C06552085

-----(b)(3) CIAAct-

			(b)(6) (b)(6)	
		From: (b)(3) CIAAct To: (b)(6) Cc: (b)(6)		
		Bcc: Subject: RDG Tasking for IC Psychologists Jessen and Mitchell Date: 6/16/2003 4:54:32 PM		
		My comments are highlighted in yellow. They can be summarized as:		
(b))(3) CIA/	 contractor activities should not interfere with or supplant the ong activities of staff psychologists/psychiatrists we need to distinguish between standards of conduct and ethical stafor psychologists - Jim and Bruce can make a contribution in the forme but mechanisms already exist for monitoring the ethical conduct psychologist Jim and Bruce should not be involved in establishing the credential Act HVT psychologists any resurrection of a resistance to interrogation program should be 	ndards er area, logists s for	
		with the oversight of OMS 5) we are the resident experts in personnel selection - we would welco input of Jim and Bruce as we go about our jobs 6) we value their input but they should not be in charge of anything -	me the	
		reporting they do should be reviewed by (b)(3) CIAAct		
		(b)(3) CIA	Act	
(b)(As you are aware, (b)(3) CIAAct RDG has assumed operatio control of the IC psychologists Bruce Jessen and Jim Mitchell. We hav	nal G decides ICs agree hatever are going	
[b)(€	3)	have had long disc with the ICs and believe they have much value to add to our programs. that end, we have crafted a draft to guide the trans this new strategic role. We believe this role is more in line with th	Toward ition to	
(b)(status.	ert rc	
(b)(:	3) NatSe	Because OMS has an excellent staff of psychologists whom we rely on he	avily, we	
(b (b (b)(1)			
(b)((3) CIAA (3) NatS	In crafting this list of tasks for the two ICs, we have identified prothat they have direct experience doing for other customers (e.g. DoD), that relate directly to their skill sets, and those that leverage their personal experience since joining the CIA (the AZ transition).	those	
		Ct eCAct fiate Project requirement: Jim and Bruce (J&B) will deploy to eCAct to serve as psychologists. There they will conduct a full psychological review of the HVTs with a view to recommending specific need to take to prepare the HVTs for the transition to the Endgame Fac Guantanamo. (b)(3) CIAAct		
	l of 4	SECRET//NOFORN	1/14/201	6 1:30 PM

ACLU-RDI p.1

_(b)(3) CIAAct _(b)(6)_____

> -- They will begin this effort with Abu Zubaydah, whom they know better than all other HVT personnel. AZ also has been in our control the longest. From the results of their discussions with AZ, J&B will recommend a plan--including specific steps--fo our use in preparing all the HVTs psychologically for the relocation to Guantanamo for long-term detention. Because the confinement of all the HVTs has been on an indeterminate basis--none, including AZ, knows what will become of them--the transition process is extremely tricky. -- The objective of this transition program is to provide appropriate structure and meaning to the life of the HVT, all of whom are young and will be confined for the rest of their natural lives, and to ensure that they can be productive intelligence "assets" of CIA for the long-term.

> -- Among the issues Jim and Bruce will make recommendations on are: what are the key occupational, recreational, intellectual, medical, and psychological variables we must consider in the transition of each HVT to GTMO? How do these variables affect intelligence collections and facility security? For example, should we allow HVTs to communicate with each other? How much time outside of isolation is valid? How much external stimuli is appropriate, and what kinds?

> > (b)(5)

Jim and Bruce

have the skills to examine these issues systematically and come up with reasonable recommendations. Any data collected by them from detainees with whom they previously interacted as interrogators will always be suspect, however. The project would be better served if our folks did the assessments (particularly since psychiatric assessments might be useful in soem cases) and Jim and Bruce focussed on external data collection.

(b)(5)

General Project Work Plan: Per our discussions with J&B, they will work principally as strategic consultants to the HVTI and associated Facilities and Support programs of RDG. As such, primary duties will include: -- Give written recommendations and oral presentations on program procedures, methods, and training (see specifics below). -- As senior HVTI cadre members and psychologists, deploy to our sites to mentor, review, and provide feedback to management on program development and (b)(3) CIAAct plans. -- During their deployments to our sites, serve as needed as psychologists on the HVTI team. (b)(3) CIAAct -- On site, advise and consult the senior HVTI interrogator and COB as needed. - When available, participate in both the HVT debriefing and interrogator courses as needed. -- Advise and consult on the design and use of RDG's training, black sites, and long-term detention facilities -- if absolutely necessary to mission, serve as HVT interrogators and/or mentor junior HVT interrogators. Consultations regarding program development also seem to be appropriate for our consultants, although their expertise in training interrogators seems to have escaped me up until now. My greatest concern in this area is the likelihood of Jim and Bruce ignoring or interfering with our on-site psychologists when they are deployed. Although these guys believe that their way is the only way, there should be an effort to define roles and responsibilities before their arrogance and narcissism evolve into unproductive conflict in the field. It may be helpful for CTC to meet jointly with Jim/Bruce (Do they ever do anything independently?) and our traveling roadshow. Specific Projects:

1) Draft Code of Ethics/Standards - J&B will get with (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)

SEGRET#NOFORN

1/14/2016 1:30 PM

ACLU-RDI p.2

2 of 4

C06552085

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06552085 SECRET//NOFORN (b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct and get his input and (b)(6) on-the-shelf ethics code. They will then adapt an interrogator-specific draft set for us. We have identified this as a major gap in our program based on our J&B recommend that we then all sit down (b)(3) CIAAct -and discuss (b)(5) -- As part of this effort, J&B also will draft a mission statement based on (b)(6) their knowledge of the program (part of which is already contained in the following project proposal). The use of the term ethics is likely to continue to contribute to confusion. If we have adopted the SERE model, then Jim and Bruce are in a position to facilitate the development of standards of conduct for our program. Special ethical standards for psychologists are unnecessary - our professional responsibilities are clearly articulated by our own code of ethics. We may want to develop examples of how those ethical principles apply in this unique line of work, but this is matter best handled by our cadre of operational psychologists. Jim and Bob have both shown blatant disregard for the ethics shared by almost all of their colleagues. They also used discussions of standards and ethics to limit our participation in this line of work. We have an ethics committee within and they are qualified to monitor any issue that might arrise. (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(5) 2) Project Proposal - (see copy separately provided) - Baseline psychological (b)(6) review of our interrogation and debriefing tactics, techniques and procedures currently in use with the goal of evaluating, revising, and refining as needed. Also, review potential interrogation and debriefing tactics, techniques and procedures NOT in use by us and evaluate/recommend development and validation of new/modified procedures. -- Bottom-line is that this project is intended to identify ways to achieve effective psychological coercive impact on the HVT through application of less invasive physical means--the major thrust of our program since its inception. A refined set of methods/tactics/procedures should further lower the risks of unintended psychological or physical harm to HVT and also lower the strain on HVTI cadre. The project demonstrates our recognition of the need for due diligence in our program and, most important, will result in more productive, efficient intelligence collection. -- As part of this project, J&B propose meeting with a senior (b)(6) psychologist/academic counterpart Without specifying what they are doing for us, J&B want to licit info on latest developments efforts in the (b)(3) CIAActsychology/interrogation field. approve a trip by J&B to meet to acomplish this task. (b)(6) (b)(6)All worthy goals - hope they enjoy their trip(s) (b)(6)Just hope our myopic view of the interrogation process doesn't come back to haunt us. We seem to be wedded exclusively to the military model and not even exploring what the law enforcement community may have to offer. It's hard for me to imagine that these guys can function with even a modicum of objectivity as researchers. I would just encourage a broader approach to the problem. 3) As part of (2) above, draft a separate paper aimed at helping interrogators and debriefers gain a practical understanding of how human memory works. This will help people understand why HVTs--like "normal" humans--don't recall everything the intel "model" says they should. Draft Protocols on How to Conduct Training/How to Execute Interrogations in the Field - Following baseline review of our documentation, training manuals, procedures, J&B will develop draft papers on how we should train interrogators, using their knowledge of JPRA, Tait, and and how we execute what we train in the field, from the planning of the interrogation to the execution and transition to debriefing. Objective is to create a formal (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) SEGRET // NOFORN 3 of 4 1/14/2016 1:30 PM

ACLU-RDI p.3

C06552085

-(b)(3) CIAAct-

done this a few time!

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06552085 SECRET//NOFORN

(b)(6)framework that senior interrogators can use to train, monitor, evaluate, and provide feedback to trainees and novice interrogators. To carry out the project, J&B will need to observe our candidates in action (as psychologists and HVT cadre members). -- As part of this, J&B will bring us what JPRA documentation/procedures they (b)(3) CIAAct have on hand (much of which Bruce drafted). -- Major element of this effort will be to train our senior HVT instructors on how to recognize and correct "drift" in the interrogation process. 5) J&B will conduct a review of JPRA training and exercises to recommend a list of procedures, methods, and best practices they believe we can incorporate and/or adapt in our program. -- in doing this, they will assess the value-added of exposing our HVTI cadre (b)(3) CIAAct to the physical/psychological pressures applied to students at JPRA. and C/RDG hypothesis is that our program must incorporate such exposures for our interrogators and psychologists to be able to assess accurately the reactions/psychological state of HVTs undergoing enhance interrogation measures. Draft a CONOP for developing organic interrogation/counter-interrogations 6) training modules. They will tailor this to help us map out options for establishing an autonomous Directorate of Operations/CIA capability. We will use the conop produced to assess the value of in-house training capabilities (b)(3) CIAActnd programs for D0 officers, and other CIA personnel who may need it. (b)(3) NatSecAct-If we are talking about reinventing a resistance to interrogation program, we (b)(6)have the internal expertise (complete with substantive and historical knowledge) to accomplish the task. We are not training military personnel at risk of being wrapped up during military missions. Wholesale adoption of the Jim and Bruce show just isn't appropriate. 7) Interrogator Candidate Selection Criteria - develop a set of psychological criteria we can use to screen candidates as well as apply to evaluate certified interrogators.(b)(3) CIAAct We welcome their input, but personnel selection is a clearly defined responsibility of _____ We also are quite competent to do the type of job analysis essential to the development of a successful program. We've actually

P.S. The 1000 meeting on 16 June will be in _____-RDG's new space (we are your neighbors now, so there goes the neighborhood

(b)(3) CIAAct