'T BE 100% POSITIVE, BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THEY WERE.

Q: WERE THE DETAINES AWARE THAT THEY WERE BEING PHOTOGRAPHED AT THE
TIME OF THIS PHOTO BEING TAKEN?

A: NO SIR.
STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] TAKEN AT BOX C9157, FF BRACC, NC 28316 DATED 20 MAY 04 1405

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADD?
A: NO, SIR.

END OF STATEMENT

AFFIDAVIT

I HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT AND ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR TAMPERING.

WITNESSES: [REDACTED]

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 20th day of MAY 2004 at REDC C9157, FT BRACC, NC 28316

[REDACTED]

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

DOD 007118
### RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORITY:</th>
<th>Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:</td>
<td>To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTINE USES:</td>
<td>Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISCLOSURE:</td>
<td>Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>USA, AIRBORNE DIVISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>Last, First, MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE/STATUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

**Section A. Rights**

The investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army AIRBORNE DIVISION and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am accused/charged: **FAILURE TO OBEY AN ORDER OR REGULATION; MALTREATMENT OF A PRisoner/DETAINED**.

Before he/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

1. I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
2. Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
3. (For personnel subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

(For civilians not subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

If I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further. If I sign the waiver below.

**COMMENTS** (Continue on reverse side)

---

#### Section B. Waiver

I register my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and not having a lawyer present with me.

**WITNESSES (if available)**

**NAME**

**PHONE**

**SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR**

**SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE**

---

**Section C. Non-waiver**

If I do not want to give up my rights

- I want a lawyer

- I do not want to be questioned or say anything

**NATURE OF INTERVIEWEE**

---

**EXHIBIT**

---

**EDITION OF NOV 84 IS OBSOLETE**

---

**AW ENFORCEMENT COM**

ACLU-RDI 1182 p.89

---

**DOD 007119**
**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>FILE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>315 N. 52nd St, Phoenix, AZ 85008</td>
<td>5/23/04</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0384-04-C10D 023 67275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME**

Social Security Number: [redacted]

**ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS**

82MR Company / AZ ARNG

**Purpose**

Want to make the following statement under oath:

**Question:**

Q. What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq, at the time this incident occurred?

A. [redacted]

**Question:**

Q. Were you trained on and familiar with the policies for the treatment of detainees and of taking photographs in the DIF?

A. Yes. These policies were in a clipboard next to our breakroom at the facility.

**Question:**

Q. Have you ever seen this photograph before?

A. Yes.

**Question:**

Q. Why was this photo taken?

A. As a joke, just to be a humorous pose.

**Question:**

Q. Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?

A. No.

**Question:**

Q. Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?

A. Yes.

**Question:**

Q. What computers was this photo downloaded on to?

A. [redacted]

**Question:**

Q. To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?

A. Myself. The digital copy of this photo was destroyed before I left the country.

**Question:**

Q. Were the prisoners in the photo zipped tied to the bars in the photo, if so who authorized it?

A. Yes. The order was given by whoever was interrogating that day.

**Question:**

Q. Was the broom in the photo used to hit, smack or sodomize detainees?

A. No.

**Question:**

Q. Never were the detainees hit, smacked, sodomized or anything else. They were treated how they were supposed to be treated.

**Question:**

Q. Where did you get the photograph from and how did it end up on your thumb drive? Do you have any copies of this or other photos of this nature, if not, was the photo or photos destroyed?

A. [redacted]

**Question:**

Q. Is this the only photo like this that you know of?

A. Yes.

**INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT**

[Redacted]

**PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES**

Page 109
Q: Were you ever told to destroy or erase copies of this photograph?
A: No.

Q: Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the "stress position" displayed in the photograph?
A: No. The NCOIC or OIC of Military Intelligence had detainees put in this position due to interrogation and/or Punishment purposes. If a detainee made an aggressive or hostile action toward an MP or MI personnel, the detainee would be punished.

Q: How often were "stress positions" utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
A: Not very often. This was the very last punishment that was inflicted on detainees who refused to follow directions for their safety and ours.

Q: Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?
A: No.

Q: Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
A: No. This was just a humorous pose. Nothing more.

Q: Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?
A: Not that I am aware.

Q: Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?
A: This didn't happen. Rules were put in place so detainees were not mistreated. Everyone abided by the rules.

Q: Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
A: Verbally counseled for a minor mistaken incident.

(Handwritten note:
I heard a detainee groan and assumed he was being mistreated by [redacted] but later the details were cleared up that the said detainee had a broken leg and did groan when instructed to sit down in the back of a 5 ton for transport. There was no mistreatment.)

AFFIDAVIT

I, [redacted], have read or have had read to me this statement, in full, and I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made by me. The statement is true. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing the statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without real or apparent inducement, and without coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement.

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 23 day of May, 2004, at 1335, 5240 N. 14th St., Phoenix, Arizona, 85014.

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

(Authority To Administer Oath)
PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

Investigative whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army 860MP Company / AZ ARNG and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am accused: SUSPECTED - Failure to Obey Order or Regulation / Maltreatment of a Prisoner or Detainee.

I have the following rights:

1. The right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or

2. or

3. the UCMJ; I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have the right to stop answering questions at any time, or ask privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

WITNESSES (If available)

SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE

SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR

5. HORNED NAME OF INVESTIGATOR

6. ORGANIZATION OF INVESTIGATOR

860MP / AZ ARNG

I do not want to be questioned or say anything

DATE

TIME

FILE NO.

GRADE/STATUS

ID 023 672

S RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

For use of this form, see AR 190-30; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 3012(g)

PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified. Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval. Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.

DATE

TIME

FILE NO.

GRADE/STATUS

ID 023 672

S RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

For use of this form, see AR 190-30; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 3012(g)

PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified. Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval. Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.
MEMORANDUM FOR [REDACTED] Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 82d Airborne Division

SUBJECT: Appointment as AR 15-6 Investigating Officer

1. You are hereby appointed an investigating officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct an informal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the enclosed photograph dated 21 December 2003. The photograph, taken at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere, Iraq, depicts [REDACTED], members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard), and detainees. Preliminary evidence in the form of a Commander's Inquiry conducted by [REDACTED] is enclosed. Direct coordination with the 855th MP Company is authorized.

2. From the evidence, you will make appropriate findings and recommendations. Your findings will, at a minimum, address the following:

   a. Whether other photographs of a similar nature exist.

   b. Whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct with respect to detainees by [REDACTED], other members of the 82d Airborne Division, or members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) at the DIF during the timeframe when the photograph was taken.

3. Your investigation will be conducted using the informal procedures under AR 15-6. All witnesses will be sworn and each witness will be provided a Privacy Act statement. If in the course of your investigation you come to suspect that any individual may have committed a criminal offense, you must advise them of their rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, or the Fifth Amendment, as appropriate, using DA Form 3881. You may obtain assistance with these legal matters and your investigation from the Office of the Staff Judge Advocate.

4. Submit your findings and recommendations on DA Form 1574, along with all supporting documentation, within ten days for legal review and submission to me. Contact [REDACTED] should you need additional time to complete your investigation.

Encl as

[EXHIBIT]

CHARLES H. SWANNACK, JR.
MG, USA
Commanding
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN
The DCCP / DIF established standards for normal operations. A SOP was created by detailing the execution of every phase of EPW handling and operations; the first draft was completed on 26 OCT 03. It was reviewed and accepted by the Division PMO and the 3BCT command. As lessons were learned in the daily operations of the collection point, it became necessary to periodically update the SOP. At least two additional updates were distributed on 15 NOV 03, and on 27 JAN 04. The SOP was generated using Army Regulation 190-8, and Field Manuals 19-40, 19-1, 3-19-4, and 27-10, along with published guidance from the 18th MP BDE, the 32nd ABN DIV, and CJT-7 concerning EPW operations.

The actions taken by his chain of command to ensure his soldiers understood and complied with those established standards, after initially publishing the SOP, all MP personnel who were assigned to the DCCP were required to read, understand, and discuss the SOP, during their next shift. The leaders (E6’s) were individually counseled by Nightly we had company level BIB’s in which feedback was received from the DCCP OIC/NCOIC on cage operations and also more detailed guidance distributed on them, of which they were required to brief shift NCOICs who were required to brief shift personnel on any changes or updates. Senior leadership within the company to include periodically and regularly expected operations within the DCCP unannounced in order to determine if policy was being maintained. Additionally the SOP led for any person who observes or who suspects abuse by either Capturing personnel, MP’s on guard, or MI interrogators, to report it immediately to myself and I made it a wake-up CCIR. The SOP was also posted near the MP Breakroom, and the graph that dealt with MP treatment and handling procedures was posted in several prominent locations within the DCCP.

Your assessment of the level of understanding which had regarding the unit.

This is all the unit DCCP SOP, and proved their understanding by being able to perform to standard all the tasks SOP outlines for their daily operations. I believe all three of my soldiers involved in the incident in question were aware that behavior was unacceptable and did not conform to policies and guidelines set by this chain of command.

Case A: MFR DCCP SOP 26 OCT 03
Case B: MFR FR AGO TO DCCP SOP 29 NOV 03
Case C: MFR EPW DISCI PlINE 02 JAN 04
Case D: MFR FR AGO TO DCCP SOP 27 JAN 04 – Nothing Follows
I, [redacted], having been first duly sworn, deposes and says:

The statements of [redacted]... are [redacted].

I have [redacted] and [redacted] the [redacted]... and the [redacted]... of each page containing the statements.

I have [redacted] all [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] contained in the [redacted]... [redacted] [redacted]... by [redacted], a person authorized by law to administer oaths.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me, this [redacted] day of [redacted]... at the Senior Army Advisor's Office.

[Signature of Person Administering Oath]

[Typed Name of Person Administering Oath]

[Authority to Administer Oaths]
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: 82ND Airborne Division (ABN) Central Collection Point Standard Operating Procedures

1. References.
   a. FM 19-40, Enemy Prisoners of War, Civilian Internees and Detainees, 27 February 76
   b. FM 19-1, Military Police Support to Multinational & Interagency Operations, 26 February 97
   c. AR 190-8, Enemy Prisoner of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees, and other Detainees, 1 October 97
   d. FM 3.19-4, Military Police Leader's Handbook, 4 March 2002

2. General.
   a. Unless otherwise stated, whenever the feminine gender is used, both men and women are included.

3. Purpose.
   a. This SOP establishes responsibilities and defines procedures for the execution of assigned Military Police (MP) at the Division Central Collection Point (DCCP) by the 855 Military Police Company in support of the 82nd Airborne Division. It provides detailed guidance for the processing, care, and confinement of enemy prisoner of war (EPW) being held at the DCCP.

4. Scope.
   a. This SOP applies to all personnel assigned or attached to the 855 Military Police Company during the conduct of EPW operations.

5. Changes.
   a. This SOP is a living document and will be updated periodically to reflect changes in doctrine, equipment, and software. Recommendations for changes or improvements to this SOP should be forwarded to: Commander, 855 MP Company, ATTN: DCCP OIC, APO AB 09384 Telephone [redacted]

EXHIBIT 9
   a. The 855 MP Company will operate and have overall responsibility of the 82nd ABN
      DCCP located at [REDACTED] immediately and until properly relieved by another
      MP unit.
   b. The intent of the DCCP is to evacuate detainees no later than 72 hours after the point
      of classification made by MI personnel. Detainees will be evacuated or released at
      that point unless they have not been interviewed or have been interviewed and it has
      been decided that the detainee has intelligence value that must be exploited at that
      location before transfer. MP personnel will rely on a memorandum from the DIF
      OIC to determine prisoner classification and extensions of the 72-hour guide.

7. Task Organization.
   a. The DCCP consists of a DCCP OIC (1), NCOIC (1), Shift NCOIC (3), and junior
      enlisted personnel (24). These elements provide Internment Facility Management,
      administrative and logistical support, and execute assigned EPW handling missions.
   b. The DCCP OIC designates the main effort. He sufficiently weighs the main effort for
      each shift (i.e., MP personnel, equipment, EPW handling) to ensure adequate
      coverage of resources and continuous operations.
   c. The NCOIC also designates supporting efforts that will aid in the accomplishment of
      each EPW shift.
   d. Attachments.
      I. Engineers. The DCCP OIC normally has already assigned engineers a
         priority of work. He is responsible for coordinating with all engineers
         operating in the DCCP to ensure the commander's priorities are being
         adhered to. He must also ensure that engineer assets are not wasted and he
         must also provide guidance within the DCCP. The DCCP OIC or NCOIC
         may be required to provide labor support and or security to assist the
         engineers.
      II. Military Intelligence. The DIF OIC or NCOIC of the MI Teams will assign
         the priority of work for the MI Teams. The DCCP OIC will not dictate the
         employment or further suballocate or task organize any supporting MI
         elements. He is responsible for coordinating with all MI personnel operating
         in the DCCP to ensure the commander's priorities are being adhered to. The
         DCCP OIC or NCOIC may be required to provide security support to assist
         the MI Teams.
      III. Medical. The 82nd ABN Forward Surgical Team (FST) will provide medical
         support to the DCCP. Only qualified medical staff will determine if an EPW
         needs urgent care based on the following guidelines: life, limb, or eyesight.
         The DCCP OIC or NCOIC is responsible for evacuating EPWs to the FST.
         The DCCP OIC or NCOIC may be required to provide security support to
         assist medical personnel in the handling of EPWs.
      IV. Interpreters/Linguists. A CAT I interpreter will be available for the purpose
         of interpreting vocal commands to EPWs. In addition, a CAT II interpreter is
         available from MI personnel, if needed. Furthermore, some MI personnel are
         trained linguists.
   a. The DCCP will operate on a 24/7 timeline.
   b. Each shift will operate on an 8-hour schedule. MP personnel can be recalled up to 4 hours before or after an 8-hour shift. The hours for each shift are as follows:
      - Day Shift: 0600-1400
      - Swing Shift: 1400-2200
      - Night Shift: 2200-0600
   c. The Shift NCOIC will determine the need for the recall. This will be based mainly on personnel strength and mission requirements.

9. Uniform.
   a. All MP personnel are required to bring all equipment needed to perform his assigned task. This includes, but is not limited to, assigned weapon (M16, M249, or M9), Kevlar, Flak Vest, and Promask.
   b. While the weather will mainly dictate the uniform, the following are guidelines:
      - I. BDU Top can be removed in extreme heat. T-shirt must be serviceable.
      - II. Sweaters can be placed under the BDU Top in cold weather.
      - III. Boonie hat or patrol cap are optional.
   c. MP personnel assigned to tower duty will have their Kevlar on at all times, unless removing it to scratch their head or to give a few minutes of comfort.
   d. Combat lifesavers (CLS) will bring their CLS bags with them in case of an emergency.
   e. The platoon medic (DOC) will bring whatever equipment necessary needed to provide adequate and efficient medical care to all detainees.

10. Local Contractors.
    a. All local contractors conducting business within the DCCP will be escorted at all times. This will prevent their exposure to any detainees housed in the DCCP. NEVER will a contractor have any contact with a detainee.
    b. The following contractors are only permitted on the grounds of the DCCP:
       - I. Generator mechanics that service the 150KW and the generator/light sets.
       - II. Personnel that service all port-a-johns.
       - III. Personnel that pick-up the trash.
    c. These local contractors will come on a daily basis.

11. Entering the DCCP.
    a. Units dropping off EPWs to the DCCP will utilize the phone, located to the east of the front gate, to notify MP personnel of their arrival.
    b. Other military personnel needing authorization to enter the DCCP will go through the Company commander.
    c. Any personnel conducting business or visiting on official business will relinquish their weapon if contact with an EPW is requested.
    d. NO ONE is allowed to take photographs of the DCCP or any EPWs. MI personnel are the only military personnel allowed to take photographs of EPWs for the sole purpose of creating and maintaining an EPW interrogation file.
    e. Any one entering the DCCP is subject to search.
12. Exiting the DCCP.
   a. Units dropping off EPWs for processing will not exit or leave the DCCP until the Shift NCOIC has verified that all documentation on each EPW is accurate and satisfactorily completed.
   b. Any one exiting the DCCP is subject to search.

13. In-processing EPWs.
   a. Units dropping off EPWs to this facility are required to provide personnel for the adequate security of the EPWs during processing. The Shift NCOIC will determine where and for how long these personnel will be utilized.
   b. Only MP personnel will search and segregate incoming detainees.
   c. The following documentation – EPW Packet – is needed by the unit which is dropping off the EPW for processing:
      I. DA Form 2745, EPW Tag
      II. Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) Forces Apprehension Form
      III. Two – DA Form 2823, Sworn Statement
      IV. DA Form 4137, Evidence/Property Custody Sheet (if needed)
      V. DD Form 629, Receipt for Prisoner or Detained Person will be given if the unit dropping off prisoner(s) requests one.
   d. MP personnel will ensure all property received is documented on DA Form 4137 with an accurate description, as best as possible. In addition, all monies received will be counted by type (i.e. 250 Dinar notes, 1000 Dinar notes, 10000 Dinar notes, etc.).
   e. MP personnel will assist MP personnel with the categorization or classification of EPWs.
   f. An MP will escort all EPWs individually once the processing has begun. No other individuals will perform this task.
   g. The OIC or NCOIC of the unit dropping off detainees will be the only personnel allowed into the processing area. All other personnel should wait by their vehicles or in the designated smoking/break area.
   h. Before the EPW continues to the medical screening area, the MP assigned to escort him will ensure that the EPW has read and understands the rules of the camp.

14. Medically Screening EPWs.
   a. All EPWs will be subjected to a thorough medical screen prior to being detained.
   b. The DOC will be responsible that all prior injuries, ailments, and current medicines being taken are annotated on the following document:
      I. Detainee Medical Screening Sheet
   c. In addition, statements made by the EPW, during the medical screen will be annotated.
   d. The DOC will determine if he needs additional support to carry out the mission.
   e. Any detainee needing urgent medical care will be evacuated to the 82nd FST with coordination for security and transport made prior to him being evacuated.
   f. Any physical signs of mistreatment must be reported to the DCCP OIC immediately.
15. EPW Handling and Confinement.
   a. ALL EPWs are to be treated with dignity and respect. Do not mistreat detainees during searches, questioning, detention, or transport. Do not subject detainees to public humiliation. Mistreatment includes but is not limited to the following:
      I. Hitting
      II. Slapping
      III. Kicking
      IV. Butt-stroking
      V. Spitting-on
      VI. Using abusive language, or
      VII. Causing mental anxiety (i.e. mock executions, the threatening of family members, etc.)
   b. Any and all personnel working or handling EPWs in the DCCP are subject to UCMJ.
   c. MP personnel will allow EPWs to utilize the latrine once during each shift or if requested.
   d. MP personnel will provide two meals per day to all EPWs.
   e. All EPWs will be provided a sleeping mat and a blanket.
   f. Showers will be provided if an EPW is confined to the DCCP for more than 5 days.
   g. EPWs are subject to “work details” within the DCCP for the sole purpose of maintaining operational status (i.e. cleanliness of cells and confinement areas).

16. Out-processing EPWs.
   a. The DIF OIC will determine which detainees out-process the DCCP with guidance from division. EPWs will either be released to Host Nation authorities (HA) or processed forward to the Corp Holding Area (CHA – Abu Ghurayb).
   b. Upon determination, a list will be given to the shift NCOIC so that transportation requirements are coordinated and EPWs are out-processed.
   c. All EPW packets will go forward to the CHA. This includes any evidence processed by the DCCP for each individual EPW.
   d. A DA Form 629 will be collected by the NCOIC responsible for the transport of the EPWs.

17. Operational Security:
   a. Cells will not exceed the 25-person capacity without the authorization of the company commander or higher.
   b. MP guards will ensure that order is maintained on the DCCP at all times.
   c. MP guards will ensure that cage counts are done on each shift, but not in a manner that creates a noticeable pattern for the detainees. The shift NCOIC will report the EPW count to the company TOC twice on his shift.
   d. MP personnel are authorized deadly force, in the event of self-defense, or if a prisoner attempts to penetrate the inner security wire of the DCCP.
   e. All MP personnel will follow the current ROE for the theater and follow the Five S’s – shout, shove, silence, segregate, and shoot.
   f. If a prisoner attempts escape, a guard tower will shout “Halt” three times, before engaging his target. Warning shots are authorized.
The POCs are in the cc line. DSN number is (this is the number, but POCs are close enough to use it).

S/P.

-----Original Message-----
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 2:42 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Contact Information

I was the [Redacted] for the 82nd Airborne Division. I am currently conducting an investigation into some misconduct of some personnel at the 82nd Airborne Division Interrogation Facility while we were supporting OIF. I need to contact the CACI contractors or one of your reps at the Interrogation Facility (at FOB Alujah, formerly FOB St. Mere). If you could please pass me a DSN number/SIPR contact info for anyone there, it would be a great help.

Thanks.
I received the emails regarding your investigation of the photograph in question. All of my information pertaining to the photograph in question is hearsay, as I never actually saw it. However, I can tell you what was told to me. I happened to run into [redacted] in Kuwait as he was returning from leave and I was on my way out of country. He told me that he happened to see [redacted] in Kuwait a couple of weeks earlier and dined with her in the chow hall. That is where he told her about the picture in question. When I asked him what picture he was referring to, he described it to me much as you did, although your description provides more detail. Unfortunately, [redacted] no longer works at this facility and I’m unaware of his current contact information. In reference to your specific questions:

1. Does this description of the photo match with the one that was found on the computer and of which [redacted], had been informed? Based on your description and that told to me by [redacted], I believe this is the same photograph.

2. Is the photo, or any other similar photos, still on the CACI computer or any other computers at the interrogation facility? When the Marines took over this facility, one of the initial tasks performed was system maintenance. Allegedly, this was when unofficial photographs were discovered on one particular computer. Unofficial photos should never have been there in the first place, so they were ordered removed by the HET 11 OIC. It was during this process that the photo in question was discovered. I was neither present at the discovery of these photos, nor am I aware what has happened to them since. I assume they were...

3. Have copies of this photo or any similar photos been further disseminated? If so, to whom? I have no information as to the dissemination of this or any other photograph.

4. Do the CACI personnel know of any evidence of improper conduct on the part of 313th MI BN interrogators or MPs at the DIF? I have no knowledge of any improper conduct.

Should you require any further information, feel free to contact me directly.

Regards,
the one who originally talked with [redacted] in Kuwait. My responses to your questions are written below.

1. Is this description of the photo match with the one that was found on the CACI computer and of which [redacted] had been informed? The description that you provided does indeed sound very similar to the photograph that we saw.

2. Is the photo, or any other similar photos, still on the CACI computer or any other computers at the interrogation facility? To my knowledge all photos with detainees in them have been deleted from all computers at the DIF.

Have copies of this photo or any similar photos been further disseminated? If so, to whom? The photos were deleted as soon as they were seen and were not/not disseminated to anyone.

Do the CACI personnel know of any evidence of improper conduct on the part of 313th MI BN interrogators or MPs at the DIF? The picture in question is the only questionable activity that I know of (or evidence of proper conduct). For the most part all the soldiers that I came in contact with on the 313th MI BN were professional and competent. I fully believe that it was an isolated incident and was done without the knowledge of [redacted]. I do know for a fact that [redacted] would not authorize actions taken/shown in the described photo.

It was relocated and I am currently serving with the [redacted] in NE Baghdad. If I can be of more assistance please contact me by email address.
g. Absolutely no visits will be allowed, at the DCCP, by detainees' family members, friends, or associates. All requests for visitation will be denied, and information on the CHA and their visitation policy will be given.

h. MP guards will not speak with detainees except for the purpose of facilitating camp security or giving instructions. No casual or personal conversation will be authorized between guards and detainees.

i. In the event an EPW breaches the perimeter block wall the company commander and the QRF for FOB St. Mere will be notified immediately. The BDOC is responsible for authorizing the QRF. In addition, the BDOC will notify all perimeter tower guards of the escape. The QRF becomes OPCON to the DCCP OIC for the sole purpose of capturing the escapee and resuming operational security.

18. Reports and Logs.
   a. The shift NCOIC will maintain all EPW logs utilized for the purpose of tracking EPWs and EPW documents.
   b. The following logs are to be maintained:
      I. EPW Property Log
      II. EPW Release Log
      III. Cell Transfer Log
      IV. EPW File Tracker
      V. EPW Processing Log
      VI. Daily Staff Journal
   c. The OIC or NCOIC is overall responsible for maintaining and furnishing the following documents on a daily basis:
      I. Division Detainee Tracker
      II. EPW Cell Manifest

19. POC for this SOP is [Redacted]

1. EPW latrines will be cleaned (wiped with a sponge and water w/pine oil) after each latrine break. In addition, a police call will be conducted around the cells, on the day and swing shifts. The floor of the latrine can be swept. One, two, or three detainees can make this happen. Furthermore, each cell will be swept daily and mopped on these days. Here is the schedule for the mopping of the cells:
   - Sunday — dayshift
   - Tuesday — afternoon shift
   - Friday — nightshift
   This will help in controlling the spread of disease the EPWs might carry.

2. The in-processing area will be swept and police called daily and on each shift. This can be accomplished by an EPW. A soldier will sweep the break area and the areas behind the counters on each shift.

3. EPW items that are not claimed by an EPW will go into a box for storage. Ensure that the EPW tag number and the name of the EPW is on the item. The EPW might come back to reclaim the item after a certain period. These items will be kept no more than 90 days. After this period, the item will go to charity.

4. The 5-gallon fuel cans will be filled by the afternoon shift. Fuel must be retrieved at the fuel point. Use of the white pick-up is authorized. **DO NOT** take the pick-up through the brigade area (PX, DFAC, BDE TOC, etc.); go around the West End to the fuel point. The tanker that delivers fuel to the generator does not carry enough fuel to top off all 21 generators on FOB St. Mere and any 5-gallon fuel cans, that might be located at each stop. The day shift will continue to fill the generator/light-sets once they are turned off.

5. The area around the cells will be cleaned by each shift. Each shift is leaving trash, mats, blankets, and bags from blankets lying around the cells. SFC Hansen and I have both noticed this. This is just plain laziness with no supervision.

6. **NO** radios, CD players, or computers are allowed up in the towers. These items are still being taken up there. Furthermore, soldiers are not wearing their kevlars, as previously stated.

7. Cigarette/cigar smoking is **ONLY AUTHORIZED** up front under the awning. Put the butts in the can. Each shift will empty out the butt can prior to leaving. **DO NOT** throw your butts on the ground!
8. The only uniforms authorized at the DCCP is as follows:
   - Full DCU uniform (top and bottoms) or
   - Full DCU uniform with black watch cap or
   - DCU bottoms with black fleece top or
   - DCU bottoms with brown sweater or black fleece top and/or black watch cap.
   Any other deviation from what is stated is prohibited.

9. NCOICs make sure your shift is run according to these SOPs and the ones previously stated. There is no reason these things should not be happening. If the shift is too busy to make it happen, let the incoming NCOIC know why, so that it can happen. I've stated this before and so has...)

10. POC for these additions is
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: The discipline of EPWs by military intelligence (MI) personnel

1) The following guidelines will be adhered to by all personnel, when MI personnel are conducting discipline techniques on EPWs for the sole purpose of intelligence gathering:

A) When MI personnel decide to conduct physical fitness (PT) on an EPW who will not talk or cooperate with the interrogation process, (1) MP and (1) interpreter will assist with the guarding of the EPW.

B) AT NO TIME will an MP give a command in order to assist MI with the discipline process. ONLY orders to move or for the purpose of protection to MP, MI, interpreter personnel will be given by MPs.

C) The discipline process that MI conducts WILL NOT BE a "spectator sport."

D) If no MPs are available to assist MI, a minimum of (2) MI personnel will be present at all times with the EPW.

E) The purpose of these guidelines will enhance the security of MP, MI, and interpreter personnel handling EPWs, as well as allow MI to effectively pursue needed intelligence.

2) Any questions to this SOP will be addressed to the undersigned at Camp Stronghold.
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: 82ND Airborne Division (ABN) Central Collection Point Standard Operating Procedures

1. References.
   a. FM 19-40, Enemy Prisoners of War, Civilian Internees and Detainees, 27 February 76
   c. FM 19-1, Military Police Support to Multinational & Interagency Operations, 26 February 97
   d. AR 190-8, Enemy Prisoner of War, Retained Personnel, Civilian Internees, and other Detainees, 1 October 97
   e. FM 3.19-4, Military Police Leader’s Handbook, 4 March 2002
   f. FM 27-10, Law of Land Warfare

2. Purpose.
   a. This SOP establishes responsibilities and defines procedures for the execution of assigned Military Police (MP) at the Division Central Collection Point (DCCP) by the 855 Military Police Company in support of the 82nd Airborne Division. It provides detailed guidance for the processing, care, and confinement of enemy prisoners of war (EPW) being held at the DCCP. The terms EPW and detainee used throughout this document are synonymous.

   a. This SOP applies to all personnel assigned or attached to the 855 Military Police Company during the conduct of EPW operations.

   a. This SOP is a living document and will be updated periodically to reflect changes in doctrine, equipment, and software. Recommendations for changes or improvements to this SOP should be forwarded to: Commander, 855 MP Company, ATTN: DCCP OIC, APO AE 09384 Telephone DNV 75.

   a. The 855 MP Company will operate and have overall responsibility of the 82nd ABN DCCP located at immediately and until properly relieved by another MP unit.

EXHIBIT 9
b. The intent of the DCCP is to evacuate detainees no later than 72 hours after the point of classification made by MI personnel. Detainees will be evacuated or released at that point unless they have not been interviewed or have been interviewed and it has been decided that the detainee has intelligence value that must be exploited at that location before transfer. MP personnel will rely on a memorandum from the DIF OIC to determine prisoner classification and extensions of the 72-hour guide.

c. Purpose: The primary goal of the Division Central Collection Point is to relieve the forward combat units from the logistical and personnel demands needed to detain EPW's. Secondary goals are to consolidate the division's detainees for the purpose of interrogation and intelligence gathering. Detainees' knowledge and information of the battlefielf need to be exploited at the earliest opportunity and information obtained disseminated down to combat commanders in order to increase their understanding of the situation.

6. Task Organization.
   a. The DCCP consists of a DCCP OIC (1), NCOIC (1), Shift NCOIC (3), and junior enlisted personnel (24). These elements provide Internment Facility Management, administrative and logistical support, and execute assigned EPW handling missions. The facility management will not go below a minimum of an 8 person “guard” force on duty at any one time.
   b. The DCCP OIC designates the main effort. He sufficiently weighs main effort for each shift (i.e., MP personnel, equipment, EPW handling) to ensure adequate coverage of resources and continuous operations.
   c. The NCOIC also designates supporting efforts that will aid in the accomplishment of each EPW shift.
   d. Attachments:
      1) Engineers. The DCCP OIC normally has already assigned engineers a priority of work. He is responsible for coordinating with all engineers operating in the DCCP to ensure the commander’s priorities are being adhered to. He must also ensure that engineer assets are not wasted and he must also provide guidance within the DCCP. The DCCP OIC or NCOIC may be required to provide labor support and or security to assist the engineers.
      2) Military Intelligence. The DIF OIC or NCOIC of the MI Teams will assign the priority of work for the MI Teams. The DCCP OIC will not dictate the employment or further suballocate or task organize any supporting MI elements. He is responsible for coordinating with all MI personnel operating in the DCCP to ensure the commander’s priorities are being adhered to. The DCCP OIC or NCOIC may be required to provide security support to assist the MI Teams.
      3) Medical. The 82nd ABN Forward Surgical Team (FST) will provide medical support to the DCCP. Only qualified medical staff will determine if an EPW needs urgent care based on the following guidelines: life, limb, or eyesight. The DCCP OIC or NCOIC is responsible for evacuating EPWs to the FST. The DCCP OIC or NCOIC may be required to provide or coordinate security support to assist medical personnel in the handling...
of EPWs. Furthermore, Preventive Medicine will conduct two visits per month for the purpose of disease prevention.

4) Interpreters/Linguists. A CAT I interpreter will be available for the purpose of interpreting vocal commands to EPWs. In addition, a CAT II interpreter is available from MI personnel, if needed. Furthermore, some MI personnel are trained linguists.

7. Operations.
   a. The DCCP will operate on a 24/7 timeline.
   b. Each shift will operate on an 8-hour schedule. MP personnel can be recalled up to 4 hours before or after an 8-hour shift. The hours for each shift are as follows:
      - Day Shift: 0600-1400
      - Swing Shift: 1400-2200
      - Night Shift: 2200-0600
   c. The Shift NCOIC will determine the need for the recall. This will be based mainly on personnel strength and mission requirements.

8. Uniform.
   a. All MP personnel are required to bring all equipment needed to perform his assigned task. This includes, but is not limited to, assigned weapon (M16, M249, or M9), Kevlar, Flak Vest, and Promask.
   b. While the weather will mainly dictate the uniform, the following are guidelines:
      1) BDU Top can be removed in extreme heat. T-shirt must be serviceable.
      2) Boonie hat or patrol cap are optional.
      3) Full DCU uniform (top and bottoms) or
      4) Full DCU uniform with black watch cap or
      5) DCU bottoms with black fleece top or
      6) DCU bottoms with brown sweater or black fleece top and/or black watch cap. Any other deviation from what is stated is prohibited.
   c. MP personnel assigned to tower duty will have their Kevlar on at all times.
   d. Combat lifesavers (CLS) will bring their CLS bags with them in case of an emergency.
   e. The platoon medic (DOC) will bring whatever equipment necessary needed to provide adequate and efficient medical care to all detainees.

9. Local Contractors.
   a. All local contractors conducting business within the DCCP will be escorted at all times. This will prevent their exposure to any detainees housed in the DCCP. NEVER will a contractor have any contact with a detainee.
   b. The following contractors are only permitted on the grounds of the DCCP:
      1) Generator mechanics that service the 150KW and the generator/light sets.
      2) Personnel that service all port-a-johns.
      3) Personnel that pick-up the trash.
   c. These local contractors will come on a daily basis.
10. In-Processing

a. Entering the DCCP

1) Units dropping off EPWs to the DCCP will utilize the phone, located to the east of the front gate, to notify MP personnel of their arrival.
2) Other military personnel needing authorization to enter the DCCP will go through the Company commander.
3) Any personnel conducting business or visiting on official business will relinquish their weapon, at the front desk, if contact with an EPW is requested.
4) NO ONE is allowed to take photographs of the DCCP or any EPWs. MI personnel are the only military personnel allowed to take photographs of EPWs for the sole purpose of creating and maintaining an EPW interrogation file.
5) Any one entering the DCCP is subject to search.

b. Exiting the DCCP.

1) Units dropping off EPWs for processing will not exit or leave the DCCP until the Shift NCOIC has verified that all documentation on each EPW is accurate and satisfactorily completed. Shift NCOIC has the authority to refuse acceptance of any detainee, if paperwork is incomplete or incorrect.
2) Any one exiting the DCCP is subject to search.

c. In-processing EPWs.

1) Units dropping off EPWs to this facility are required to provide personnel for the adequate security of the EPWs during processing. The Shift NCOIC will determine where and for how long these personnel will be utilized.
2) Only MP personnel will search and segregate incoming detainees.
3) The following documentation — EPW Packet — is needed by the unit which is dropping off the EPW for processing:
   I. DA Form 2745, EPW Tag
   II. Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) Forces Apprehension Form
   III. Two — DA Form 2823, Sworn Statement
   IV. DA Form 4137, Evidence/Property Custody Sheet (if seeded)
   V. DD Form 629, Receipt for Prisoner or Detained Person will be given if the unit dropping off prisoner(s) requests one.
4) MP personnel will ensure all property received is documented on DA Form 4137 with an accurate description, as best as possible. In addition, all monies received will be counted by type (i.e. 250 Dinar notes, 1000 Dinar notes, 10000 Dinar notes, etc.).
5) MI personnel will assist MP personnel with the categorization or classification of EPWs.
6) An MP will escort all EPWs individually once the processing has begun. No other individuals will perform this task.
7) The OIC or NCOIC of the unit dropping off detainees will be the only personnel allowed into the processing area. All other personnel should wait by their vehicles or in the designated smoking/break area.
8) Before the EPW continues to the medical screening area, the MP assigned to escort him will ensure that the EPW has read and understands the rules of the camp.

d. Medically Screening EPWs.
   1) All EPWs will be subjected to a thorough medical screen prior to being detained. This will include a visual inspection of the detainee’s full body and not merely a verbal inquiry into their health. All measures will be taken to provide the detainee an adequate area for the removal of his/her clothing with the full intent of keeping the procedure private.
   2) A trained female combat medic or female health specialist will medically screen females who are being detained.
   3) The DOC will be responsible that all prior injuries, ailments, and current medicines being taken are annotated on the following document with the greatest detail possible, Detainee Medical Screening Sheet.
   4) In addition, statements made by the EPW, during the medical screen will be annotated.
   5) The DOC will determine if he needs additional support to carry out the mission.
   6) Any detainee needing urgent medical care will be evacuated to the 82nd FST with coordination for security and transport made prior to him being evacuated.
   7) Any physical signs of mistreatment must be reported to the DCCP OIC immediately. Any and all bruises or injuries will be documented on the detainee medical screening form in the greatest detail possible. Injuries will be photographed.

11. Detention Operations

   a. EPW Handling and Confinement.
      1) ALL EPWs are to be treated with dignity and respect. Do not mistreat detainees during searches, questioning, detention, or transport. Do not subject detainees to public humiliation. Mistreatment includes but is not limited to the following:

         I. Hitting
         II. Slapping
         III. Kicking
         IV. Butt-stroking
         V. Spitting-on
         VI. Using abusive language, or
         VII. Causing mental anxiety (i.e. mock executions, the threatening of family members, etc.)
2) Any and all personnel working or handling EPWs in the DCCP are subject to UCMJ. Service members who are found to have violated this order or who mistreat detainees risk punishment under UCMJ. Paragraph 3.b.(2(a) through (f) are punitive and violations are subject to punishment under UCMJ.

3) Any person who suspects physical or mental abuse can and WILL report those findings immediately, additionally this is CCIR for the Company Commander. Service members who fail to report abuse or fail to prevent abuse are subject to UCMJ.

4) MP Guards will ensure that all detainees are protected from insult, injury, public curiosity, violence, reprisal, sexual attack, hostage acts, and threats.

5) MP personnel will allow EPWs to utilize the latrine once during each shift and if requested.

6) MP personnel will provide two meals per day to all EPWs.

7) All EPWs will be provided a sleeping mat and a blanket.

8) All EPWs will be allowed to walk and mingle within their cells. If at all possible, MPs will allow inmates to "walk" the area around the cells for the purpose of exercise and fresh air. Detainees will be allowed to exercise at will inside their cells, unless or until activity becomes unruly, loud, or presents a security risk.

9) MPs will not hinder the practice of religion by the detainees (i.e. telling them to stop doing that). Detainees can practice their religion freely.

10) EPWs are subject to "work details" within the DCCP for the sole purpose of maintaining operational status (i.e. cleanliness of cells and confinement areas).

11) EPWs and the cells they are confined to are subject to random "shakedowns" for their safety and for the safety of the guards.

b. Operational Security

1) Cells will not exceed the 25-person capacity without the authorization of the company commander or higher.

2) MP guards will ensure that order is maintained on the DCCP at all times.

3) MP guards will ensure that cage counts are done on each shift, but not in a manner that creates a noticeable pattern for the detainees. The shift NCOIC will report the EPW count to the company TOC twice on his shift.

4) MP personnel are authorized deadly force, in the event of self-defense, or if a prisoner attempts to penetrate the inner security wire of the DCCP.

5) All MP personnel will follow the current ROE for the theater and follow the Five S's of graduated force:

   I. Shout – "Halt" or "Kiff."
   II. Show your weapon and your intent to use it.
   III. Shove to restrain, block access, or detain.
   IV. Shoot (to warn.)
V. Shoot (to kill.)

6) If a prisoner attempts escape, a guard tower will shout “Halt” or “KIFF” in Arabic, three times, before engaging his target. Warning shots are authorized, but should not be aimed over the wall of the DCCP.

7) Detainees will not be allowed visits by a detainees’ family members, friends, or associates at the DCCP. All requests for visitation will be denied, and information on the CHA and their visitation policy will be given. Reasons behind this policy is due to the limited time EPWs are under our control, and for the reason of protecting the facility against surveillance or attacks.

8) MP guards and CAT I interpreters will not speak with detainees except for the purpose of facilitating camp security or giving instructions. No casual or personal conversation will be authorized between guards and detainees.

9) In the event an EPW breaches the perimeter block wall the company commander and the QRF for FOB St. Mere will be notified immediately. The BDOC is responsible for authorizing the QRF. In addition, the BDOC will notify all perimeter tower guards of the escape. The QRF becomes OPCON to the DCCP OIC for the sole purpose of capturing the escapee and resuming operational security.

10) All MP guards will have available and be knowledgeable in basic Arabic commands in order to facilitate ease in moving detainees.

11) Any time MP’s are handling EPWs they must be able to increase their use of force to deadly force. Guards will not allow themselves to be put in situations where the ability to use deadly force is limited by the terrain and/or equipment.

12) The two over watching guard towers, labeled east and west will be occupied at all times by at least one MP. Roving patrols outside of the cages but within the wired compound will be in groups of no less than two MP’s. Any time an escort is to be conducted from the holding area to the interrogation area or vice versa it will take place with a minimum of two MP’s. Latrine and exercise breaks will be supervised by no less than 3 on ground MP’s. Any time EPWs are in the interrogation or isolation area one MP will be available to assist MI personnel at all times.

c. Reports and Logs.

1) The shift NCQIC will maintain all EPW logs utilized for the purpose of tracking EPWs and EPW documents.

2) The following logs are to be maintained:
- I. EPW Property Log
- II. EPW Release Log
- III. Cell Transfer Log
- IV. EPW File Tracker
- V. EPW Processing Log
- VI. Daily Staff Journal
3) The OIC or NCOIC is overall responsible for maintaining and furnishing the following documents on a daily basis:
   I. Division Detainee Tracker
   II. EPW Cell Manifest

12. Out-processing EPWs.
   a. The DIF OIC will determine which detainees out-process the DCCP with guidance from division. EPWs will either be released to Host Nation authorities (HA) or processed forward to the Corps Holding Area (CHA – Abu Ghurayb).
   b. If a detainee is released to Host Nation authorities, they will be provided a copy of the DA 4137 upon his/her release.
   c. Upon release determination, a list will be given to the shift NCOIC so that transportation requirements are coordinated and EPWs are out-processed.
   d. All EPW packets will go forward to the CHA. This includes any evidence processed by the DCCP for each individual EPW. In addition, two copies of DA Form 4137 – Evidence Property Sheet will be sent along with the transporting unit, so that one can return signed with the transporting unit for DCCP records and chain of custody documentation.
   e. A DA Form 629 will be collected by the NCOIC responsible for the transport of the EPWs.
   f. Other units or agencies who wish to interrogate or take custody of prisoner, must receive permission from the company commander and the transaction will be recorded on a DA 629, regardless of the length of time the EPW will be in another agency care.

   a. EPW latrines will be cleaned (wiped with a sponge and water w/pine oil) after each latrine break. In addition, a police call will be conducted around the cells, on the day and swing shifts. Furthermore, each cell will be swept daily and mopped on these days. Here is the schedule for the mopping of the cells:
      - Sunday – dayshift
      - Tuesday – afternoon shift
      - Friday – nightshift
      This will help in controlling the spread of disease the EPWs might carry.
   b. The in-processing area will be swept and police called daily and on each shift. This can be accomplished by an EPW with an MP guarding the detainee assigned to perform the task. An MP will sweep the break area and the areas behind the counters on each shift.
   c. EPW items that are not claimed by an EPW will go into a box for storage. Ensure that the EPW tag number and the name of the EPW are on the item. The EPW might come back to reclaim the item after a certain period. These items will be kept no more than 90 days. After this period, the item will go to charity.
   d. All 5-gallon fuel cans are to be filled by the afternoon shift. Fuel must be retrieved at the fuel point. The tanker that delivers fuel to the generator does not carry enough fuel to top off all 21 generators on FOB St. Mere and any 5-gallon fuel cans, which
might be located at each stop. The day shift will fill the generator/light-sets once they are turned off.
e. The area around the cells will be cleaned by each shift.
f. NO radios, CD players, or computers are allowed up in the towers.
g. Cigarette/cigar smoking is ONLY AUTHORIZED up front under the awning/break area. Cigarette butts will be disposed of in the butt can provided. Each shift will empty out the butt can prior to leaving.

14. POC for this SOP is the DCCP [redacted] or the undersigned at [redacted].
Questions for 82nd Airborne Division AR 15-6 Investigation dtd 13 May 2004.

Q: What were your duties at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere in Fallujah, Iraq?
A: I was the DCIP at St. Mere, Fallujah, Iraq.

Q: Did you establish policies regarding taking photographs of detainees in the DIF?
A: Yes, to include sign posted in and around the facility near the entrance stating 'No photographs will be allowed in the facility or of the detainees.'

Q: Were these policies understood by all soldiers and civilians working in the DIF?
A: Yes, each person working at the DIF had to sign an acknowledgment sheet that they read, understood, and would adhere to the policies written in the SOPs of humane treatment or misconduct.

Q: Do you know of any actions on the part of MPs or Interrogators that could have been construed as abuse, humiliating, or inhumane treatment or misconduct?
A: There was a very instance where [redacted] and his team [redacted].

Q: Have you ever seen this photograph before (show photo)?
A: No.

Q: Do you know who took this photo and in what manner it was disseminated?
A: I am assuming that it is [redacted] who took the photograph.

Q: Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? Are they who they say they are?
A: Yes.

Were policies regarding photos inside the DIF posted? If so, where were they posted and did soldiers sign any documents acknowledging the policies?
A: Yes, they were posted in between our processing area and where we stored detainee files and had a white board, where we used to track the number of detainees we had at all times and where they were located at all times. As for signing a document acknowledging the policies of the DCIP, yes there was, so stated in the 4th question.
Q. Were you ever informed that the D/F personnel or the facility itself was in violation of regulations, command policies, official guidance, or training procedures?
A. No

Q. Do you know of any instances in which DIF personnel may have abused or humiliated detainees or that MPs / interrogators may displayed misconduct or otherwise treated detainees in an inhumane manner?
A. Yes, the team was verbally counseled by a蕴含 for prohibiting detainees verbally.

Q. Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?
A. Only verbally counseled.
Q: Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the "stress position" displayed in the photograph?
A: The detainees were in a "stress position" ordered by the Intelligence Officer in Charge of the DIF, in an effort to extract intel value from them.

Q: How often were "stress positions" utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
A: In the beginning, these stress positions were used as a means to pressure the detainees into revealing information. Over time, the frequency of their use decreased, and the procedures became less intrusive.

Q: Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
A: Yes, the photograph depicts an action that was not consistent with the procedures that were in place.

Q: Do you know if any other photos exist which may show questionable conduct on the part of MPs and/or interrogators at the DIF?
A: No.

Q: Were DIF personnel trained on and familiar with the policies for the proper treatment of detainees? Were these policies followed?
A: Yes, DIF personnel were trained on the procedures. The SOP actively stated how detainees were to be handled and treated.

Q: Were you present during the CJTF-7 Inspector General inspection of the DIF in JAN 04? Did you receive any feedback on the findings of any inspections?
A: I was not present during the inspection, and I have not received any feedback on the findings of any inspections.

Q: What actions in the photo if any, depict questionable conduct or violate any procedure established? Did you have any knowledge of the actions in the photo?
A: The actions in the photo violate policy by ridiculing the detainees. No, I did not have knowledge of this photograph until today.

AFFIDAVIT

I, have read or had read to me this statement and I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made to me. The statement is true. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing this statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without threat of punishment, and without coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement.

[Signature and Subscriptions]

Typed Name of Person Administering Oath
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**TITLE:** RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

**DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.

**ROUTINE USES:** Disclosure of your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval. Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.

**LOCATION**

**NAME:** [Redacted]

**DATE:** 1/18/20

**TIME:** 1500

**FILE NO.** [Redacted]

**ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS**

855MP Company

AZ ARNG

**PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/ NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE**

SECTION A: Rights

An investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army 860MP Company / AZ ARNG and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am accused: SUSPECTED - Possible Knowledge of Maltreatment of a Detainee. He/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.

If a person subject to the UCMJ I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

For civilians not subject to the UCMJ I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

**COMMENTS:** (Continue on reverse side)

SECTION B: Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and having a lawyer present with me. I have the following rights:

**WITNESSES (If Available)**

**SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE**

**SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR**

**NAME OF INVESTIGATOR**

860MP / AZ ARNG

**I, the interviewee, do not want to be questioned or say anything.**

**SIGNATURE**

ACLU-RDI 1182 p.121
MEMORANDUM FOR

Headquarters and Headquarters
Company, 82d Airborne Division

SUBJECT: Appointment as AR 15-6 Investigating Officer

1. You are hereby appointed an investigating officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct an informal investigation into the circumstances surrounding the enclosed photograph dated 21 December 2003. The photograph, taken at the Division Interrogation Facility (DIF) at FOB St. Mere, Iraq, depicts members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) and detainees. Preliminary evidence in the form of a Commander's Inquiry conducted by Garrison is enclosed. Direct coordination with the 855th MP Company is authorized.

2. From the evidence, you will make appropriate findings and recommendations. Your findings will, at a minimum, address the following:

   a. Whether other photographs of a similar nature exist.

   b. Whether there is evidence to indicate the possibility of abuse, inhumane treatment, or other misconduct with respect to detainees by other members of the 82d Airborne Division, or members of the 855th MP Company (Arizona National Guard) at the DIF during the timeframe when the photograph was taken.

3. Your investigation will be conducted using the informal procedures under AR 15-6. All witnesses will be sworn and each witness will be provided a Privacy Act statement. If in the course of your investigation you come to suspect that any individual may have committed a criminal offense, you must advise them of their rights under Article 31, Uniform Code of Military Justice, or the Fifth Amendment, as appropriate, using DA Form 3881. You may obtain assistance with these legal matters and your investigation from the Office of the Staff Judge Advocate.

4. Submit your findings and recommendations on DA Form 1574, along with all supporting documentation, within ten days for legal review and submission to me. Contact should you need additional time to complete your investigation.

Encls as

CHARLES H. SWANNAK, JR
MG, USA
Commanding
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN

EXHIBIT 9

AW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
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MEMORANDUM FOR Record

SUBJECT: Summary of Telephonic Interview with

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize my telephonic interview with the 855th MP Company Arizona National Guard (AZNG). I conducted this interview as part of an ongoing informal investigation IAW AR 15-6 for which I have been appointed Investigating Officer.

In the timeframe in which a photograph possibly depicting an instance of detainee abuse was taken, the subject gave his name as someone who may have relevant information regarding the photograph in question. I contacted the 855th MP Company and requested that they interview SSG 61

The interview took place at the office of the State of Arizona Advisor for the AZNG. His headquarters is at Fort Safford, TX. He served as a witness for the entire interview and is available for contact at

His e-mail address is:

The interview went as follows:

A: I was the

A: Yes, sir, I was familiar with them. The policies were also posted.

A: The soldiers from the 855th were. I don’t know about the 82nd guys.

d. Q: How can you be sure that they were aware of the policies?
A: All policies were posted on the wall. Everyone had to read and sign a piece of paper saying that they read and understood them.

e. Q: Were records of these acknowledgments maintained?
   A: I believe that when we turned the DIF over to the 94th, all the statements stayed there.

f. Q: Specifically, did you acknowledge that they understood the policies and procedures regarding the proper treatment of detainees and taking their photographs?
   A: I would say 'yes', because they all understood the form.

g. Did the procedures and polices describe preventing detainees from being ridiculed and humiliated?
   A: I can't be sure. It did specifically mention slapping and physical contact.

h. Q: Have you ever seen a photograph that depicts three soldiers in a room with three hooded detainees bound with their hands above their heads in which one of the soldiers is holding a broom stick and pointing it toward the buttocks of one of the detainees?
   A: No, sir. Not until just now when the colonel showed it to me.

i. Q: Do you know who took this photo? If yes, who was the photographer?
   A: No, I don't, sir.

j. Q: Why was this photo taken?
   A: I don't know, sir.

k. Q: Do you recognize the soldiers and/or detainees in this photo? If so, who are they?
   A: The detainees? No. As far as the soldiers, one was MI, or something like that. The other two are.

l. Q: Do you know if this photo was downloaded onto any computers?
   A: I don't know, sir. I don't own one, so I couldn't tell you.

m. Q: To whom was this photo disseminated? Who has copies of it (digital or hard copy)?
   A: I wouldn't know. I have never seen the photo before until the colonel showed it to me just now.

n. Q: Do you know the circumstances under which these detainees were detained and why they were hooded and placed in the "stress position" displayed in the photograph?
   A: I don't know why they were detained. MI would keep them like that in wait while they were conducting interviews. When the interviews were done, they would rotate them out. The reasons they had hoods on was because the MI room was right behind this room. When relatives were being interviewed, they didn't want the others to see that they were turning over information on each other. That's why they were wearing hoods.

o. Q: How often were "stress positions" utilized in the DIF and who was the approving authority for their use?
   A: Usually MI was the one who would approve the positions. We would just go down to the cages, get who they wanted, and turn them over to MI. To give an estimation, I would say they were used once a week, sometimes twice. Usually it was when several people or family members were taken at once. Then they would use them to hold the detainees while they were conducting interviews.

p. Q: Were these detainees placed in this position for the purpose of taking this photograph?
   A: I couldn't tell you. Like I said, I don't have any information on that photograph.

q. Q: Do the actions in this photo violate any of the procedures that were considered acceptable in the DIF?
A: I know they weren't supposed to take photos. Although the humiliation thing isn't a good idea, but like I said, I can't remember if it was in the policy. As far as the position they were in, I think that was allowed, but I'd have to look at the policy to confirm that.

Q: Were any similar photos showing MPs and/or interrogators with detainees ever taken? If so, how were they disseminated?

A: As far as I know, sir, there weren't any. I didn't even know about this one.

Q: Were any DIF personnel ever disciplined or counseled for improper treatment of detainees?

A: I don't remember anyone being counseled for mistreatment, sir.

Q: Did you have any personal observations of or any of the 313th MI interrogators involved in questionable activities at the DIF?

A: No I haven't, sir. The interrogators did all their things behind closed doors, so I don't have any idea about them.

Q: Are you aware of any inspections of the DIF?

A: There had been several inspections. The Marine Corps, the Red Cross. There were so many, I don't even remember all of them. We were always told that the DIF was one of the best ones they had seen.

Q: Do you have anything you would like to add?

A: I don't really have anything.
does work at this facility. He is currently on leave and will be back in-country in a few weeks, although it may be even longer before he can get transportation back to this facility. When he returns he can answer your questions.

As far as records are concerned, it's possible those did exist at one time. In addition to any records, there used to be a poster on a wall right next to the MP desk. It read something like, "I have read and understand detainee policies and procedures....etc." It was filled with many signatures and dates. I think everything disappeared sometime before the Marine Corps took over. No Marine whom I asked can ever remember seeing any records. It is my understanding that the 855th turned over to the 94th, who then turned over to the Marine Corps. Anything that once existed prior to the turnovers is probably long gone.

Regard,

I have two additional matters I would like your input on.

1. Does [redacted] still work at the facility. If he does, I would very much like to hear from him. In addition to the other questions I posed earlier, I would also like to know:
   a. Does he know who loaded the photos on the CACI computer?
   b. Can he confirm that the photos have been deleted (by himself or someone else)?
   c. Does he know of any other photos that might show questionable treatment of detainees at the DIF?

2. I had been told that the MPs from the 855th MP CO (AZNG) had all their personnel sign an acknowledgment that they read and understood the procedures for the proper treatment of detainees. I have been further told that these statements had been left with their replacements (94th MP CO?). I would like to know if any such historical records still exist. If they do, I would have further questions and would probably like to get my hands on scanned copies, but all that can wait until we could verify that these records still exist. I have my doubts, but it's worth a shot.
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Thanks,

---Original Message---

From:

Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2004 9:50 AM

To:

Cc:

Subject: Your Investigation

I received the emails regarding your investigation of the photograph in question. All of my information pertaining to the photograph in question is hearsay, as I never actually saw it. However, I can tell you what was told to me. I happened to run into [redacted] in Kuwait as he was returning from leave and I was on my way out of country. He told me that he happened to see [redacted] Kuwait a couple of weeks earlier and dined with her in the chow hall. That is where he told me about the picture in question. When I asked him what picture he was referring to, he described it much as you did, although your description provides more detail. Unfortunately, he no longer works at this facility and I'm unaware of his current contact information. In reference to your specific questions:

1. Does this description of the photo match with the one that was found on the CACI computer and of which someone had been informed? Based on your description and that told to me by [redacted], I believe this is the same photograph.

2. Is the photo, or any other similar photos, still on the CACI computer or any other computers at the interrogation facility? When the Marines took over this facility, one of the initial tasks performed was system maintenance. Allegedly, this was when unofficial photographs were discovered on one particular computer. Unofficial photos should never have been there in the first place, so they were ordered removed by the HET 11 OIC. It was during this process that the photo in question was discovered. I was neither present at the discovery of these unofficial photos, nor am I aware what happened to them since. I assume they were deleted.

3. Have copies of this photo or any similar photos been further disseminated? If so, to whom? I have no information as to the dissemination of this or any other photograph.

4. Do the CACI personnel know of any evidence of improper conduct on part of 313th MI BN interrogators or MPs at the DIF? I have no knowledge of any improper conduct.

Should you require any further information, feel free to contact me directly.

Regards,
**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:**
Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSN).

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:**
To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately

**ROUTINE USES:**
Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

**DISCLOSURE:**
Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. LOCATION</th>
<th>2. DATE (YYYY/MM/DD)</th>
<th>3. TIME</th>
<th>4. FILE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| BLDG C9157, FT BRAGG, NC 28510 | 2004-05-17 | 15:00 | |}

**5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME**

**6. SSN**

**7. GRADE/STATUS**

**8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS**

**D CO, 318TH Mi BN 182ND AIRBORNE DIVISION, FT. BRAGG, NC 28510**

**9. WANTED TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:**

**Q: WHAT WERE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES IN REGARD TO DETAINES HELD IN THE 82ND ABD DIVISION INTERROGATION FACILITY (DIF)?**

**A: OVERSIGHT AND TECHNICAL GUIDANCE.**

**Q: HAVE YOU EVER BECOME AWARE OF ABUSE, INHUMANE TREATMENT, OR OTHER MISCONDUCT BY 82ND AIRBORNE DIVISION PERSONNEL OR ATTACHED MPs AT THE DIF TOWARD DETAINES?**

**A: NO. I WAS FABRICATED WHEN I SAW THIS PHOTO, OR THE FACT THAT ANY PHOTO HAD BEEN TAKEN. WHEN I FIRST VISITED THE DIF, I BROUGHT A CAMERA TO RECORD DIF OPERATIONS AND LAYOUT AND CAUTIONED ME THAT THE MP LIEUTENANT WAS VERY FIRM THAT NO PICTURES WERE TO BE TAKEN IN THE DIF.**

**Q: WHAT DO YOU BELIEVE IS TAKING PLACE IN THE PHOTO IN QUESTION WITH THE TWO MPS?**

**A: A POOR ATTEMPT AT HUMOR; NOT PHYSICAL ABUSE. I DON'T BELIEVE SHE STRUCK THE MAN OR THAT HE HAD KNOWLEDGE OF HER ACTIONS.**

**Q: ARE HER ACTIONS A VIOLATION OF REGULATIONS, COMMAND GUIDANCE, POLICIES, OR TRAINING GUIDELINES?**

**A: YES. PHOTOGRAPHY IS ONLY ALLOWED FOR IDENTIFICATION OF DETAINERS. IF THE DETAINEE WAS AWARE OF HER ACTIONS IT WOULD CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION OF THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS. BUT I DO NOT BELIEVE HE WAS AWARE.**

**Q: DO YOU BELIEVE ANY SIMILAR ACTIONS WERE USED TO STRESS DETAINES OR OTHERWISE PREPARE THEM FOR INTERROGATION?**

**A: NO. I THINK THIS WAS AN ABERRATION. THESE TECHNIQUES ARE NOT TAUGHT IN THE SCHOOL HOUSE.**

**Q: WHEN HE FOUND THE PHOTOS, HE MADE ATTEMPTS TO DESTROY THE PHOTOS AND TO PUBLISH INTERROGATORS RECEIVE TRAINING IN IRAQ IN THE SEVERE TREATMENT OF DETAINERS?**

**A: AS THE NCOIC WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR TRAINING INTERROGATORS THERE WHEN THE DIF WAS STOOD UP, THEY ALSO ALL READ THE DIF SOP.**

**11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT**

**12. PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES**

**BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER**

**DA FORM 2823, JUL 72, IS OBSOLETE**
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Q: DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER PHOTOS OF A SIMILAR NATURE THAT MAY EXIST OR HOW FAR THIS SPECIFIC PHOTO MAY HAVE BEEN DISSEMINATED?

A: I KNOW OF NO OTHER PHOTOS. AS FAR AS DISSEMINATION, I BELIEVE THAT IT WAS PART OF SOME MP'S PHOTO COLLECTION OF HIS TIME IN IRAQ. HOW FAR IT HAS BEEN DISSEMINATED IS UNKNOWN TO ME. I DID SEE THE MP SHOWING PHOTOS TO THE CASE PERSONNEL.

Q: DO YOU THINK THAT OTHER INSTANCES OF SIMILAR BEHAVIOR MAY HAVE OCCURRED WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHAIN OF COMMAND?

A: SINCE THIS OCCURRED WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHAIN OF COMMAND, IT IS A POSSIBILITY. BUT I THINK IT IS A SMALL POSSIBILITY. I DO NOT BELIEVE IT WOULD HAVE TOLERATED IT, NOR-

Q: AND PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS OF THE DIP IDENTIFIED ANY QUESTIONABLE ACTIVITIES?

A: NO

Q: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO ADD?

A: NO

END OF STATEMENT
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Printed Name

SSN
(U) WARNO 1 (Pre-Deployment Training Requirements)

References.
1. 250245ZJUL03, FORSCOM WARNORD CJTF7 OIF RFF
2. 82d ABn Div Reg 600-8-101, Soldier Readiness Processing, 28 MAR 02
3. 82nd ABn Div WARNO (Pre-Deployment Training Requirements)
4. 82nd ABn Div RSOP, 1 AUG 00

Time Zone Used Throughout the WARNO: Romeo (Local)

Task Organization: Annex A

1. (U) SITUATION.
   a. (U) Enemy Situation. TBD.
   b. (U) Friendly Situation. TBP.
   c. (U) Attachments and Detachments. C Co is attached to 3rd BDE, 82nd Abn Div.

2. (U) MISSION. TBP.

3. (U) EXECUTION.

   Commander’s Intent. Beginning 30 JUL 03, 313th MI BN will conduct administrative and readiness pre-deployment activities in preparation for deployment into the CENTCOM AOR. Priority of effort is C, B, D, E, HSC, & A. The end state is defined as vehicles ready for sea movement by COB 04 AUG 03, containers ready for sea movement NLT 06 AUG 03, and soldiers trained and ready for air movement NLT 22 AUG 03. Be prepared to adjust this timeline once further guidance and DEPORD is received from Division. Soldiers working outside the Monday through Friday 0600-1800 requires Battalion Commander approval. Coordination and effective communication between Commanders and Staff is essential to accomplishing this mission.

   a. Concept of operations.
(1) (U) Phase I: Preparation of Equipment for Movement: Begins immediately and is completed once all rolling stock arrives at the designated SPOD. Commanders should anticipate movement of vehicles and rolling stock to Pike Field on/about 04 AUG 03. Phase I tentatively scheduled to end NLT 07 AUG 03. Individual Companies will begin loading ISU-90/Quadcons, ensuring that a proper PMCS and inventory of property is completed and properly annotated. Departure of ISU-90/Quadcons is TBD.

(2) (U) Phase II: Preparation of Personnel for Movement: Begins immediately and is complete once all personnel have completed Soldier Readiness Processing (SRP), Individual Equipment Issue, Individual Replacement Training Briefing (IRTB), and Individual Readiness Training (IRT). However, Phase I activities initially have priority. Phase II is tentative scheduled to end NLT 22 AUG 03. Battalion SRP is currently scheduled for 05-07 AUG 03. IRTB (05-06 AUG 03) is decentralized at the Company level, but coordinated through the appropriate Battalion staff sections. IRT is also decentralized at the Company level and currently scheduled for 12-15 AUG 03. Individual Equipment Issue is tentatively scheduled for 11-13 AUG 03. All Battalion personnel will conduct Abn Operations to maintain currency through their perspective deployment date.

(3) (U) Phase III: Implementation of Family Support Group (FRG) Program: Begins immediately and will continue throughout the entire deployment. BN S1 will serve as the Battalion POC prior to deployment and individual Rear Detachment Commanders will maintain individual programs until mission complete. Rear Detachment Training is currently scheduled for 25-26 AUG 03.

(4) (U) Phase IV: Storing of Personal Property and Movement: Begins on order and is completed prior to individuals deploying. Individual troopers must complete billeting personal property inventories and submit to appropriate Rear Detachment Representatives. Rear Detachment commanders will sign for all individual billet keys.

b. (U) Tasks to Subordinate Units.

(1) (U) C Co will coordinate with 3rd BDE for all predeployment tasks. Bn will assist where required.

(2) (U) B, E, D, and HSC will coordinate with the Bn staff for all predeployment tasks.

(3) (U) All Companies will complete the following pre-deployment requirements listed in Annex B and provide a timely response to staff inquires so that their deployment tasks can be completed.

i. Provide to S1 SNL of soldiers deploying (S: 3012200JUL03)

ii. Identify to S1 SNL of soldiers who need to Carry Over Leave (NLT COB 01 AUG 03)
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iii. Identify to S1 SNL of soldiers that require Stabilization (NLT 31100JUL03)

iv. Identify to S1 SNL of soldiers due to ETS in 90 Days (NLT COB 07AUG03)

v. Identify One Mail Handler Per Company that will Deploy

vi. Provide S1 a Rear Detachment Alert Roster (NLT COB 07AUG03)

vii. Provide S1 contact data for RD OIC & NCOIC NLT 30 JUL 03

viii. Provide S2 a list of soldiers who need to store POVs; execute POV storage according to RSOP

ix. Provide S2 contact information for a Rear Detachment Armorer/Assistant Armorer. Rear Detachment Armorer Must Have longevity for continuity of AAM&E documentation.

x. Provide S4 with DEL NLT 30 JUL 03

xi. Mark all vehicles IAW CENTCOM SOP

xii. Coordinate with S4 to ensure you deploy with 6-month contingency of supplies

xiii. Provide S4 with a list of equipment shortages. Ensure sufficient tentage for operations and sleep areas. NLT COB 30 JUL 03

xiv. Provide S4 with a consolidated lists of sizes for all clothing to be issued (boots, hats, DCUS, gloves)

xv. Provide S6 operational status on all tactical radios

xvi. Ensure all CCI equipment (ASIP-FM, MSRT-RT1539) is removed from vehicles are secured in approved storage (ISU-90/6) for movement

xvii. Provide EMS with a list of batteries needed

xviii. Ensure vehicles are washed (to include the under carriage) prior to the TI

xix. Ensure operators perform a monthly PMCS on their vehicles & Electronic equipment prior to the TI

xx. Provide operators to assist the mechanics during the TI

xxi. Identify and review NCOER/OER, rating scheme scrub, and PCS awards NLT COB 01 Aug 03.

xxii. Schedule and conduct a Company FRG meeting

xxiii. Update NOK/FRG rosters

xxiv. Validate/update AUEL

xxv. Companies provide a list of all personnel requiring nametapes made for uniforms (4x nametapes, 4x Abn wings, 4x rank, 4x DIV patches, 4x Abn tabs, 4x US Army, 4x branch insignia to S4

xxvi. Identify and report all critical computer needs to the S6

xxvii. Identify computers deploying to the S6 for configuration

xxviii. Request all COMSEC keys through S6

(4) (U) S1.

1. Publish SRP MOI NLT 30 AUG 03

3

UNCLASSIFIED
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ii. Coordinate for Rear Detachment Training (25-26 Aug 03)

iii. Ensure NOK/FRG Rosters are updated

iv. Coordinate for TCS Orders

v. Coordinate with G1 for Excess Leave Carry Over

vi. Identify personnel deployed over 180

vii. Request Stabilization for identified personnel

viii. Identify soldiers due to ETS in 90 Days

ix. Post Rear Detachment Alert Rosters in Staff Duty Book

x. Compile a complete and up to date deployment/ SRP Roster for all Companies

xi. Coordinate for the screening of all Medical Records

xii. Have all immunizations on hand at SRP Site

xiii. Ensure Dental Records are screened prior to SRP

(5) (U) S2.

i. Provide deploying soldiers ATFP/AOR, Cultural Awareness, and SAEDA Briefings

ii. Provide Rear Detachment Instructor to support ATFP Training for the Rear Detachment

iii. Provide staff supervision of Arms Room Inspections of Stay behind equipment

iv. Coordinate for Interim Clearances

v. Coordinate POV storage for Companies

vi. Close-out outstanding Personnel Security Actions (PRs, SORs RFIs)

vii. Coordinate with G2 for map issue

(6) (U) S3.

i. Coordinate and resource ranges, NBC Classes, NBC Chambers, and Instructors for IRT & IRTB

ii. Publish timeline for training

iii. Organize and coordinate ADVON

iv. Submit to the Division BOC the pre-deployment matrix provided in Annex B NLT 1500 hours daily

v. Put mechanism in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of this order

vi. Attend the daily 1500 hour G3 update

vii. Complete Pre-Deployment Activities Risk Assessment NLT 30 JUL 03

viii. Publish a soldier packing list NLT 30 JUL 03. Coordinate with CSM.

(7) (U) S4.
i. Consolidate Company DEL information NLT 30 JUL 03
ii. Identify all containers needed for deployment (QUADCONS, ISU-90S, MILVANS): Order 20' MILVANS through DIV G4 NLT 30 JUL 03
iii. Conduct inspections of all ISU-90s
iv. Ensure units will deploy with 6-month contingency of supplies
v. Purchase Permethrin in order to treat new DCUS
vi. Consolidate lists of sizes for all clothing to be issued (boots, hats, DCUS, gloves)
vii. Coordinate with CIF for a DX time

(8) (U) S6.

i. Provide operational status on all tactical radios to ADSO
ii. Provide tactical radio refresher training for all deploying personnel
iii. Ensure all CCI equipment removed from vehicles prior to deployment
iv. Provide sufficient computers for all critical positions
v. Identify all deploying computers in the battalion IOT have them properly configured
vi. Ensure CHIMS system IP addresses are added to ASAS address book
vii. Provide oversight for requesting all COMSEC keys through DCOR

(9) (U) Support Platoon.

i. Compile Company lists of vehicles deploying NLT 30 JUL 03
ii. Receive individual Company list of supply needs (i.e. batteries)
iii. TI all vehicles and EMS equipment prior to convoy to SPOD

c. (U) Coordinating Instructions.

(1) (U) Units will submit Requests for Information (RFI) to the S3 Shop. 1LT Ruiz/SFC Scott are the POCs for the information

(2) (U) All Units will coordinate with the appropriate staff section to ensure the below listed Individual Replacement Training (IRT) and Individual Replacement Training Briefings (IRTB) are complete prior to deployment. Prior to deployment Company Commanders will submit a Memorandum For the Commander certifying the training requirements in this order have been completed and attach a roster of individuals that have completed the training.

(3) (U) Every trooper deploying will complete Individual Replacement Training (IRT). This training will be conducted at company level and include the following:
i. (U) First Aid.

1. (U) Evaluate a casualty
2. (U) Prevent shock
3. (U) Give first aid for burns
4. (U) Perform first aid for cold injuries
5. (U) Recognize and give first aid for heat injuries
6. (U) Put on a field or pressure dressing
7. (U) Put on a tourniquet
8. (U) Apply a dressing to an open abdominal wound
9. (U) Apply a dressing to an open head wound
10. (U) Splint a suspected fracture

ii. (U) NBC tasks to be trained.

1. (U) Protect yourself from chemical and biological injury/contamination using your M40-series protective mask with hood
2. (U) Replace canister on your M40-series protective mask
3. (U) Maintain your M40-series protective mask with hood
4. (U) React to chemical or biological hazard/attack
5. (U) Protect yourself from NBC injury/contamination with (MOPP) gear
6. (U) Identify chemical agents using M8 detector paper
7. (U) Protect yourself from NBC injury/contamination when drinking from your canteen while wearing your protective mask
8. (U) Administer first aid to a nerve agent casualty
9. (U) Administer nerve agent antidote to self (self-aid)
10. (U) Decontaminate your skin using the M291 skin decontaminating kit
11. (U) Decontaminate your skin and personal equipment using an M258A1 decon kit
12. (U) Decontaminate your skin and personal equipment using an M258A1 decon kit
13. (U) Decontaminate your individual equipment using the M295 individual equipment decontamination kit

iii. (U) CENTCOM AOR mine awareness: POC is S3 or 307th ENG

iv. (U) Squad/Platoon Battle Drills as required.

(4) (U) Every trooper deploying will receive Individual Replacement Training Briefings (IRTB). This training will be conducted at company level and include the following:

i. (U) Rules of Engagement
(5) (U) The following tasks can be conducted in theater after deployment:
   i. (U) Cordon and Search
   ii. (U) Convoy Security
   iii. (U) Checkpoint/TCPs
   iv. (U) Blue Force Tracker Modifications (TBD)
   v. (U) In-transit security training
   vi. (U) Terrorist Awareness training (required to be conducted once in CENTCOM AOR)

4. (U) SERVICE SUPPORT. TBP

5. (U) COMMAND AND SIGNAL:

   a. (U) Command. TBP

   b. (U) Signal. Companies will report updates to pre-deployment requirements IAW the matrix provided in Annex B NLT 1400 hours daily.

ANNEXES:
A - Task Organization
B - Pre-deployment Requirements Tracking Matrix
C - Pre-deployment Calendar
SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>82d Airborne Division, Ft. Bragg, NC 28310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAME, FIRST-NAME, MIDDLE NAME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE/STATUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

During the 82d Airborne Division's deployment in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, I worked as the 2-2-1-2-3 and 6-6-1 Division detention operations to include review for upward movement of detainees; handling of detainees on property; detainees' exposure to press; the legality of interrogation tactics; detainee deaths or abuse; classification of internees; and the detainee exposure to press. I drafted the Division JTF7 Review and Appeals Board for release of select detainees on behalf of the Division's MSCs. I personally reviewed all Division FRAGOs that were published as legal guidance for detention operations. All of these FRAGOs were reviewed with CTT7 FRAGOs in spirit and often in letter. This is true of 82d Airborne Division FRAGO 298, which is related to authorized interrogation tactics. It mirrored the CTT7 policy letters on interrogation tactics in that in order to veer from tactics authorized by FM 35-52, the unit would have to request authorization from our CG, who would in turn, request permission from the CTT7 commander. On numerous occasions, as a professional courtesy, I provided citizens of the Division JTF7 team with a copy before we published the FRAGO. Finally, I was part of a three-part inspection team consisting of 82d PMO, and CIA that traveled to each holding facility in the 82d ABN AO—six detention facilities in all—to inspect them for compliance with these Division FRAGOs and the Law of War. I have been asked by 15-6 investigating officers to comment on my observations of Division detention operations and will do so in the following paragraphs. I am not familiar with many aspects of the DIF before February 2004. For more information about the legal aspects of activities at the DIF, it may be helpful to talk to the 3 BCT RJAG, who was located at MEK through the entire deployment.

2. Tiger Team Inspection. In February 2004, I personally inspected all Division holding facilities in the Division area of operations as the SJA prong of a three-person team. My mission was to evaluate all facilities for compliance with the Law of War and Division Guidance.

3. From that point, I had more experience with the Division Internment Facility (DIF) relative to those under the control of the RCC and TF7. This was true to the fact that the only full-time facility manager that had ever contacted me was the Division commander. I did not personally know her until we worked together in Iraq, and since I am a friend of hers, that since then we have become friends. I am a friend of hers primarily because I was so impressed by her knowledge, professionalism, and moral fiber when we made the several trips to the various detention facilities.

4. The DIF was refreshingly proactive in seeking legal guidance and input on its operations. I was aware that the DIF had requested that the DIF co-located at MEK, come to her facility to advise on various matters, as to whether certain stress positions were legal. She asked him to actually sit in an interrogation chair for some time in order to determine if the use of such a chair would be considered too stressful.

5. The CTT7 was of the opinion that most stress positions are legal so long as they do not become torture by virtue of their duration or intensity. See 82d ABN DIV FRAGO 298. I can honestly say I am not aware of any facility in our AO (and even in OEF) that was proactive about understanding the laws of war as they relate to detainee operations. I sifted through higher AGOs on what seemed to be a daily basis looking for any guidance that might have come down from Division or Corps that related to detention operations. The reason I believe she looked through FRAGOs on a recurring basis is that when a FRAGO was published, she would inform me that same day that a detainee-related FRAGO had been published. Of course, I knew this was a detainee-related FRAGO had been published because either we had published it; or if it was a Corps FRAGO we were told the DIF had reviewed it for content when it was sent to Division. During my inspection, DIF personnel pointed to FRAGOs to me verbatim from memory, not knowing that I was the person who had drafted the language. They were primary in their assessment. I contrasted this experience with my disturbing experience at Junction City where the MP lieutenant colonel of the holding facility admitted to us he had never read one Division FRAGO on detention operations.

CHBT7 EE
15-6 Investigation
FRAGO Operations
MAY 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT</th>
<th>PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
6. All of the DIF employees I met seemed to be well aware of all of the provisions of the DIF SOP. One of the inspection tactics I used was to wander off from the group and ask questions of some of the more peripheral employees. In the case of the DIF, all of the answers were correct and confidently delivered. I was of the impression that the DIF was legally solid. It appeared from the answers I received as if the DIF was conducting routine professional development on DIF SOPs and detainee handling. I am not sure what format was being used to deliver the ongoing guidance but it was clear that the leadership was constantly training the DIF personnel on the standards. This experience was contrasted from my experience at Al-Asad, where the employees were understandably unacquainted with the SOP that was dated only one day before I arrived at their facility.

Up until this investigation, I was unaware that any detainees were ever photographed in our AO except to document pre-detention injuries or as part of processing. (The DCCP had photos and roster numbers of all detainees hanging outside of the appropriate cells.) Though I remember that the DCCP had a strict no photographing policy, I do not recall where I saw this whether it was in the SOP or posted on the walls. I do remember seeing signs in Arabic telling detainees the rules. I know that there was always a CATI interpreter present when processing detainees. I thought that to be humane in that it would put detainees at ease to read in their own language what was happening to them and when; and to speak to another Iraqi who would explain why they were being searched, or to whom they could communicate that they had to use the restroom, etc. This was the exception for detainee facilities, not the rule. There was a medic assigned to the DCCP and two cell counts per eight-hour shift. This is a positive measure since detainees could be checked for abuse by capturing units (or even by interrogators if that had occurred) and a medic could always assist in the event of detainee illness or injury.

I was impressed at how well all of the DCCP employees knew and understood all of the policies and SOPs as compared with all other facilities in the Division AO. It is unthinkable to me that any employees could claim that they did not know, from their MOS, from their rank and qualifications, from their training, from the DCCP SOP, from the instruction of their leadership, and from the sheer nature of their job while in Iraq that they were unaware that was wrong to take photographs in the holding facility or to in any way humiliate or even feign humiliation of a detainee. It is common knowledge to personnel in the interrogation and internment fields that such behavior is unacceptable.

To my knowledge, no NGOs ever visited the DCCP or any facility in our AO. This includes the ICRC. I am unaware of whether the CJTF7 IG team visited the DCCP. I saw the criteria that the CJTF7 IG team would be using to assess facilities and recall that they were gravely unaware of the limited resources units outside of Bagdad faced and imposed requirements not generally justified or practically achievable below Corps level.

END OF STATEMENT

---

**AFFIDAVIT**

I have read or have had read to me this statement and on this _day of_ _month_ in _year_. I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made to me. The statement is true. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing the statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without fear of punishment, and without coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement.

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this _day of_ _month_ in _year_.

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

(Authority To Administer Oath)
INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 15-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.

Date

Signature

Printed Name

SSN
Sir,

I've viewed pictures described in the message; in my opinion the soldiers are having fun at the expense of detainee's. I don't know of any abuse the soldiers were involved in while I've been assigned to St. Mere. The soldiers conducted themselves as professional, not to include the photos which were taken and placed on the computer.

If I could get SIPS/NIPR e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050. If I could get e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050. If I could get e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050.

--Original Message--

If I could get SIPS/NIPR e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050. If I could get e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050. If I could get e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling but could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is 1-800-642-5050.

ACLU-RDI 1182 p.142
The persons who work the Detention facility are for the SJA and who is the Div control for detainee ops. These personnel need to talk to. They are in the To line.

POCs are in the cc line. DSN number is (this is the number, but POCs are close enough to use it).

here. I was the for the 82nd Army. I am currently conducting an investigation into some conduct of some personnel at the 82nd Airborne Division while we were supporting OIF. I need to contact the CACT factors or one of your reps at the Interrogation Facility (at FOB Ujah, formerly FOB St. Mere). If you could please pass me a DSN or contact info for anyone there, it would be a great help.
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Results from the 82d ABD Interrogation Tactics and Detainee Treatment Inspection

1. On the dates annotated below, conducted joint inspections of all BN and BDE holding/detention facilities within the 82d ABD's AO.

- FOB Mercury: 26-27JAN04.
- FOB Volturco: 27-28JAN04.
- FOB Chosin: 6-7FEB04.
- FOB St. Michael: 7-8FEB04.
- Manhattan: 8-9FEB04.
- Junction City: 9-10FEB04.
- Rifles Base Detention Facility: 11FEB04.
- DCCP: 12FEB04.

2. Enclosed are the following: summary of each inspected site and respective PMO, SJA, and MI checklists.

3. Point of contact for this memorandum is [Redacted].

AIRBORNE!

EXHIBIT 9
Summary:

The MEK compound houses the Division Central Collection Point or DCCP. The compound consists of two buildings: an in-processing center and a detainee holding area. A 15-20 foot cement wall, adorned with triple-strand wire atop the wall, surrounds the entire compound. Additionally, a large dismount point, constructed of triple-strand concertina wire, is located near the in-processing building. Said dismount point correctly controls and corals detainee movement. Detainees have access to wash points and latrines, both of which are located within the security and confines of the detainee area laced with triple-strand wire. A walkway with triple-strand wire on either side corals and controls detainee movement from the in-processing building to the detainee housing area. A wire gate separates the walkway area from the entrance into the housing facilities. Two elevated guard towers, approximately 25 feet in height, are situated on either side of the detainee housing facility. The two towers are manned 24/7 with M-4 or M249 weapon systems. The towers maintain direct FM communications with one another and have inter-locking fire. The detainee holding area consists of five rooms, able to house 25 detainees per room. Detainees do not have individual cells. A sixth, separate cell is used to house juveniles and females. All detainee-housing cells have wrought-iron doors and bared windows.

Sustains:

1. The DCCP has an excellent layout and makes wise use of building space. The in-processing building is logically situated, meaning a detainee is processed through the building, from initial paperwork, to full body medical screening, to interrogation, in a single flow of movement. Distinct and appropriate personnel who ensure all necessary paperwork is present and complete before dismissing capturing units or sending detainees to the next station man each station in the in-processing building.
2. By far, the best dismount point of any holding facility. Said dismount point is clearly defined by a posted sign and built to standard.
3. Overall construction, interior use of triple-strand concertina wire, and all physical security measure meet or exceed standards outlined in FM 3.19-40.
4. Guards are well versed on ROE, immediate action drills, and use of force. Guards operate without use of weapons and knives. All operations conducted at the DCCP are conducted in a professional manner by well-trained 31A’s who know and execute their Tasks, Purposes, and Missions.
5. All interrogators at the DIF have read and understand DIV FRAGO’s 148 and 298.
6. Several stress positions are used at this facility (i.e. kneeling, sitting against a wall in an invisible chair, standing with forehead against the wall, holding arms out, PT exercises, and sleep adjustment) interrogators know their boundaries and limitations and do not exceed them.
7. Detainees are permitted to use latrine and eat during in-processing.
8. DCCP conducted in-processing in detailed conformity with all FM’s and FRAGO’s published to include complete medical assessments. A medic is assigned to the DCCP IAW 82d
ABN DIV FRAGO 298 and the medic uses the proper detainees medical screening form. This is the most thorough of the facilities with regard to medical in processing.

9. CAT 1 Interpreter is present during all screenings and detainees are met with a sign in Arabic informing them of DCCP rules.

10. Facility had segregated holding cell for women and juveniles.

11. DCCP has back-up emergency lighting source throughout confinement perimeter.

12. A photo of each detainee with roster number is posted on each cell and guards check on detainees on a regular basis.

13. This was the only facility with an active plan for hot weather.

Improvements:

1. Need increasing in manning, specifically a minimum of (2) PAX per shift to conduct dismount operations around the immediate outside of the detention cells. This will allow for immediate response to any disturbances within the cells, as well as ensure detainees do not speak to one another.

2. No improvements are needed to the interrogation operations at the DIF.

3. Detainees’ vehicles (some after the detainee had already been forwarded to Abu Gharayb) were kept and distributed to friendly forces for use, in violation of CJTF-7 and 82d ABN DIV guidance. DCCP overall compliance with Division Frago’s and legal considerations is excellent.

Overall Assessment:

The DCCP at MEK compound presently meets or exceeds all physically security requirements outlined in FM3.19-40 and conducts internment operations IAW all applicable standards outlined in FM3.19-40. All stress positions and corrective treatment (when a detainee breaks the cages rules) follow the Division Commander’s guidance in FRAGO 298 (INTERROGATION TACTICS AND TREATMENT OF DETAINNEES) to OPORD 03-12 (CHAMPION VICTORY). All areas with respect to interrogation operations meet Division standards. DCCP needs to recover vehicles wrongly appropriated and dispose of them IAW 82d ABN DIV FRAGO 298. Appears as if the DCCP has considered not only the status quo, but also has plans for contingencies (hot weather, electricity failure, etc.). Overall assessment is that DCCP is well within compliance with Division standards.
The 82d Airborne Division conducted an unsolicited, internal review of all battalion, brigade, and division holding cages and detention facilities from 26JAN04-12FEB04. Consisting of one military police representative, one staff judge advocate representative, and one military intelligence representative, the 82d Airborne Division created an assessment team to annotate current detainee operations in AO Atlanta and compare said findings against guidelines and standards published in FM 3.19-40 and DIV FRAGO 298 to OPORD 03-12.

The aforementioned team conducted assessments on (5) battalion holding cages, (2) brigade/regimental holding facilities, and (1) division holding facility. Concerning the battalion holding cages, FOB Mercury and FOB St. Michael, with their current operations, are the most aligned with standards outlined in FM 3.19-40 and DIV FRAGO 298. FOB Volturno and FOB Chosin both have physical security improvements, which once implemented, will greatly improve the immediate security of both detainees and soldiers. Additionally, both facilities need to ensure guards do not possess weapons, ammunition, or knives on their persons when in the immediate vicinity of detainees or handling detainees. FOB Manhattan, in addition to physical security improvements, needs immediate assistance in receiving a CAT 2 linguist for interrogation purposes. Additionally, FOB Manhattan needs to implement a full, physical inspection process, to include proper documentation and full body inspection, prior to receiving and releasing detainees. When releasing detainees to interrogators, other agencies, or another MSC, all detention facilities need to ensure the use of DD 2708.

FOB Junction City and FOB Rifles Base differ notably in layout and operations of their respective brigade and regimental detention facilities. FOB Junction City has severe physical security limitations, most notably the lack of doors on detainee cells. Additionally, separate facilities for juvenile and female detainees, although existent, were not used. Concerning interrogations, non-school trained individuals conducted interrogations at the detention facility. Lastly, facility guards and operations personnel do not annotate the signing out of detainees to other agencies and interrogators on DD 2708. Lastly, guards, especially will cells lacking doors, should not operate inside the facility with weapons, ammunition, or knives. In contrast, Rifles Base personnel operate in strict adherence to FM 3.19-40 concerning physical security, layout, and detainee handling, movement, and security operations. Rifles Base meets or exceeds the sighted standards in the aforementioned areas. Concerning improvement, Rifle Base needs to focus on expediting the movement of detainees from the regimental holding facility to either the Division Internment Facility or local IPS forces.

Lastly, the Division Central Collection Point sets the standards in terms of operations, interrogations, and in processing. All physical security measures to include dismount points, triple-strand concertina wire, and guard towers with inter-locking fire, meet or exceed standards outlined in FM 3.19-40. Additionally, the in-processing operations at the DCCP, as practiced, allows for both expedient and comprehensive documentation, medical screening, interrogation, and internment of detainees. Additional manning will only help to improve a facility already well in compliance with DIV standards.

Having annotated specific discrepancies at each location in the body of this work, the assessment team recommends the following data as dates for work completion of noted discrepancies and subsequent follow-up inspection by the assessment team.
Sir,

I have placed my address in the CC line. is no longer with CACI and I'm not about Perhaps a better person to ask. Let me know if can be of assistance.

Yours,

[Original Message]

From: 82ABN-3BDE
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2004 5:35 PM
To: RE: Contact Information

Original Message:

I'm having significant issues getting my message to send. It has been asked back several times. Here is the text from my last message:

I am requesting some assistance from you guys. The 82d Airborne

vision

manding General has appointed me an Investigating Officer IAW AR

5. Specifically, I have been tasked to look into allegations of possible conduct on the part of interrogators and MPs at the Division.

interrogation Facility around the DEC 03 timeframe. I need to talk to the CACI contracted interrogators at the Interrogation Facility whom are still there. Their conduct is not the focus of my investigation, but I believe that they may have information that will be useful. In fact, the overall team leader and

the one who first brought the issue to our attention. I just need to

rify some information and conduct a quick follow-up with him, as well as the others who were there when we left ( ).

If I could be SIFR/NIPR e-mail addresses, that would be great. I tried calling, could not get through. My DSN number back here at Fort Bragg is

thanks for your help.

82ABN-3BDE

From: 82ABN-3BDE
Sent: Wednesday, June 02, 2004 5:26 PM
To: RE: Contact Information
To: [Redacted]
CC: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Contact Information

The persons who work the Detention facility are [Redacted] for the SJA and the [Redacted] who is the Div control for detainee ops. These personnel need to talk to. They are in the To line.

To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Contact Information

POCs are in the cc line. DSN number is [Redacted] (this is the number, but POCs are close enough to use it).

To: [Redacted]
Subject: Contact Information

P

--- Original Message ---

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 6:51 AM

Subject: RE: Contact Information

To: [Redacted]

Contact Information to the DIF. The OIC for the interrogators is [Redacted] and the [Redacted] I suggest you rush your requests for info to me,

--- Original Message ---

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:09 PM

Subject: RE: Contact Information

To: [Redacted]

Contact Information

P
here. I was the for the 82nd
Division. I am currently conducting an investigation into some
allegations
of misconduct of some personnel at the 82nd Airborne Division
Interrogation
Facility while we were supporting OIF. I need to contact the CACI
contractors or one of your reps at the Interrogation Facility (at FOB
Fallujah, formerly FOB St. Mere). If you could please pass me a DSN
number
and JIPR contact info for anyone there, it would be a great help.

Thanks.
For use of this form, see AR 190-451; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

To provide commanders and law enforcement officials by which information may be accurately kept.

Disclosure of your social security number is used as an additional alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.

Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

**STATEMENT UNDER OATH:**

I, (name), hereby swear that during this interview I will be asking questions to which I will be providing answers that are truthful to the best of my knowledge.

Q: What were your duties at the 82d Airborne Division Interrogation Facility (DIF)?

A: Interrogation and screening of detainees, writing reports, and I was the DIF reports editor.

Q: Were you involved in or familiar with the interrogation of Hassan Al Azani, Abdul-Wahil, ISN 25022, NDRS 15075?

A: I'm familiar with why he was there, but I don't know the details of the interrogation, or what SSG Paulus' findings were.

Q: How was sleep management employed at the DIF?

A: If we needed them to stay awake, we would put them in a holding area. We had several soldiers who wanted to interrogators who would be employed to keep them awake by shaking the bars of their cells or placing them in stress positions, standing them up or putting them on their knees. It was employed on a case by case basis.

Q: How long would detainees be kept awake?

A: In my recollection they were not kept up longer than 24 hours.

Q: Did the sleep management technique employed at the DIF require or allow sleep standards established?

A: There might have been a minimum standard in the SOP, but I wouldn't be able to tell you off the top of my head. I'm pretty sure that we were allowed to employ anyone being kept awake for that duration. We didn't have the time to do that.

Q: How was diet manipulation employed at the DIF?

A: It wasn't sir. The MPs took care of their feeding. They would take out the heater and candy and crap. We didn't have anything to do with their feeding, sir.

**EXHIBIT**

11. Initials of person making statement

**ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT TAKEN AT"**
STATEMENT OF [redacted] TAKEN AT 8:04:44 PM, BRAC, NC 28510 DATED 2004 06 16 1855

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q: Sometimes as incentive we would offer them additional items, such as tea. But we never took any food away from them. They were given two meals a day and plenty of water. But we didn't have anything to do with that. The only way they could have missed a meal is if they were in interrogation during meal time and someone overlooked them. But that would only be one meal. There was never any deliberate or prolonged diet manipulation kind of thing.

Q: Do you have any knowledge of other complaints regarding sleep deprivation or diet manipulation by other detainees?

A: No, sir.

Q: Do you have anything you wish to add?

A: This guy definitely wasn't treated like he was in a hotel. He was yelled at and kept separated from the other detainees. This was because of the severity of the case. It was a threat against our compound. In my view that it wasn't like he was staying in a hotel.

Q: Do you have anything else you wish to add?

A: No, sir.

END OF STATEMENT

AFFIDAVIT

I, [redacted], have read or have had read to me this statement which begins on Page 1 and ends on Page 7. I fully understand the contents of the entire statement made by me. The statement is true. I have initialed all corrections and have initialed the bottom of each page containing the statement. I have made this statement freely without hope of benefit or reward, without threat of punishment, and without coercion, unlawful influence, or unlawful inducement.

[Signature of Person Making Statement]

WITNESSES:

63 46 2

[Signature of Person Administering Oath]

[Typed Name of Person Administering Oath]

[Authority To Administer Oath]
PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

The investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army 3rd BDE (82nd) Airborne Division and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am suspected/accused: POSSESSION KNOWLEDGE OR DETAINEE ABUSE

Before he/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

1. I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
2. Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
3. For personnel subject to the UCMJ I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

   (For personnel subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

4. If I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

COMMENTS (Continue on reverse side)

Section B. Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and without having a lawyer present with me.

WITNESSES (If available)
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INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3013

PRINCIPLE PURPOSE: The information is being solicited as part of an informal investigation conducted in accordance with Army Regulation 5-6. Information is being collected by the Investigating Officer to obtain facts and make recommendations to assist the commander in determining what action to take, if any, regarding the matter being investigated.

ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties. In addition, the information may be disclosed to agencies or persons outside the DOD who have a need to know the information or to others legally permitted to have the information.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure is voluntary. There will be no adverse effects on you for failing to provide the requested information; however, any information not disclosed which pertains to the matter under investigation will not be available to the commander for his or her consideration.
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