

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOP5

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSN).
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.
ROUTINE USES: Your social security number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary.

1. LOCATION: Fort Huachuca MID, Fort Huachuca, AZ
2. DATE (YYYYMMDD): 2004/06/30
3. TIME: 0830
4. FILE NUMBER:
5. LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME:
6. SSN:
7. GRADE/STATUS: Civilian
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS: E Co, 309th MI Bn, Fort Huachuca, AZ

I WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:
I am making this statement as an addition to the statement executed on 10 Jun 03 at 1037. This subsequent statement relates a conversation I had with [redacted] and relevant background information. From 27 Sep to 5 Oct 03 I was deployed to Afghanistan to observe interrogation operations and offer advice and assistance. We provided suggestions on how to improve the effectiveness of interrogation planning, approaches, questioning technique, reporting and the use of interpreters. Our training was limited to small group discussion as the operations were already established and the interrogators were conducting interrogations around the clock. While there, I observed the inprocessing procedures for incoming detainees. The operation was very efficient and professional. The whole process took less than one hour from initial reception to the time the detainee was seen by an interrogator. This had an advantage as the detainee was still disoriented from the capture and would be more likely to answer questions posed by the interrogators. On 7 Oct 03, I arrived in Abu Ghriib (AG) to assess interrogation operations and conduct the training I referenced in my previous statement. After observing [redacted] conduct at least two interrogations, and prior to the start of the training, I had an offline conversation with [redacted]. He approached me asking for ideas as to how to get "these detainees to talk" referring to those who were believed to know the source of the incoming mortars. During the conversation I told [redacted] about the Interrogation Rules of Engagement (IROE) to ensure he knew of their existence. I told [redacted] for a story I heard in Afghanistan of a dog used during an interrogation. The dog was trained to bark on cue and would bark any time the interrogator had reason to believe the detainee was lying during the interrogation. I told him that this would probably not be allowed, but that the presence of barking dogs in the prison might be effective. I told him of a story of an interrogator using a Pride and Ego Down approach. The interrogator took a copy of a Koran and threw it on the ground and stepped on the Koran, which resulted in a detainee riot. I explained to him that an adjusted sleep schedule was used on detainees in Afghanistan. The process was closely monitored and used to disorient the detainee. Subsequently, I explained that basic approach strategies would be most effective within the first few hours of capture and that they needed to do timely interrogations. The more comfortable a detainee gets with his surroundings, the stronger his resistance becomes. I described the fear that I imagined a detainee might experience in that environment. I also said that the MP's need to understand that this fear works to the interrogator's advantage and that the MP's shouldn't get friendly with the source by trying to converse or offer cigarettes and the like that the interrogator might use as incentives to establish rapport. This type of friendly MP interaction was claimed to be a hindrance to interrogation in Afghanistan. I also suggested the possibility of showing the detainees pictures of what appeared to be MP's in intimidating positions with detainees. I did not describe pictures of MP's actually abusing detainees. If the MP's were actually allowed to abuse the detainees, there would be no reason to have the pictures. The purpose of the pictures was to allow the detainee's initial fear to persist until the interrogator relieved that fear and established rapport. At this point, I described detainee inprocessing as I observed it in Afghanistan. I never personally witnessed the above incidents, but heard about them from other interrogation facility personnel. I encouraged [redacted] to attend the upcoming training. I also made sure [redacted] knew that any interrogation approach or detainee handling technique had to be included into the interrogation plan and had to be approved by the chain of command. I am sure that [redacted] understood that no technique could be used outside the Interrogation Rules of Engagement (IROE) and required chain of command approval prior to implementation. [redacted] only attended portions of the training that we provided at AG. I did not participate in or hear about any other member of the MTT participating in any other offline conversations with the assigned interrogation facility personnel. I never advocated the physical abuse of detainees and I am sure that [redacted] understood that my intent was to only take advantage of the detainees pre-existing fear.

Q: Do you have anything to add to this statement?
A: No.
End of Statement

10. EXHIBIT:
INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT:
PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF" TAKEN AT DATED
THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] TAKEN AT Fort Huachuca MID DATED 2004/06/10

2. STATEMENT (Continued)

[REDACTED]

NOT
[REDACTED]
USED

AFFIDAVIT

[REDACTED] HAVE READ AND HAVE RE-READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE [REDACTED] AND ENDS ON PAGE [REDACTED]. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

[REDACTED]
(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 10th day of June, 2004

at the Fort Huachuca Military Intelligence Detachment
[REDACTED]
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

[REDACTED]

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Article 136
(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT [REDACTED]

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES