Repeat questions and answers

- Repetition

- Either goading or haranguing

- Pride and Ego (Up & Down)

Use as a positive means of reward for cooperation

- Incentive

I: identity with sources, dominant emotions (love/hate)

E: emotional

Approach Techniques
Attitudes towards the source

- Employ 2 x interrogators with opposing personalities and
  
  • Mull & Jeff/Good & Bad guy:

  \[ \text{room or setting} \]
  
  Get source away from the atmosphere of an interrogation
  
  • Change of scene:

  
  \[ \text{it is confusing to be interrupted in mid-sentence.} \]

- Principle that everyone likes to be heard when he speaks and

  
  • Rapid fire:

  \[ \text{Say nothing and stare at source} \]

  • Silent:

Approach Techniques
Work in combination, other approach is effective by itself.

With the exception of the Direct approach, no interaction committed a serious crime or religious someone else, possibly an individual who

– The interrogator identified the source as establish your identity.

Approach Techniques
- Pro/Cons
- Extra risk to Security
- Increase the length of interrogations X2
- Establish and maintain Rapport
- Chances of misinterpretation X2
- Limited use of approaches
- Knowledge of Interrogation methodology
- Control
Personality - mirror the Interrogator

Social Status - clan x ethnicity

Language

Linguistic Ability - English x Target

Expertise - Knowledge of AO/Target

Security Clearance

Selection

Interpreters
- Combination - Happens naturally
- Simultaneous - High degree of language skills
- Alternative - Go A then translation

Method of Interpretation - Select and rehearse

Interpreting his own questions and ideas

Procedures - Subordination, Retain from

- Source

Location & Physical Arrangement - Sit behind

Source and Objectives - Knowledge of AO/Target

- Technical/Professional

Special Vocabulary - Dialect

Planning & Preparation

Interpreters
If that fails, take it outside.

with tact and respect, never get argumentative.

Correcting the Interpreter - Keep it low-key.

Arguing - never in front of the source

Interrogator controls the Interpreter and source

Establish dominance

During the Interrogation

Interpreters
Team player.

Interrogator

Brings any inconsistencies to the attention of the

emotions, intonation, and attitude

Matches Interrogator's tone of voice, behavior.

Interprets "word for word" using first person

Takes subordinate role

Responsible during Interrogations "Do's"
Interpreters

- Responsibilities during Interrogations "Don'ts"
  - Interject his/her own personality, ideas, questions, or comments
  - Criticize the source or the Interrogator
  - Challenge questions or answers
Complex Legal Processes
Avoid Confessions
Legal defense in Western Legal Systems
Fear of Punishment
Stalling to allow accomplices to escape
Fear of/from their Organization
Limit Damage to their Organization

Basis of Resistance
RESISTING THE CONDITONING PROCESS

Basic Conscript Level
Senior Operators
Advanced Training for Al Qaeda Leadership and

CONDITONING PROCESSES

RESISTING THE
Identified cluster of behaviors related to

RESISTANCE POSTURE
Metaphor for understanding at Qaeda Resistance
METAPHOR FOR UNDERSTANDING
AT QARA'ABA RESISTANCE

CONT.
ORGANIZATION

- Establish both Overt and Covert lines of Communication
- Recognize unity as the key to long term resistance
- Bounce Back Philosophy
- Developing rapport with guards
Methods
- Frustrates captors
- Strength through unity and group support
- Or external news
- Gather intelligence on exploitation attempts
- Defeat isolation
- Bolsters morale

BETWEEN PRISONERS
COMMUNICATION
Logical return path to the circle
outside the Circle
Speck at which the captive realizes he is
Circularity of discussions
Senior Leadership and Key Operations
Avoidance of Legal Prosecution

Summary