

# SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

## PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSN).  
**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately  
**ROUTINE USES:** Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.  
**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

1. LOCATION Abu Ghraib, IZ 2. DATE (YYYYMM) 2004/06/09 TIME 1330 FILE NUMBER  
 5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME 6. SSN 7. GRADE/STATUS 1LT/RA

8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS  
 Joint Interrogation Debriefing Center, Baghdad Central Corrections Facility, Iraq

9. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]ski WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On or about 20 January, I inprocessed the JIDC and began work in the Collection Management and Dissemination (CM&D) shop. I spent the first week doing a transition with the outgoing CM&D analyst. Part of the transition training was CM&D's role in the DAB process, explained in detail below. In the DAB process, CM&D is responsible for ensuring that all requirements levied against a detainee are answered to the fullest extent possible. When a file arrived from the Interrogation Collection Element (ICE), the DAB memo contained was reviewed. After ensuring that the memo was complete, an ISN check was done through the database (first on an Access-based database, then on BATS when we switched). The purpose of this check was to check to see if the detainee had any requirements levied against them in the database, and to see if they had answered the requirements. There were a couple different routes the packets took from this point based on the database check and the packet review. The first route was if the detainee had no requirements levied or if all the requirements had been answered, then the CM&D analyst reviewing the packet signed at the bottom of the memo indicating that there were no outstanding requirements. The CM&D analyst then logged the ISN, date received, date evaluated, date transferred to DAB, and a remark that included who was the reviewer and the status of no outstanding requirements. Then the packet was walked down to DAB and placed into their receiving box. The second route was if there were outstanding requirements that had not been answered, then the CM&D analyst decided if the ICE's justification provided enough validation for not answering the requirement. If it did, the packet was signed, logged, and delivered to DAB. If it was unclear, the CM&D analyst went to the ICE and discussed the detainee's packet and the outstanding requirement. Again, if the CM&D analyst thought there was a valid reason that the requirement had not been answered, then the packet was passed to the DAB. If not, it was returned to the ICE for further exploitation. The final route was if the ICE's justification completely failed to validate not answering a requirement. The packet was returned to the ICE with a sticky note on the cover indicating which requirements were still outstanding that were not addressed in the justification. The packet was not accepted until further exploitation was done on those requirements or the ICE provided stronger written justification addressing the unfulfilled requirements. Exceptions to this standard operating procedure occurred when detainees were to be released, despite their potential intelligence or outstanding requirements, by order of the Chain of Command. In these instances, the detainees' packets were rushed through the DAB process in order to facilitate their release. Generally, these instances were noted in the log under the remarks section indicating by whose direction they were to be released. At no time was CM&D to remove any paperwork from a detainees packet. At no time was CM&D to add any paperwork to a detainees packet. The only physical contact with the detainees packet came when reviewing it for the DAB process. The only alteration that CM&D was to do was sign below the ICE chief on the DAB memo indicating there were no outstanding requirements. The current process has CM&D add their statement electronically in the memo in the detainee's BATS file before delivering the hard copy of the packet to DAB. Q: Do you have anything to add to this statement. A: No [REDACTED] End of Statement

NOT USED

10. EXHIBIT 11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT [REDACTED] PAGE 1 OF 1

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT" TAKEN AT DATED

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF \_\_\_\_\_ TAKEN AT \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

NOT USED

AFFIDAVIT

\_\_\_\_\_, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 2. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 9th day of June, 2004 at JIDC, BCCF, Iraq

\_\_\_\_\_  
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

\_\_\_\_\_  
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Article 13, UCMJ

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT \_\_\_\_\_

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES