





. .

•	TOP SECRET //X1
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	SUBJECT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	According to COS the guards are very professional
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	For the most part, the guards are unaware of the identities of the prisoners. According to in some instances the prisoners have told the guards their identities (b)(1) b)(3) NatSecAct guards are not privy to information derived from th(b)(1) interrogations of the prisoners. <sup>16</sup> (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1)	5. ( <del>TS</del> ) Since the establishment of (b)(3) NatSecAct Station has made an effort to provide training to the guards With no
(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	exception, individuals interviewed stated that the guards treated prisoners well and "by-the-book," following all directions regarding the treatment and handling of prisoners. On June 2002, two and a half months prior to receipt of its first prisoner, Station cabled
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct [	Headquarters outlining the need to provide comprehensive training to the guards in regard to their safe an(b)(1) secure handling of the prisoners, (b)(3) NatSecAct On June 2002, Headquarters concurred in principle with the
	need to adequately train (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
	On June 2002, Station sent a cable
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	15 Interview ofDec 2002 (Attachment 15)(b)(1)16 Interview ofNov 2002 (Attachment 13)(b)(3) CIAAct16 Interview ofOct 2002 (Attachment 7)(b)(3) NatSecAct10 Jun 2002 (Attachment 5)(b)(6)10 Jun 2002 (Attachment 16)(b)(7)(c)
хv <sup>4</sup>	$\frac{4}{\text{TOP-SECRET//X1}}$

.

TOP SECRET //X1

SUBJECT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

to Headquarters requesting that Headquarters identify staff personnel or independent contractors who could provide the <sup>20</sup> On 3 July 2002, (b)(1) training (b)(3) NatSecAct Headquarters cabled and notified them that they were still attempting to identify a training program, but had been unable to do so thus far.<sup>21</sup> Some time between 3 July 2002 and 18 August 2002, the idea of using the US Bureau or Prisons (BOP) personnel to provide training to the (b)(1)(b)(1)guard force was suggested. On | August 2002, (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct Station sent a cable to Headquarters stating the following regarding the guard force: (b)(1)— (b)(3) NatSecAct Request update on the (b)(1) status of BOP personnel TDY to train the (b)(3) NatSecAct guards and prison staff. Station believes this training will be essential. given the near certainty that we will be called to account for our efforts at some future date; either within the USG or to the (b)(1) international community (through the ICRC.)" 22 (b)(3) NatSecAct Some time between September and the arrival of the first prisoner on September 2002, Station utilized its own resources to provide initial training for the interior (b)(1) guards. (b)(3) NatSecAct Station provided training to the guards on how to handle, move, restrain prisoners, lock them in cells, and handle them safely and securely. (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct Between August and September 2002, Headquarters was able to make arrangements with the BOP to provide training in guard September 2002, On force at cabled Headquarters and noted that they looked forward  $t_{(b)(1)}$  receiving a timeline for the TDY of BOP personnel(b)(2) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct 20 Jun 2002 (Attachment 17) (b)(1)21 Jul 2002 (Attachment 18) (b)(3) CIAAct Aug 2002 (Attachment 19) 22 ]Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) 📜 23 Interview of (b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct 5 A24-6 -<del>TOP-SECRET//X1</del>(b)(7)(c) (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(1) SUBJECT: (S)Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct indicating "sooner is better."<sup>24</sup> On November 200:(b)(1) (b)(1) BOP officers arrived in and trained the (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct quards from November. BOP instructors trained guards in restraint techniques, escort procedures, security checks, entrance procedures, cell searches, watch calls, and patdown searches. BOP also made a number of (b)(1) recommendations to improve the security of the prison.25 (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) :(b)(1)= (b)(3) NatSecActison guards are (b)(7)(c) 6. <del>(TS)</del> highly personnel. cooperative with (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct 28 The quards (b)(1) do whatever they are told to do by (b)(3) NatSecAct personnel, and often will not do anything until personnel.29 All activities that told to do so by (b)(1) Station officers wish to undertake at the facility are (b)(3) CIAAct fully supported and rapidly carried out by the guard force. (b)(3) NatSecAct stated, that although they will do anything he asks (b)(6) of them, nothing prevents the guards from taking (b)(7)(c)independent action. If a guard noticed that a prisoner was cold, he could give the prisoner a blanket.<sup>30</sup> That said, believed that the guards would take no independent action at that prison without permission from (b)(1) guards does not want any the (b)(3) NatSecAct of the prisoners to die, no matter how good or bad they are. He told the guards that this (ensuring the well being of the prisoner) was their responsibility.<sup>31</sup> According to Station has recently made an effort to instill this (b)(1)responsibility in the guard force by appointing one of the (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct-(b)(1)(b)(6) :(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(7)(c)(Attachment 20) (b)(3) NatSecAct (Attachment 21) Nov 2002 (Attachment 13) <sup>26</sup> Interview of (b)(1) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 27 Interview of (b)(1), 2002 (Attachment 11) (b)(3) CIAAct 29 Interview of(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 13) <sup>30</sup> Interview of(b)(3) NatSecAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 13) · · · · · Nov 2002 (Attachment 22) <sup>31</sup> Interview ol(b)(6) A24-7 6 (b)(7)(c)TOP\_SECRET//X1

TOP SECRET / X1





ACLU-RDI p.8

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318

. .

