TOP SECRET/	NOFORN//MR//20330310
Chronology of CIA High Value I	Notainee Intervenation Technique
Chronology of CIA High-value L	Detainee Interrogation Technique
	v ×
	u Zubalda was captured in March 2002
and, in the process, was severely wound a blacksite and treated by Agency spons	ded. Once stabilized, he was rendered to
	ecovered to a point where he could be
	ormation, he was interrogated by both
CIA and FBI officers.	
 At this point there was no CIA inte 	errogation program and the only
techniques being used were sleet loud music/white noise.	o deprivation, dietary manipulation, and
	valuable information that would not be
	hniques. CIA therefore sought to
develop other, effective, and lega	I techniques to use.
	*
(TC(VAIE) HILV COOK Dane	d on the 47 Double when 0004 Double double
(TS/ /NF) JULY 2002: Base Memorandum of Notification (MON) auth	d on the 17 September 2001 Presidential
Memorandon of Notification 10000 acti	to undertake operations designed to
capture and detain persons who pose a	continuing a serious threat of violence or
death to US persons and interests - CIA	
and Interrogation Program to be manage	
	JUNE 2004: CIA submitted the
following Enhanced Interrogation Techn	
	n research conducted by the military that asting effects when applied correctly. In
an August 2002 written opinion, DOJ ad	
constitute torture. (See Appendix A for	
· ·	
5	*
Attention Grasp	Sleep deprivation
Facial hold	Walling
Stress positions	Water Board
Cramped confinement	Wall standing
Use of harmless live insects (never utilized)	Facial slap
duized)	
	1
5	
. 3	
9	
*	
TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//20330310

w.	TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//203303	10 .
	•	: e	
		197	• •
music/white	ssive Standard Techniques, noise, nudity and use of dia submitted to DOJ for review	pers were also available for	
refined the obstween Stationary activities will use of shace eliciting info	Response to the DCI Query CIA detention program. Cha andard and Enhanced Technique thin the "Standard Technique kling) were really security metallicians. CIA reclassified its en (13) techniques (See Apple	inges included dropping the niques after determining the es" category (such as white easures and not used for the available techniques into the	30 June 2004), e distinction at many e noise and he purpose of the following
. Stress	Positions	Wall Standing	
Dietary	Manipulation	Cramped Confinement	
Nudity		Walling -	1
Facial S	on Grasp	Facial Hold Abdominal Slap	
	Dousing	Sleep deprivation (more	than 48
(2)	*	hours) .	
Water E	Board		. [
		16	i
U.S. obligat	n techniques do not violate the clions under Article 16 of the clions use of cruel, inhuman or clions.	Convention Against Torture	d they violate e, which
(TS/	NE DECEMBED 2006	: DCIA (Porter Goss) sus	nandad usa af
all technique (aka the Mo review. As reasons to p	es in anticipation of enactme cCain Amendment) pending a a result of that review, CIA d proceed using only seven (7) son for proceeding with only	ant of the Detainee Treatment of the Detainee Treatment of the policy, operational a letermined for policy and on the thirteen (13) technical of the thirte	ent Act of 2005 and legal perational ques. The
passing the	McCain Amendment, Congr	ress had signaled its lack of	of support for
	counterterrorism programs a		
	prudential reasons to avoid ivil or criminal litigation. DO		
opinion that	the revised program compli	ed with U.S. law when the	Supreme
Court return	ned on the Hamdan decision	, thereby providing that Wa	ar of Terror
detainees w	vere entitled to the protection s. The Hamdan Decision the	is of Common Article 3 of t	ine Geneva
Couversion	a. The Hamidan Decision th	us required a complete leg	iai, policy and
	. 20	of 15 '	
	HOD CECDEM/	/NOFORN//MR//203303	10
•	TOP-SECRET/	/ NOPOKN/ / FRE/ / 203303	

	TOP SECRET	/NOI	FORN//MR	//20330310	1
		*	ž		1 5
onorat	ional rangagament		· 'ha (' '7\	
review	ional reassessment were:	ot the program.	ne seven (/) Techniques u	nder
Condit	ioning Techniques:	Dietary manipulat	ion, Sleep	deprivation, Nuc	lity
Correc	tive Measures: Abd	ominal Slap, Atter	ntion Gras	o, Facial Hold, F	acial Slap
Decem Hadi A	MF) DEC gation Techniques value 2005 to July 20 Il-Iraqi, was captured gation Techniques.	07. During that tir	r use on a	ny detainee from ne (1) detainee,	i
(TS/	ME) HII	2007: Mohamm	ad Pahim	detained It was	:
determ	nined that Enhanced ve exploitation of the	Interrogation Tec	hniques w		
•	On 20 July, the Presenticle 3, and DOJ is Interrogation Techniprogram to reach ur The DCIA issued General Memora details.): The six El	sident signed Exect ssued a legal opin iques were lawful. nanimous policy su uidelines on Internandum of Notificat (S	cutive Order tion finding Nudity has upport amongations Co tion of 17 S	CIA's six Enhan ad been removed ong the NSC Prin conducted Pursu	iced I from the ncipals. ant to
Sleep Facial	Deprivation Hold	Dietary Manipula Facial Slap		Attention Grasp Abdominal Slap	!
•	The Guidelines auth limited Sleep Depriv provided a mathem requirements author Enhanced Interroga under the 20 July M Under the current Disprevious application the standard previous at and deprivation as 180 listeep without interrogated.	vation no more that atical formula with rized under Dietar ation Techniques relemorandum. OJ opinion ,Sleep nwould like usly determined at ard set the maximum bours after which the set of the set which the set of the set o	an 180 hou which to dry Manipula epresent the Deprivation to see Sie is lawful by um allowal the detains	rs in a 30-day per determine the call ation. The above the current authority on, lacks the efficiency dep Deprivation of DOJ in 2005. To the duration for see must be permi	eriod, and loric rities cacy of its returned to the current leep itted to
	removal of the 30 d	ay restriction, sind wes removal of thi lintaining his sens	ce it allows is restriction e of loss of	the detainee to n will deny the d	rebuild his etainee
		3 of 15	Ē.	3 0 0	
	TOP SECRET	NO	FORN/MI	2//20330310	
			-		110

	TOP SECRET/	/1	OFORN//MR//2	03 30310	
		*	200	· į	
	La				
	however, that the lav restriction.	v as it exists to	day would permit	removing the 30-	day
280					
(TS	/NF) RESU	LTS OF OCTO	BER 2006 SENI	OR INTERROGA	TOR
` _		REVIEW		٠١.	
provid Interro panel with H regard to quid senior induci	gators, Psychologists of DCIA with a final list ogation Program (RDI considered the effication Value Detainees ling whether or not the ckly induce an exploitable stat opinion of the panel,	s and RDG ma t of EITS for us) in light of the cy of each EIT (HVD). The pa e technique wa able state of m of the technique e of mind, give	nagement to review in the Rendition Military Commissions based on experience then came to as likely to add signind. Based on the were thought in both the pros a	n Detention and sions Act of 2006. ence during past of a conclusion prificantly to the act experience of the less crucial and cons of their under the constant of their under the constant in	The use bility
	Abdominal Slap (Lothis EIT.) Cramped Confinem Detainees quickly co Nudity (Moderate ef risky. If its use is pe exploitation technique Waterboard. (Signification out its use.)	ent (Effect sel me to view the fect, but cultur rceived as deli e can set back	dom lasts past in confinement bot al sensitivities ma berate humiliation the intelligence	Itial exposure. Mo k as a safe place. kes use of Nudity n, using nudity as collection process	ost , an
Decer would time to Progra as the and In severa subse deterr CTC I	mended list of EITs to mber 2005, as those to result in a program to produce critical, time am. The panel was use two (2) most importanterrogation Program, all of the 13 EITs detequently dropped during that the following the to remain as particular to remain as particular to the particular to the terminal of the terminal that the following the terminal that the following the terminal as particular to remain as particular to the terminal that the following that the terminal that the following the terminal that the following that the terminal that the following the terminal that the terminal that the following that the terminal that the following that the terminal t	o only those set o retain. Howe hat was significe sensitive international intercept of the panel recommend to be large the December of the patents of the panel recommend to be large the December of the patents of the panel recommend to be large the December of the panel recommend to be large the December of the panel recommend to be large the December of the panel recommend to the panel	even (7) previously ever, the panel the cantly less effective liligence than the entifying Sleep Dlusion in the future commended make the entifying DOJ in Noter 2005 review. The sare critical to the Program, however	ought that to do so we and required many previous RDI eprivation and We CTC Exploitation available for usual 2005 that were at that time the page effectiveness of the control of the cont	C in o ore alling on se e anel f the
* .		45	ξί.	•	
			*		
		4 of	15		,
9	TOP SECRET	/	NOFORN//MR//:	20330310	
	TOP SECRET		MOLORAL / PROF		

TOP SECRET	NOFORN//MR/:/20330310

- Sleep Deprivation: (Significant effect. Focuses the Detainee's attention on his current situation rather than ideological goals. Helps to undermine the Detainee's motivation to continue to withhold information.)
- Walling: (Significant effect. Very effective when combined with sleep deprivation and used as part of conditioning a program.)
- Dietary Manipulation: (Mild effect) Helps undermine the Detainee's motivation to continue withholding information.
- Water Dousing: (Moderate effect) Useful as part of a conditioning program, but not as useful as walling.)
- Attention Grasp: (Mild effect) Useful in the moment to disrupt or correct inattentive or contemptuous Detainee behavior.)
- Stress Positions: (Moderate effect) Useful as part of a conditioning program based on randomization of EITs. Should include wall standing, since it is a stress position)
- Facial Hold: (Mild effect) Useful in the moment to disrupt or correct inattentive or contemptuous Detainee behavior.)
- Facial Slap: (Moderate effect) Useful in the moment to disrupt or correct extremely inattentive, arrogant, or contemptuous Detainee behavior, but must be used judiciously since facial slaps are highly insulting to some cultures and could set back the interrogation and exploitation process.)

	5 of 15
TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//20330310

TOP SECRE	T/	DEORN//MR//20	3303 10
	(P)	11	
(F (#))	Appendi	<u>x A</u>	-
(7 8	ANF) 2002 Origina	al Interrogation T	echniques
Standard Measures:		* **	
1-1-0	(4		

- Isolation
- White noise or loud music (at a decibel level that will not damage hearing)
- Continuous light or darkness
- Restricted diet (sufficient to maintain general health)
- Shackling (for security or sleep deprivation purposes)
- Sleep deprivation (up to 48 hours)
- Shaving
- Stripping
- Diapering
- Hooding (for limited periods or transport)
- Uncomfortable cool environment

Enhanced Measures*:

- Facial slap (open-handed)
- Facial hold
- Attention grasp
- Sleep deprivation over 48 hours**
- Walling
- Stress positions
 - o Kneeling
 - o Forehead on wall
- Cramped confinement (boxes)
- Waterboard
- Wall Standing
- · Insects .

* On site medical and psychological presence required

** Reduced from 72 hours to 48 hours in December 2003

***Previously termed "water dousing", and treated as a standard measure

	97	
365	6 of 15	
TOP SECRET/	/N OFORN//MR//2033 0310	×

TOP SEC	RET/ NOFORM	I//MR	//203	30 3	10	
				5.50		
7.40				.,	20	
	Appendix B	•		10		• [

Detention Conditions:

- Shaving
- Security Shackling
- Hooding (while in transport)
- Isolation
- White noise or loud music (Not to Exceed 79 decibels a decibel level that will not damage hearing)
- · Continuous light

Interrogation Techniques:

- Sleep deprivation
- Nudity
- Dietary Manipulation
- · Facial Slap (open-handed)
- Facial hold
- Attention grasp
- Abdominal slap (back-handed)
- Walling
- Stress positions
- Wall Standing
- Cramped confinement (boxes)
- · Water Dousing (including pour, flick, and toss)
- Waterboard

	· 7 of 15
TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//2033031.0

TOP SECRET /NOFORN//MR//20330310

Appendix C

(TS /NF) Current Interrogation Techniques

- · Sleep deprivation
- Dietary Manipulation
- · Facial slap (open-handed)
- Facial hold
- Attention grasp
- · Abdominal slap (back-handed)

	8 of 15	200
FOP SECRET	/ NOFORN/ /M	R//20330310

r	
TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//20330310

Intelligence collected after application of EITs:

Results: CIA's use of DOJ-approved enhanced interrogation techniques, as part of a comprehensive interrogation approach, has enabled CIA to disrupt terrorist plots, capture additional terrorists, and collect a high volume of critical intelligence on al-Qa'ida. We believe that intelligence acquired from these interrogations has been a key reason why al-Qa'ida has failed to launch a spectacular attack in the West since 11 September 2001. Key intelligence collected from HVD interrogations after applying interrogation techniques:

- The Karachi Plot: This plan to conduct attacks against the US Consulate and other US interests in Pakistan was uncovered during the initial interrogations of Khallad Bin Attash and Ammar al-Baluchi and later confirmed by KSM, who provided additional information on the Karachi plot and confirmed al-Qa'ida's collaboration with local Pakistani extremists. KSM provided information on the Karachi plot after we showed him "capture" photos of Ammar and Khallad, and he confirmed al-Qa'ida's collaboration with local Pakistani extremists.
- The Heathrow Plot: Initial interrogations of Ammar and Khallad resulted in information on this plot to hijack commercial airliners in Eastern Europe and fly them into Heathrow Airport. Using the information from Khallad and Ammar, we confronted KSM and uncovered details on the plot's evolution and the potential operatives involved. Khallad admitted that he had directed Saudi leader Hazim al-Sha'ir to begin locating pilots for the attack.
- The "Second Wave": This was a KSM plot to use East Asian operatives to crash a hijacked airliner into the tallest building on the US West Coast (Los Angeles) as a follow-on to 9/11. We learned this during the initial interrogation of KSM and later confirmed it through the interrogation of Hambali and Khallad.
- The Guraba Cell: We learned of this 17-member Jemaah Islamiyah cell
 from Hambali, who confirmed that some of the cell's operatives were
 identified as candidates to train as pilots as part of KSM's "second wave"
 attack against the US.
- Issa al-Hindi: KSM first identified Issa al-Hindi as an operative he sent to the US prior to 9/11 to case potential targets in NYC and Washington. When shown surveillance photos provided by HVDs confirmed al-Hindi's identity. Al-Hindi's capture by the British resulted in the disruption of a sleeper cell and led to the arrest of other operatives.

9 of 15
' NOFORN //MR//20330310

TOP SECRET/	/NOFORN//MR//20330310

- <u>Abu Talha al-Pakistani</u>: Khaliad and Ammar identified Abu Talha as the leader of the Karachi cell and the Heathrow Plot. The combined intelligence gathered from Khallad, Ammar, KSM, Hassan Ghul, and other HVDs resulted in Abu Talha's recent arrest in Pakistan and the disruption of ongoing plots to target Western interests in London.
- <u>Hambali's Capture</u>: During KSM's interrogation we acquired information that led to the capture of Hambali in August 2003 and to the partial dismantling of the Jemaah Islamiyah leadership in SE Asia. KSM first told us about Majid Khan's role in delivering \$50,0000 to Hambali operatives for an attack KSM believed was imminent. We then confronted Khan with KSM's admission and email intercepts confirming the money transfer and Khan's travel to Bangkok. Khan admitted he delivered the money to an operative named "Zubair," whom we subsequently identified and captured. Zubair's capture led to the identification and subsequent capture of an operative named Lilie who was providing forged passports to Hambali. Lilie identified the house in Bangkok where Hambali was hiding. When we confronted Hambali with details of what we knew from other detainees, he admitted that he was grooming the Guraba cell for US operations at the behest of KSM.
- Jafaar al-Tayyar: Tayyar is an al-Qa'ida operative who was conducting casing in the US for KSM prior to 9/11, according to KSM and other HVDs. KSM confirmed that he recruited Tayyar--who is still at large--to conduct a major operation against US interests. KSM described Tayyar as the next Muhammad Atta. Tayyar's family is in Florida and we have identified many of his extremist contacts. Acting on this information, the FBI quickly publicized Tayyar's true name and aggressively followed up with his family and friends in the United States, causing Tayyar to flee the United States.
- <u>Dirty Bomb Plot</u>: Abu Zubaydah provided significant information on two operatives, Jose Padilla and Binyam Mohammed, who planned to build and detonate a "dirty bomb" in the Washington DC area. Zubaydah's reporting led to the arrest of Padilla on his arrival in Chicago in May 2003 and to the identification of Mohammad, who was already in Pakistani custody under another identity.
- Shoe Bomber: We learned from KSM and Ammar that Sajid Badat was the operative slated to launch a simultaneous shoe bomb attack with Richard Reid in December 2001.

 10 of 15

 TOP SECRET/ /NOFORN//MR//20330310

	NOFORN//MR//20330310
€	
	*
	!
	i i
hkai. Pakistan: The intern	ogation of Hassan Ghul provided detailed
actical intelligence showing	that Shkal, Pakistan was a major Al-Qa'ida

11 of 15

POP SECRET (NOFORN//MR//2033031

TOP SECRET	/NOFORN//MR//20330310

Topic: Effectiveness of EITs

Assessing the effectiveness of individual EITs is difficult because each interrogation is tailored to a specific detainee and combines EITs and non-coercive measures to maximize impact.

There are numerous factors that effect a detainee's ability to resist the interrogation process, such as:

- · physical stamina
- psychological and emotional state
- expectations or insight on how he will be treated by USG authorities
- amount of time he has been held by lialson services or US Military prior to rendition
- treatment received while in liaison or US Military custody

An independent review conducted in 2005 on the efficacy of authorized EITs determined that EITs were generally effective in producing a state of cooperation needed to obtain intelligence.

- One report analyzed data from the RDI Program that indicated EITs—when incorporated into a broader program based on sound intelligence and analysis—did provide useful intelligence.
- Another report concluded that EITs were an integral component of a highly successful program.

Prior to the use of EiTs on KSM and Abu Zubaydah, CiA's most prolific intelligence producers, they completely withheld or provided incomplete threat information on actionable targets. Both expressed the belief that the USG lacked the ability and willingness to apply psychological and physical pressures to compel them to cooperate. They subsequently commented that they had believed the USG's legal restraints would provide them opportunities to stall and obfuscate.

 Abu Zubaydah—our most "analytical" detainee—commented that the EITs offer a justification for committing the "sin" of voluntarily providing information, and noted that he probably would not have provided the amount of information he did without their use. He said the knowledge that the USG was willing and able to use EITs was an effective persuasion.

12 of 15

TOP SECRET/ NOFORN//MR//20330310

TOP SECRET/	NOFORN//MR//20330310
-------------	----------------------

Topic: Abu Zubaydah's EIT Process

Abu Zubaydah was captured 27 March 2002 and rendered to CIA custody on 31 March. On 1 August, CIA secured formal written approval from the DoJ's office of Legal Counsel for the EITs of waterboarding, confinement box/cramped confinement, attention grasp, walling, facial hold, facial slap, wall standing, stress positions, sleep deprivation, use of diapers, and use of harmless insects (not employed).

Approvals:

- DCIA discussed these proposed EITs with the National Security Adviser on 17 July, who advised CIA that we may proceed.
- On 13 July, CIA's acting General Counsel and Chief, CTC Legal provided a
 full brief to NSC Legal Adviser John Bellinger, Deputy NSC Legal Adviser
 Bryan Cunningham, Deputy Assistant Attorney General Michael Chertoff,
 Head of the Criminal Division at DoJ, and Chief of Staff to the FBI Director
 Dan Levin on the proposed EITs, with particular emphasis on details of
 waterboarding.
- In a 17 May 2002 briefing on the general interrogation plan, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Condoleeza Rice, Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs Stephen Hadley, NCS Legal Adviser John Bellinger, and White House Counsel Al Gonzales were informed that Abu Zubaydah was subject to interrogation methods that while lawful did not necessarily comport with methods used by traditional law enforcement personnel or military interrogators, including denial of clothing, constant illumination of his detention cell, intermittent use of loud music or white noise, and other techniques designed to maximize psychological pressure.
- The Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) at DoJ advised CIA that the legal statute applicable to criminalizing infliction of severe physical or mental pain or suffering did not prohibit the EITs. OLC found that waterboarding did not violate the statute because while it posed an imminent threat of death no prolonged mental harm attached to its use and it did not have the specific intent to inflict severe pain or suffering. The "mock burial" technique was not approved for legal and policy reasons.

Why did CIA employ EITs? The time lag between Abu Zubaydah's rendition to CIA custody and employment of EITs—from late March to early August 2002—allowed Abu Zubaydah to recuperate from serious wounds suffered during his capture by Pakistani to assess Abu Zubaydah's level of participation. • Debriefings from April to May 2002 by CIA officers and FBI special agents produced preliminary intelligence on threats to the US Homeland and US interests overseas, but the exploitation team assessed that Abu Zubaydah's motivation to provide actionable threat information declined as he became 13 of 15 TOP—SECRET/ NOFORN//MR//20330310

	TOP SECRET/ /NOFORN//MR//20330310
	increasingly confident in his environment and the limitations of interrogation measures. In early debriefings, Abu Zubaydah identified Khalid Shaykh Muhammad (KSM) and discussed some aspects of KSM's operations, and he provided a basic description that led to the identification of two individuals detained by Pakistani authorities as US-bound operatives Jose Padilla and Binyam Muhammad. The exploitation team noted that during this time frame—while still recovering from his wounds—Abu Zubaydah suffered from diminished mental efficiency and fatigue, and likely perceived himself as vulnerable and dependant upon US medical staff for survival.
co op im	his conditions improved in May 2002, Abu Zubaydah appeared increasingly infident in testing the limits of interrogators' responses to his denial of access to erational information and senior al-Qa'ida leaders, even when confronted with plicating voice intercepts. Abu Zubaydah also indicated that he expected a ar-term end to his interrogations and transfer to military custody. In response to Abu Zubaydah's declining participation in debriefings, the initiated a period of isolation in May punctuated with periodic direct questioning on threat information to dislocate his expectations, erode his sense of control, and raise his desire for social interaction. The noted Abu Zubaydah's high level of self-discipline, ability to remain focused under stressful and disorienting conditions, and capacity for complex thinking. As emir of Khaldan, Abu Zubaydah developed the camp's security course based on al-Qa'ida's training course that included counterinterrogation measures. Abu Zubaydah had previously acted as emir of al-Qa'ida's al-Faruq camp, and in this capacity had interrogated trainees
	suspected of being spies.
Pr se sta fat co me	ior to EITs, Abu Zubaydah often remained evasive when confronted with institive topics, offered vague or historical information, resorted to speculative attements on a myriad of possible methods for US attacks, feighed pain or igue, and altered information he had previously provided in an attempt to infuse interrogators. Upon implementation of aggressive interrogation ethods—which then included the standard EITs of isolation and nudity—the ploitation team observed a marked change in Abu Zubaydah's willingness to ovide relevant operational details The
	generally addressed relevant details without prompting. 14 of 15
*	generally addressed relevant details without prompting.

${\tt UNCLASSIFIED} \, {\it //} \, {\tt FOR} \, {\tt PUBLIC} \, {\tt RELEASE}$

620					TOP-32	CRET/		/Nore	RM//MR			8	i i	
								A DETAIN					i	
· Detelnoe ·	Destination	Sleep Dep	Nudity	Diotary	Fecial Hold	Attention	Abdomina) Gaig	Facial Slap	Stress Positions	Cramped Confinenment	Water Dousing	Welling	Water Board	1
*													9	
													· .	L

Suleiman Abd al-Allah		X	×			x	×	, x		×	×	. x	1	
Al-Sharla'ya (Abd al-Karim)		1.		_ X	X		ı	1 X	X	x	IX		•	
													i	
													1	
													100	
													50	
													59	
													1	
			35								ė		:	
													i	
													*1	
													÷	
													1	L
													Ø.	
				7.		National III	•		evere vista					
														88
					A.								!	
				12.7a	94.0	-								W. W
,														
3/27/2008					TOP-0	ECRET/	// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	(NO.E	ORN//MR				:	