

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
MNF-I, C2, IMIR

I was reinterviewed on 16 June 2004 by MG George R. Fay, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I was questioned in reference to interrogation plans: How were interrogation plans created and what happened to them? The investigative team stated they had not been able to find interrogation plans. Again, I stated that I arrived at Abu Ghraib on or about 12/13 September. The unit on site was the 519th MI BN and I arrived with a small operations group from the 325th MI BN. A couple of days later, elements of the 325th MI BN arrived to form up Tiger Teams. [REDACTED] was in charge of the Interrogation Control Elements (ICE). My function was to go through the files of detainees being held at Camp Vigilant and determining who had not been spoken to and still required to be spoke to and then prioritizing them for interrogations. I also went through the files of detainees who had been transferred from Camp Cropper. I reviewed their records and made sure the work we had done was in the file. There were sometimes several documents missing from the detainee files. We would search for them on the database and made copies of the documents we could find such as missing (IIRs). Interrogation plans were created by the interrogator and then run through the first level supervisor (senior interrogator). This was usually an E-6. The senior interrogator would go through the interrogation plan by adding, mentoring, coaching and then finally come up with interrogator what was going to happen. Once the senior interrogator approved it, it would go to the ICE OIC, [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] for approval. I do not know if the senior interrogators took every single interrogation plan to the ICE for approval. I did not approve any interrogation plans in the beginning. Sometime in early October, [REDACTED] and I were added to the list of individuals who could approve interrogation plans. I felt it was a good idea for me to review the interrogation plans to get a better idea of was going on in the interrogations and I had to get smart on the interrogation plans to be able to talk about them when persons visited Abu Ghraib and asked questions. I have no idea who ordered detainees to be naked. I have no knowledge of detainees being naked during interrogations. I do not remember when I first went to the Hard Site. I know it was after the incident with the three interrogators were caught conducting an unauthorized interrogation. I remember this because I didn't know where the area the unauthorized interrogation was. In the beginning, the interrogations were being conducted in tents offset from the operations tent within eyeshot of Camp Vigilant and the Operations area. When we had exceptions to policy (such as sleep management) we made a photocopy and provided it to the MPs so that they would know what was going on. In late November, I walked through the hard site and [REDACTED] pointed out that there were two exceptions with handwritten notes requesting a detainee to be stripped down. He asked me if I wanted them stripped naked. I told him no and I scratched over the handwritten notes and initialed it. I then went to go speak to his boss [REDACTED] and told her that we had a problem and that she needed to get with the interrogators and tell them that that was a no go. She said she would speak to him. I also told [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] I believe the interrogator who had written the statement was [REDACTED]. He came up to me and told me no problem chief. I went back to [REDACTED]

Initials of person making statement
[REDACTED]

Page 1 of 2 Page

Exhibit 1

[REDACTED] check and speak to [REDACTED]. I didn't see any problems. During the time I approved interrogation plans, I did not approve nakedness and I read them thoroughly. Interrogation plans were kept a soft copy in the database and hard copy in the dossier of the detainee. I will state that I did go back and looked at some files and there were screening sheets and IIRs missing. Sometimes an interrogator would take out a document, review it and use it for other interrogations and sometimes they would get lost. Personnel in charge of making sure documentation was in the files were the heads of sections for each section, such as [REDACTED] and MYSELF for OPS. [REDACTED] was responsible for QCing the files and making sure the right paperwork was there. The ICE was responsible for interrogation files. The Detainee Assessment Board would review files before they went up to the magistrate cell for the release board. An assessment written up by the DAB would accompany the file. The assessment had to be there in order for the Magistrate Cell to review the files. Personnel working in the magistrate cell were [REDACTED]. IN reference to the shooting incident: I was not one of the individuals who responded to the shooting initially. I was at Chow when the incident occurred. I found out about it when I was passing through the ICE. I asked about the situation and was told it had been locked down. NO one could go in or out because the MPs were going to do a complete search. I was tasked by [REDACTED] to work in helping identify who from the Iraqi Police were possibly involved and worked with [REDACTED] and myself had two HMWWVs. One of the detainees was sitting in the vehicle identifying the IPs. The MPs and MI personnel would bring out an IP and the lights of the vehicles would be on shining on the IP. The detainee in the vehicle would then identify who from the IPs were possibly involved. A total of 11 IPs were identified. All IPs were returned back to the Hard Site and lined up. Once we completed this task, I typed the list of those identified and provided it to [REDACTED]. He in turn would turn it over to [REDACTED]. I do not know who made the decision to keep the 11 IPs detained. I was not aware any interrogations were going on or that dogs were used during interrogations. The dogs were used to search the cells, but I was not there. I was outside identifying the IPs for about three and a half hours or so. I do not know who was the MI person in charge. All I know is that I got my orders and tasking form [REDACTED]. I do not know if he was telling other MI persons what to do. There were not supposed to have been any interrogations going on. The only thing that was supposed to happen was gain an assessment of every IP; those on shift and those who would come on shift the following days. Assessments typically last around 15 minutes and I assume that these assessments should have been the same, as they are meant not to be lengthy and fast but accurate enough to identify anyone of intelligence value. This went on for another two to three days. The dogs came in to the Hard Site sometime before I went outside and my understanding was that they only guarded in the open hallway but I never saw the dogs. I never saw the detainees or IPs naked that night. After I completed the task given by [REDACTED] I passed the area where the IPs were lined up. I do somewhat recall any chaotic situation in the area where the IPs were lined up. No one told me that interrogation techniques had been lifted and that we had a blanket approval the night of the shooting. I do not know who called the dogs or ordered interrogations. There were no interrogation plans or results to those interrogations. Q. Is there anything else you would like to this statement? A. No. //End of Statement//

Initials of person making statement
[REDACTED]

Page 2 of 2 Page

Exhibit