•	-TOP-SECRET//X1	
(b)(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(b)(1)
(b)(3) NatSecAct	STIP TECT. LC.) Dooth Tomosti anti-	(b)(3) CIAAct
	SUBJECT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHM	(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1)		·
(b)(3) CIAAct		
(b)(3) NatSecAct		
(b)(6)		
(b)(7)(c)	Note: CIA was already funding the operation	(b)(1)
	facility to include all prison expenses.	of t(b)(3) NatSecAct
	-	
	10. ( <del>S</del> ) According to	a CIA medical
,	officer TDY to, at the end of August 20 had agreed to providephysician to	· ·····
(b)(1)	prisoners. As of November 2002, has f	
(b)(3) CIAAct	so. As a result, Station assumed by default	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	responsibility of taking care of the prisoner	
	needsstated that he first visited	
	November 2002, shortly after his arrival foTDY toStated that if	
(b)(1)	becomes ill, he and another Station medic go	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	and treat themstated that his gui	delines for
	treating the prisoners were vague and needed	to be further
in the state of t	defined. stated that he called the of the Office of Medical Services (b)(3) CIAAct	Acting_Chiet
(b)(1)		as told, "the
(b)(3) NatSecAct	Hippocratic Oath states that if someone is si	
	(b)(3) CIAAct	(b)(1)
		(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1)	11. (S) dated November provides a detailed outline of Station's medi	•
(b)(3) CIAAct	the detainees at The cable is quo	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	its entirety:	
	SUBJECT: (b)(3) CIAAct STATION MEDICAL S	
		UPPORT TO
	DETAINEES (D)(3) NatSecAct	(b)(1)
	REF: NONE	(b)(3) CIAAct
		(b)(3) NatSecAct
	TEXT:	. •
	1. ACTION REQUIRED: NONE, FYI ONLY.	
(6)(1)	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. (b)(1) (b)(3) Cl	۵ ۵ ct	
(b)(3) Na		)(1)
		)(3) CIAAct
		)(3) NatSecAct
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		)(6)
		)(7)(c)
·		)(6) )(7)(c) A24-10

•	
2007 - N.	TOP SECRET77X1
) ( 2007	S(b)(1) <sup>T</sup> : <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	2. STATION MEDICAL PERSONNEL PROVIDE SUPPORT TO CIA RENDITIONS AND DETAINEE PROGRAMS. MEDICAL PERSONNEL
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(1)	ARE ALL PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS OR NURSE PRACTITIONERS. ONE TO TWO PERSONNEL ARE ASSIGNED TDY AT ANY GIVEN TIME.
(b)(3) NatSecAct	3. STANDARD RENDITION PROCEDURE REQUIRES THAT
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	ONE MEDICAL OFFICER PARTICIPATE IN ALL RENDITIONS. THE REASON FOR THIS IS THREEFOLD. FIRST, TO ENSURE THAT THE DETAINEE DOES NOT HAVE ANY ITEMS CONCEALED ON HIS PERSON WHICH MIGHT BE USED AS A WEAPON (THROUGH A COMPLETE FULL- BODY AND CAVITY SEARCH). SECOND, TO DETERMINE THE INITIAL MEDICAL CONDITION OF THE DETAINEE; AND THIRD, TO STABILIZE THE CONDITION OF THE DETAINEE DURING THE RENDITION - INCLUDING SEDATION IF NECESSARY.
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
	STATION MEDICAL PERSONNEL ALSO PROVIDE SUPPLEMENTAL MEDICAL SUPPORT ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS. THIS TYPICALLY CONSISTS OF TREATMENT FOR ACUTE MEDICAL PROBLEMS AND FOLLOW-UP TREATMENT FOR PRE-EXISTING MEDICAL CONDITIONS.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TOP SECRET//XI A 24-ll

1	
	SUBJECT: <del>(S</del> ) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
	(b)(1) (b)(1)
(b)(1)	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) CIAAct	(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct	THE
L.	LAST REGULAR ASSISTANCE VISIT TO WAS CONDUCTED
	FROM NOVEMBER 2002. THE NEXT PLANNED VISIT WILL BE
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	DURING       WEEK OF NOVEMBER 2002.       BASED ON THE LAST         VISIT, FOLLOW-UP CARE WAS PROVIDED TO SEVERAL
(b)(3) NatSecAct	INMATES FROM NOVEMBER 2002. (b)(1)
	(b)(3) CIAAct
	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
i.	(b)(1)
I	(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(1)	(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	DURING THE MOST RECENT
(b)(3) NatSecAct	SCHEDULED VISIT TO DETAINEES WHO PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED THEMSELVES AS DIABETICS WERE TESTED FOR BLOOD
	SUGAR LEVELS (WHICH WERE NORMAL), DETAINEE WITH A
(b)(1)	VARIETY OF PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS WAS PRESCRIBED FIVE
(b)(3) CIAAct	DIFFERENT MEDICATIONS, AND SEVERAL DETAINEES WERE PRESCRIBED MILD PAIN RELIEVERS. URINE TESTING OF THE
(b)(3) NatSecAct	INMATES INDICATED ALL OF THE
	RECEIVING SUFFICIENT NOURISHMENT AND HYDRATION. ALL OF THE
	DETAINEES AT (WITH THE EXCEPTION OF GUL RAHMAN)
(b)(1)	HAVE BEEN FULLY COOPERATIVE WITH THE MEDICAL PERSONNEL IN RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR HEALTH AND WELFARE.
(b)(3) CIAAct	THE ONE EXCEPTION, GUL RAHMAN, WOULD ONLY STATE THAT
(b)(3) NatSecAct	"THANKS TO GOD, ALL IS WELL" IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONING.
	12. (5) Additionally, prisoners with significant
(b)(1)	health problems are not accepted at During a
(b)(3) CIAAct	proposed rendition of a detainee with a condition,
(b)(3) NatSecAct	Station provided the following guidance: "If Subject does have a significant condition, Subject should not
t · · ·	be transferred to Appropriate specialized
(b)(1)	medical care is not available No
(b)(3) NatSecAct	unlawful enemy combatant with pre-existing medical conditions can be brought to (b)(1) If there is reason
	(b)(3) CIAAct
<u>!</u> 	(D)(1) $(b)(3)$ NatSecAct
1. Sec. 1	(b)(3) NatSecAct
	TOP SECRET//X1
	TOP SECRET//X1 A24-1

2



.

,	
·	- TOP SECRET//X1
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	<pre>SUBJECT: (b)(1) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN</pre>
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	15. (S) John B. Jessen (known by the name Bruce), a Psychologist who works for CIA as an independent contractor, and is involved in the use of enhanced interrogation techniques with high value targets, spent two and a half weeks at from early-to mid- November 2002. Jessen worked directly with on RAHMAN and other detainees at Jessen has a
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology, and spent 20 years on active duty with the US Air Force as a Psychologist. After his retirement from the Air Force, Jessen spent eight years as a DOD civilian Psychologist. During his tenure with the Air Force and DOD, Jessen worked on captivity related issues. While on active duty, he served as a Psychologist with the Joint Personnel Recovery Agency. While employed as a civilian with DOD, Jessen was the Senior Psychologist
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	for the SERE program. Jessen was able to observe operations at and had discussions with regarding methods of handling, treating, and interrogating prisoners. Jessen also made some recommendations to to improve operations at the facility. Jessen stated did a great job setting up Jessen described as being very bright, motivated, and possessing good intuition. Jessen said was doing a
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	great job with the guard force
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	<ul> <li>(b)(3) NatSecAct</li> <li><sup>43</sup> Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)</li> <li><sup>44</sup> Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)</li> <li>13</li> <li>TOP</li></ul>
	A 24-14

SECRET / / X1

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

SUBJECT:

(5) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

(b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

16. (S) Although does not have a written set of Standard Operating Procedures (a flaw noted by Jessen), has established a standard method of operation. For security reasons, prisoners are brought to the facility with their hands and feet shackled. (b)(3) NatSecAct Blindfolds are placed over their eyes and a hood is placed over their heads. Ear plugs are also placed in their ears. This is done so that prisoners have no knowledge of where they are being housed, cannot hear what is being said around them, and have no idea if they are alone or with other prisoners. Additionally, it prevents any form of communication between prisoners. Prisoners are handled by guards in complete silence. Hand signals are used by the guards to communicate with each other. Prisoners are dressed in sweatsuits and adult diapers. The diapers are used for sanitary reasons during transportation, and as a means to humiliate the prisoner. When prisoners are delivered to their cell, one hand or foot is shackled to the wall. This is done for the safety of the guard. Later, the manner in which a prisoner is shackled is based on his level of cooperation and the danger he presents to the guards. However, all prisoners are shackled in some manner. If they are not shackled to the wall, their hands and feet may be shackled. If a prisoner is uncooperative, or presents a significant physical threat to the guards, he may be shackled in a "short chain" position. This method was taught to the guards by BOP instructors as a safer alternative to hog-tying prisoners. Hog-tying prisoners has resulted in a number of deaths in the US, and the "short chain" method is safer for the prisoners while still providing a higher degree of safety and security for the guards. In the "short chain" method, the prisoner's hands are shackled together as are his feet. Then a short chain is used to shackle the hands to the feet. This keeps a prisoner's hand shackled within several inches of his feet. The prisoner's feet are then shackled to the wall. This provides for the maximum degree of control over the prisoner while allowing for prisoner safety.45

> <sup>45</sup> Interview of (b)(1) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) └(b)(3) CIAAct⁻ 14 (b)(3) NatSecAct TOP\_SECRET//X1 (b)(7)(c)

ACLU-RDI p.14

A24-15

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318-

(b)(1)\_\_\_\_\_ (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

A24-14

TOP SECRET //X

SUBJECT:

<del>(S</del>) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) 17. (S) Prior to the guards' departure from the cell, the hood, blindfold, and ear plugs are taken from the prisoner. Prisoners are housed in total darkness. stated that this is done for a couple of reasons. stated that he wanted to disorient prisoners so they didn't know if it was day or night.

Additionally, music is played in the prisoner housing area 24 hours a day. This is done to prevent prisoners from communicating with each other.<sup>46</sup>

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

(S) Sleep deprivation is also used to enhance 18. successful interrogation. The decision to use sleep deprivation is made by the individual CIA officer who is working with a particular prisoner. When sleep deprivation is utilized, the prisoner is chained by one or both wrists to a bar running across the ceiling of the cell. This forces the prisoner to stand. stated that he consulted with Jessen and was told that no prisoner should undergo more than 72 hours of sleep deprivation because lucidity begins to decline and questioning become ineffective.47 During our interview with Jessen, he stated that sleep deprivation could be used indefinitely without harming the prisoner; however, you could not chain him overhead indefinitely.

19. (S) Often, prisoners who possess significant or imminent threat information are stripped to their diapers during interrogation and placed back into their cells wearing only diapers. This is done solely to humiliate the prisoner for interrogation purposes. When the prisoner soils a diaper, they are changed by the guards. Sometimes the guards run out of diapers and the prisoners are placed back in their cells in a handcrafted diaper secured by duct tape. If the guards don't have any available diapers, the prisoners are rendered to their cell nude.<sup>48</sup>

46 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) <sup>47</sup> Interview of (b)(1) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) <sup>48</sup> Interview of (b)(3) CIAAct Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) (b)(3) NatSecAct 15 TOP SECRET //X1 (b)(6)<sup>-</sup> (b)(7)(c)

(b)(1)

(b)(1);

(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

## TOP SECRET //X1

SUBJECT: (S)

Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

20. (S) Prisoners' cells are austere. A prisoner begins his confinement with nothing in his cell except a bucket used for human waste. Prisoners are given rewards for cooperation. Rewards can consist of a light, "foamies" for the prisoners' ears (blocks out the music), a mat to sleep on, extra blankets, etc. Additionally, a luxury room has been built which has a light, a rocking chair, a table, and carpeting on the floor. Prisoners are not punished for lack of cooperation. Instead, rewards that they have received for cooperation are taken from them if they become uncooperative.<sup>49</sup>

21. (S) When guards move prisoners from their cell to the interrogation room, usually \_\_\_\_\_ guards enter the cell with a flashlight. A hood is placed over the prisoner's head and he is lead to the interrogation room in shackles. The guards do not speak to the prisoners and all communication between the guards is completed with hand signals. Once the detainee is placed in the interrogation room the guards depart, and the hood is removed by \_\_\_\_\_\_ personnel. Every effort is made to ensure that the only person a detainee communicates with is his CIA interrogator.<sup>50</sup>

# DEATH OF GUL RAHMAN

22. (S) Gul RAHMAN was a Hezbi Islami official from Wardak province, Afghanistan, who was known to interact with and support Al Qa'ida. He was known to be a close associate of Gulbuddin Hekmatyar and Abu Abd Al-RAHMAN Al-Najdi.

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)(b)(6) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(7)(c) Jessen stated that Station Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 49 Interview of (b)(1) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) <sup>50</sup> Interview of (b)(3) CIAAct Oct 2002 (Attachment 31) 51 Alec (b)(3) NatSecAct 52 Alec Nov 2002 (Attachment 32) (b)(7)(c)53 Nov 2002 (Attachment 33) A24-17 16 (b)(1) TOP-SECRET//X1 (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

C06555318	Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318	·····
•	TOP SECRET //X1	
the second s	SUBJECT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
 (b)(1)   (b)(3) NatSecAct	was very optimistic that they had somebody who was going to have some good information. <sup>54</sup>	
	23. <del>(S)</del> RAHMAN was apprehended in Islamabad, Pakistan on October 2002, during an early morning raid	•
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	
. [		
	(b)(1)	
• • • •	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	
	(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	
		<b>,</b>
•		
		. •
L	· · ·	
;		
	<sup>s4</sup> Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)          Oct 2002 (Attachment 34)         17	10
	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ (b)(3) \text{ CIAAct} \\ (b)(3) \text{ NatSecAct} \end{array}$	4-18

ACLU-RDI p.17



ACLU-RDI p.18

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318



C06555318 Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318 (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(c) SUBJECT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN physical exam would note such observations. also indicated that the prisoner would be photographed. A search of cable traffic related to RAHMAN found no record of any reporting indicating that any injuries or health conditions were noted. | stated that they keep no medical records on the prisoners and the digital photographs taken of RAHMAN at rendition have long been overwritten.63 64 (3) According to Jessen, he was at 30. early November 2002, in conjunction with the interrogations of a few other prisoners. Although Jessen's recollections were fuzzy, Jessen recalled that he might have been present during the first interrogation of RAHMAN at Jessen recalled that approached him, and they (b)(1) discussed strategies to use during his interrogation. (b)(3) CIAAct Jessen stated that he believes conducted the first (b)(3) NatSecAct interrogation, and he watched from behind the lights. Jessen stated that they talked afterwards and collaborated on some approaches he might want to take.65 (S) Cable traffic reflects that on and 31. (b)(1) November 2002, and Jessen interrogated RAHMAN. (b)(3) NatSecAct The cable goes on to state that despite 48 hours of sleep deprivation, auditory overload, total darkness, isolation, a cold shower, and rough treatment, RAHMAN maintained a high interrogation resistance posture and continued to deny (b)(1)that he was RAHMAN, despite overwhelming evidence to the (b)(3) NatSecAct contrary. His resistance posture suggested a sophisticated level of resistance training. The cable cited several examples of his interrogation resistant behavior: (b)(1) o Remained steadfast in outright denials (ignored (b)(3) CIAAct obvious facts). (b)(3) NatSecAct o Was unresponsive to provocation. (b)(6) o Claimed inability to think due to conditions (b)(7)(c)(cold) o Complained about poor treatment. (b)(1) Jan 2003 (Attachment 41) <sup>63</sup> Lotus Note from to (b)(3) CIAAct Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 4 Interview of (b)(3) NatSecActss Interview of John B. Jessen, 9'Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) A24-21 20 (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)TOP SECRET //X1

TOP SECRET / / X1 SUBJECT: (5) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN o Complained about the violation of his human rights. o Remained consistently unemotional, calm, and composed. o Blatantly lied while attempting to appear sincere in his desire to cooperate. o Consistently used his cover story. o Displayed no anxiety (calmly picked at his skin/nails during confrontations with damning (b)(1) evidence against him. (b)(3) CIAAct o Was unfazed by physical and psychological confrontations.66 (b)(3) NatSecAct 32. (3) Cable traffic reflects that sleep deprivation for RAHMAN began almost immediately after his (b)(1) arrival at Jessen stated that he believed that RAHMAN's sleep deprivation started from the beginning. (b)(3) CIAAct According to RAHMAN's clothes were taken from him (b)(3) NatSecAct at this point, and he was left wearing a diaper. During (b)(6) the period of sleep deprivation, RAHMAN's arms were (b)(7)(c) shackled to a bar that ran between the walls of the cell. This prevented RAHMAN from sitting down. 67 68 (S) 'During the first few days of RAHMAN's 33. cable traffic also reflects incarceration at (b)(1) that he received a cold shower. During our interview with (b)(3) CIAAct he indicated that RAHMAN received a cold shower (b)(3) NatSecAct because the water heater was not working. Jessen stated that he was deliberately given a cold shower as a (b)(1) deprivation technique. Cable traffic tends to support (b)(3) CIAAct Jessen's statements. Jessen stated that after RAHMAN (b)(3) NatSecAct received the cold shower, he saw RAHMAN standing with the (b)(6)

quards. Jessen stated that RAHMAN was shivering and showing early signs of hypothermia. Jessen instructed the guards to provide RAHMAN with a blanket, which they did. 69 70

(S) Cable traffic also reflects that during his 34. first two days of incarceration, RAHMAN underwent "rough

Nov 2002 (Attachment 33) <sup>67</sup> Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 48 Interview of (b)(1) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) 49 Interview of (b)(3) CIAAct <sup>70</sup> Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) (b)(3) NatSecAct 21 TOP SECRET//X1

(b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct A24-22 (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

(b)(7)(c)

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318\*

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6). – – – (b)(7)(c) 3. 1995 - 19

### TOP SECRET//X1

stated that they occasionally pushed

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

and shoved RAHMAN while he had a hood over his head to disorient him and scare him. Jessen described witnessing what he termed "a rough takedown." Jessen stated that when

them is rough threatening treatment. The treatment is

you simply want to instill fear and despair in the

a detainee is strong and resilient, you have to establish

control or you are not going to get anywhere. So you try

never to the point that you hurt the prisoner physically,

different techniques to try to get him to open up. One of

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

treatment."

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)

(b)(6) ·

 $(b)(7)(c)^{-1}$ 

(b)(3) CIAAct

prisoner. \_\_\_\_\_ came up with the idea of the hard takedown and asked Jessen for his thoughts. While Jessen has not used this technique at facilities at which he has worked, and had never seen one conducted, he thought it was worth trying. According to Jessen, there were approximately CIA officers from the team. Each one had a role during the takedown and it was thoroughly planned and rehearsed. They opened the door of RAHMAN's cell and rushed in screaming and yelling for him to "get down." They dragged him outside, cut off his clothes and secured him with Mylar tape. They covered his head with a hood and ran him up and down a long corridor adjacent to his cell. They slapped him and punched him several times. Jessen stated that although it was obvious they were not trying to hit him as hard as they could, a couple of times the punches were forceful. As they ran him along the corridor, a couple of times he fell and they dragged him through the dirt (the floor outside of the cells is dirt). RAHMAN did acquire a number of abrasions on his face, legs, and hands, but nothing that required medical attention. (This may account for the abrasions found on RAHMAN's body after his death. RAHMAN had a number of surface abrasions on his shoulders, pelvis, arms, legs, and face.) At this point, RAHMAN was returned to his cell and secured. Jessen stated that may have spoken to RAHMAN for a few moments, but he did not know said. Jessen stated that after something like (b)(3) NatSecAct what this is done, interrogators should speak to the prisoner to "give them something to think about." <sup>71</sup> <sup>72</sup>

> <sup>71</sup> Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15(b)(1) <sup>72</sup> Interview of John B. Jessen, 9' Jan 2003 (Attachment 30) (b)(3) CIAAct 22 (b)(3) NatSecAct SECRET//X1 (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)<sup>.</sup>

A24-23



ACLU-RDI p.23

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318

C06555318	Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318
·	
	TOP_SECRET//X1
	SUBJECT: <del>(S</del> ) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
1	cable detailing this session reads as
	follows:
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAc (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(c)	conditions. However, it was also possible that RAHMAN was so fatigued that he was unable to consistently stay with his cover story even if he wished to do so. During portions of interrogation, RAHMAN was confused as to his location, and the passage of time. At other times he would forget what he had been asked would have to recapture his attention. It is difficult to know precisely how much of his behavior was feigned and how much was a result of his physical and psychological condition; however, IC Jessen's impression was that he continues to use 'health and welfare' behaviors and complaints as a major part of his resistance posture. After the session, RAHMAN was afforded some improvement in his conditions. Interrogators plan to reinterview RAHMAN on
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	37. (S) and Jessen both attributed this small interrogation breakthrough to the pressure techniques used on RAHMAN. Jessen stated that he believed RAHMAN would have never made the admission without the pressures placed on him. Jessen stated that he considered RAHMAN's
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	admission of his identity as a breakthrough but did not believe that RAHMAN had been "broken." Jessen stated that he believes RAHMAN made a compromise. He knew he was in trouble and knew we had a lot of evidence that he was RAHMAN. Jessen believes that RAHMAN knew that he could give up his identity and possibly get a little better treatment, but still protect the information that was important to him. <sup>76 77</sup>
	75Nov 2002 (Attachment 43)(b)(1)76 Interview of John B. Jessen, 9 Jan 2003 (Attachment 30)(b)(3) CIAAct77 Interview of Dec 2002 (Attachment 15)(b)(3) NatSecAct2424TOP-SECRET//X1(b)(7)(c) $TOP-SECRET//X1$ $A 24 - 25$

(b)(1) ·

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)-(b)(3) NatSecAct

(S)

SUBJECT:

Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

38. (S) On November 2002, Headquarters requested that psychologist ICs Jessen and James E. Mitchell conduct a psychological assessment exam of RAHMAN to determine which interrogation measures would be required to render RAHMAN compliant. The cable stated that Headquarters was motivated to extract any and all operational information on Al-Qa'ida and Hezbi Islami from RAHMAN. The cable noted that it was the assessment of the debriefers that RAHMAN may need to be subjected to enhanced interrogation measures to induce him to comply.

> (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

Headquarters requested that the results of the examination be sent to Headquarters where a determination on the course of action could be made.<sup>78</sup>

39. (5) On that same day November 2002), Jessen conducted a psychological captivity assessment of RAHMAN. Jessen found that RAHMAN was able to accurately describe the circumstances, time, and location of his capture he was able to identify those captured with him. He was slow to answer some questions, which Jessen attributed to fatigue and active resistance. He was able identify all members of his family, their ages, and places of birth. Questions that were non-sensitive to his resistance posture were answered quickly and accurately. Sensitive questions yielded stalling and prevarication. Throughout this evaluation and the six interrogation sessions Jessen participated in up to that point, Jessen saw no signs of psychopathology. RAHMAN did feign incoherence and profound confusion at times, but would immediately revert to a coherent dialogue when it was in his best interest. Jessen assessed RAHMAN as being of above average intelligence. Jessen stated that RAHMAN was a mentally stable individual exhibiting extraordinary resilience in his ability to withstand the vicissitudes of captivity and persist in

<sup>78</sup> Alec<sup>|</sup> (b)(1) \_\_\_\_\_Nov 2002 (Attachment 32) 25 (b)(3) CIAAct <u>TOP SECRET//X3</u> (b)(3) NatSecAct

A 24-24

#### TOP SECRET //X:

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

an effective resistance posture. There was no indication that RAHMAN suffered from any psychopathology nor that he would be profoundly or permanently affected by continuing interrogations, to include HVT enhanced measures.<sup>79</sup>

40. (5) In the last paragraph of Jessen's mental examination report, Jessen recommended an interrogation plan for RAHMAN. The last paragraph of the cable reads as follows:

"Interrogation Plan Recommendation: Because of his [RAHMAN's] remarkable physical and psychological resilience and determination to persist in his effective resistance posture, employing enhanced measures is not the first or best option to yield positive interrogation results. In fact, with such individuals, increasing physical pressures often bolsters their resistance. The most effective interrogation plan for Gul RAHMAN, is to continue environmental deprivations he is experiencing and institute a concentrated interrogation exposure regimen. This regimen would consist of repeated and seemingly constant interrogations (18 out of 24 hours per day). These interrogations should be coordinated and present with the same set of key subject areas. Interrogators should have the flexibility and insight to deviate with the Subject when he begins to move in a desired direction. It will be the consistent and persistent application of deprivations (sleep loss and fatique) and seemingly constant interrogations, which will be most effective in wearing down this Subject's resistance posture. It will be important to manage the deprivations so as to allow Subject adequate rest and nourishment so he remains coherent and capable of providing accurate information. The station physician should collaborate with the interrogation team to achieve this optimum balance. It is reasonable to expect two weeks or more of this regimen before significant movement occurs."80

 Nov 2002 (Attachment 44)

 (b)(1)
 Nov 2002 (Attachment 44)

 (b)(3) CIAAct
 26

 (b)(3) NatSecAct
 TOP-SECRET//X1

ACLU-RDI p.26

A24-27

C06555318 Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318 (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(1) TOP (b)(3) NatSecAct SECRET77X1 (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) SUBJECT: (3) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct 41. November 2002; (S) On Station Medical Officer, examined Gul RAHMAN and found no health problems. (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)42: (8) The afternoon of November 2002, was the (b)(3) CIAAct last time saw RAHMAN alive. At that time, (b)(3) NatSecAct assessed RAHMAN to be in good overall health. (b)(6)noted that RAHMAN had small abrasions on his wrist (b)(7)(c)and ankles as a result of the restraints. His ankle restraints were loosened, and his hand restraints were removed when RAHMAN was returned to his cell.82 . According RAHMAN had complained that he was cold, so (b)(1) to gave him a sweatshirt.83 (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (<del>S)</del> According to Guard 43. RAHMAN November 2002. Because prisoners are was fed at 2100 on fed one large meal a day, and because of RAHMAN's actions (b)(1) on the following day, this is the last meal RAHMAN consumed prior to his death.<sup>84</sup> (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (S) According to 44. RAHMAN was fed again at November 2002.85 According to numerous sources, 1500 on when the guards gave RAHMAN his food, he threw the plate, waterbottle, and waste bucket at the guards. He began yelling at the guards, repeating his threat, last stated (b)(1) approximately one week prior, that he knew their faces and (b)(3) NatSecAct he would kill them when he got out of the prison. As a result of his violent behavior, ordered that the guards put RAHMAN's hand restraints back on to prevent him (b)(1)from taking any other violent actions.<sup>86</sup> The guards (b)(3) NatSecAct proceeded to shackle RAHMAN to the wall of his cell in a (b)(6) short chain position. (In the "short chain" method, the (b)(7)(c)prisoner's hands are shackled together as are his feet. Then a short chain is used to shackle the hands to the (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 27) 81 Interview of (b)(7)(c)(b)(3) NatSecAct 82 Nov 2002 (Attachment 40) Dec 2002 (Attachment 15) <sup>83</sup> Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) (b)(1)84 Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 45) (b)(3) CIAAct 85 Interview of A24-28 Nov 2002 (Attachment 40) 86 (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)-27 (b)(6) (b)(3) CIAAct <u>TOP</u> CECEET (b)(7)(c) (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)	
(b)(7)(c)	SUB
	fee

