

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is ODCSOPS

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10 USC Section 301; Title 5 USC Section 2951, E.O. 9397 dated November 22, 1943 (SSA)/
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.
ROUTINE USES: Your social security number is used as an additional alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your social security number is voluntary

1. LOCATION: Camp Doha, Kuwait
2. DATE (YYYYMMDD): 2004/06/04
3. TIME: 14.00
4. FILE NUMBER:
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME:
6. SSN:
7. GRADE/STATUS: E4/Reserve

8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS: 325 MI Bn

WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

I was assigned to Abu Gharib (AG) from the end of August/first of September 2003 and remained there until circa the first of March 2004. I had a five-day R&R to Qatar in December and a two-week leave to CONUS in Jan. I was mobilized from my contractor position in Kuwait (contractor with Northrop-Grumman at Camp Doha, Kuwait). I was assigned as part of a cross leveling action and staged thru Ft Dix, NJ to Kuwait and then to Iraq. I served as an interrogator at AG and at various times worked for and with the following personnel:

[Redacted] when I processed into AG interpreters [Redacted] there did not seem to be a coherent organization or process in place and it was up to most of us to develop them as we went along. It was not clear who was in charge. After [Redacted] arrived he seemed to take care of beans and bullets type measures but was not involved in interrogation operations. [Redacted] seemed to be in charge of interrogation operations. The MPs had their own system and their own chain of command. Even after the frago giving COL Pappas command of the FOB, it seemed that BG Karpinski was in charge of the FOB and at the same times COL Pappas was supposedly in charge. We all were given IROEs to read and had to sign statements that we understood them and the Geneva Convention. We were told that it was the MPs job to insure the safety and security of the interrogators and the detainees both. It seemed pretty straightforward to me, it was clear from our training how we were to proceed and what we were not to do. It seemed that we were pretty much limited to direct questioning techniques of detainees. Any harsher methods and procedures required higher levels of authority. I was made aware of the changes in IROEs and levels of authority as they came out, but cannot remember exactly when those changes came into being. I understood that the process for obtaining approval was to submit a written request along with an interrogation plan to my section chief. From there it would go to operations [Redacted] and then up the chain from her. I requested sleep management approval on a couple of occasions and submitted the request as directed. I did not see any written approval but was informed orally by [Redacted] that it was approved. There was an excel spreadsheet that was kept by operations on any of the sleep management/sleep deprivation procedures that were requested and/or approved. It was my understanding the if sleep management was approved for use and was to be implemented, [Redacted] would inform the MPs and a schedule would be drawn up and then the MPs would implement it. I understood that that MPs would time the amount of sleep and would waken the detainees at specified intervals by banging on the cell door, making loud noises, etc., to waken and/or keep the detainees awake. I do not know how the MPs worked it for the detainee for which I had obtained the approval for sleep management. There was general talk among the MPs about detainees having women's underwear and one particular MP, whose name I cannot recall mentioned that he felt bad about the detainees having to wear women's underwear, but that was all they had to issue for a period of time. I never saw a detainee wearing women's underwear. I also heard that for very short periods of time some detainees were wrapped in a sheet or blanket, but that was only because their civilian clothing was ripped or torn to the extent that the civilian clothing was not wearable and there were nothing to issue on an immediate basis. I never say any detainees being kept in the nude or having clothing withheld until they became cooperative with the interrogators. I remember that MG Miller visited AG and that later on a team of interrogators from GTMO came in to work with us. I think there might have been a team from Ft Huachuca along with the GTMO team, but am not certain. I think the GTMO team came just before a visit from [Redacted] office. I don't think the GTMO visit accomplished much as there were too many differences between AG and GTMO - they were better resourced and had a strategic mission, where we had a tactical, short fused mission. After the GTMO visits I heard that dogs would be brought to AG, but I never used dogs or knew any of the dog handlers. I did not hear of or see dogs being used by any of the other interrogators. I knew that the MPs kept a "trouble makers list" and would not be surprised if the MPs would shout at the detainees or use physical training as a discipline measure to settle them down, but do not know of any abuse by any of the MPs. It was fairly common knowledge that the MPs had problems with violation of General Order 1 and unauthorized use of alcohol. On a couple of occasions [Redacted] would

10. EXHIBIT

11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF

TAKEN AT DATED

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED

STATEMENT OF

TAKEN AT

Camp Doha, Kuwait

DATED

2004/06/04

STATEMENT (Continued)

... all us all together and talk about alcohol abuse or some activity about prostitution. I heard about the incident with [redacted] and [redacted] making a detainee walk naked around the area but other than that I did not see or hear about any abuse or humiliation of detainees. I did not see or hear about any use of dogs in conjunction with interrogation of detainees. I did not see or hear about any unauthorized photos or videos of detainees. In reviewing the photos, I did recognize [redacted]. Some of the other personnel seemed familiar in that I remember seeing them around AG but do not know their identities. Q: Is there anything you would like to add? A: No

Nothing
Follas [redacted]

AFFIDAVIT

[redacted] HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE [redacted] FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

[redacted]
(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 4 day of June 2004

[redacted]
(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

[redacted]
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

5 USC 33

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES