(b)(b)-5/ (b)(7)(C)-5

Thank you for your inquiry concerning the final status of the cases concerning the 320<sup>th</sup> Military Police Battalion (320<sup>th</sup>). The 320<sup>th</sup> is United States Army Reserve (USAR) unit from Pennsylvania that was mobilized and deployed to Iraq in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom. The charges against the former Master Sergeant for the state of the 320<sup>th</sup> — all members of the 320<sup>th</sup> — stemmed from an incident on May 12<sup>th</sup>, 2003 when soldiers from another unit observed these individuals assaulting Iraqi prisoners.

(b)(b)-5/(b)(7)(c)-5

As you are aware, the command dealt with these charges through non-judicial means exercising the provisions of Article, 15, Uniform Code of Military Justice, and the administrative separation process. The specifics of each case follow.

In the case of then Master Sergeant **Constitution**, she received a General Officer Article 15 and was found guilty of Dereliction of Duty (Article 92) and Maltreatment (Article 93). Her nonjudicial punishment included forfeiture of \$1,671.00 per month for 2 months, 30 days of extra duty, and 30 days of restriction to the limits of Camp Doha, Kuwait. She elected not to appeal the Article 15 findings or punishment. The command initiated separation action for serious misconduct and, after MSG **Consulted** with her counsel, she waived her right to an administrative discharge board. After considering matters presented by MSG **Consult** as well as considering matters she wished to present verbally in person, a General Officer directed that MSG **Consult** be separated from the military for commission of a serious offense, given an Other Than Honorable Conditions Discharge (reducing her to the lowest enlisted grade of Private E1), and immediately redeployed from the theater of operations.

In the case of then Staff Sergeant he received a General Officer Article 15 and was found guilty of Dereliction of Duty (Article 92), Maltreatment (Article 93), and making a False Official Statement (Article 107). His non-judicial punishment included forfeiture of \$1,141.00 per month for 2 months, 30 days of extra duty, 30 days of restriction to the limits of Camp Arifjan, Iraq, and reduction to Sergeant E5. He elected to not appeal the Article 15 findings or punishment. The command initiated separation action for serious misconduct and, after SGT consulted with his counsel, he waived his right to an administrative discharge board. After considering matters presented by SGT as well as considering matters he wished to present verbally in person, a General Officer directed that SGT be separated from the military for commission of a serious offense, given a General, Under Honorable Conditions Discharge, and immediately redeployed from the theater of operations.

In the case of then Specialist **(Article 92**), he received a General Officer Article 15 and was found guilty of Dereliction of Duty (Article 92), Maltreatment (Article 93), and making a False Official Statement (Article 107). His non-judicial punishment included forfeiture of \$575.00 per month for 2 months, 30 days of extra duty, 30 days of restriction to the limits of Camp Arifjan, Iraq, and reduction to Private E1. He elected to not appeal the Article 15 findings or punishment. The command initiated separation action. After considering matters presented by Private **(article 1**) as well as considering matters he wished to present verbally in person, a General Officer directed that Private **(article 1**) be separated from the military for commission of a serious offense, given a General, Under Honorable Conditions Discharge, and immediately redeployed from the theater of operations.

## ACLU-RDI 1103 p.1

As of December 29, 2003, all actions were complete redeployed to Fort Dix, New Jersey for separation proce	
If you require further information, please contact me	e or LTC

•

BG Swan

.

## ACLU-RDI 1103 p.2

•

,

## -600033-

ŧ

.