## TOP SECRET //X1

JECT: (<del>S</del>) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

This keeps a prisoner's hand shackled within several et. inches of his feet. The prisoner's feet are then shackled to the wall). The only clothing being worn by RAHMAN at (b)(1) this point was the sweatshirt given to him by the day before. RAHMAN was nude from the waist down. RAHMAN (b)(3) CIAAct had been nude, with the exception of a diaper for most of (b)(3) NatSecAct his incarceration. There is uncertainty as to when RAHMAN's diaper had been removed. As of approximately 1500 on November 2002, RAHMAN was shackled in a sitting (b)(1) position on bare concrete while nude from the waist down. (b)(3) NatSecAct The manner in which he was shackled prevented him from standing upright. (b)(1) The guards made their normal rounds to 45. <del>(5)</del> (b)(3) NatSecAct check on the prisoners on November 2002, at 2200 and (b)(6) 2300. The guards did not enter RAHMAN's cell, but visually (b)(7)(c). inspected him from the outside using a flashlight.87 According to guard checked RAHMAN's cell at 0400 on he and November 2002. stated that they looked into his cell and whistled. RAHMAN was sitting in his cell, alive and shaking.<sup>88</sup> At 0800, guards (b)(1) . made the rounds to check on the prisoners. According (b)(3) CIAAct to the guards, RAHMAN was alive, sitting on the floor and (b)(3) NatSecAct shaking. noted that RAHMAN's eyes were open and blinking. said RAHMAN's shaking did not seem unusual because all of the prisoners shake.<sup>89</sup> According to (b)(1)he checked RAHMAN's cell at 1000. He guard (b)(3) NatSecAct noted that the prisoner was lying on his side. (b)(6)tapped the door with his nightstick; however, the prisoner (b)(7)(c) did not move. At that point, sought out to debrief other CIA TDY'er who was at detainees.90 dense to intromining conducted with

		40.	(5)	ACCOI	aing	ĽĢ	rurer	vrews	cona	ucter	I WICH (	•	
(b)(1)	A	.gency p	erson	nel pre	esent	at			when	RAHMA	AN's boo	зy	
(b)(3) N	latSecAct <sub>w</sub>	as disc	overed	1,							were		
(b)(6)		(b)(1)									(b)(1)		,
(b)(7)(c)	)	(b)(3) C										CIAAct	
		(b)(3) N	VatSec/	\ct	-							NatSecAct	
/	- ) / 4 ) 87	· [	N	ov 2002 (J	Attachr	nerit /	40)						
	o)(1) <sub>88</sub>	Interview	of		Nov 200	02 (At	lachme	ent 45)	•				
(k	o)(3) CIAAct,	'Interviews	sof					Nov 200	2 (Atta	ichmer	nt 45)		
(t	o)(3) NatSec.	Acterview	of (b)	)(1)	Nov 2	2002 (		nent 45)			•	(b)(1)	<u>^</u>
(t	o)(6)		(b)	)(3) Nat	SecAc	t	28					(b)(3) NatSe	
	o)(7)(c)		•	)(7)(c)			ECRET	<del>//X1</del> .					CACL
	-/(-/		<b>V</b> <sup>1-</sup>	·····							•	(b)(7)(c)	
					•			•	•			•	

TOP SECRET//X1

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)

to interrogate other prisoners. At all at (b)(1) approximately 1000 on November 2002, one of the guards (b)(3) CIAAct walked up to and informed him\_that one of the (b)(3) NatSecAct prisoners was not moving. The | officers went with the (b)(6) guard to RAHMAN's cell. The guard unlocked the cell and (b)(7)(c)opened the door. RAHMAN was lying motionless on his right side with his hands and feet shackled together and his feet shackled to the wall. There was a small amount of blood coming from his nose and mouth. RAHMAN was clothed in a sweatshirt but had no pants. noted that the only (b)(1) <sup>·</sup> things in his cell were an empty red waste bucket, and a (b)(3) CIAAct food tray with a small piece of bread on it. stated (b)(3) NatSecAct that there was rice strewn all over cell. entered the (b)(6) cell and checked RAHMAN's pulse. When he could not find a (b)(7)(c)pulse, he began CPR chest compressions. With each chest compression, noted that more blood would come from his mouth and mucous from his nose. returned to the area where interrogations are conducted and called one of the Station medics on the radio. also tried to contact (b)(1) but he could not find him. Station medic (b)(3) CIAAct stated that he received the radio call, but it was very (b)(3) NatSecAct stated that he did not know why he was cryptic. (b)(6) being summoned to stated that he and (b)(7)(c) (the other Station medic) grabbed their medical bags, obtained transportation, and traveled to noted that CPR was unsuccessful in 47. (5) When (b)(1)reviving RAHMAN, he ordered that the cell be sealed until (b)(3) CIAAct the doctor arrived. arrived 30-45 (b)(3) NatSecAct minutes later. Upon arrival, Station personnel greeted and informed him that a prisoner was dead. went to RAHMAN's cell and found him examined RAHMAN's body and lying on his side. (b)(1) stated that there was no rolled it on both sides. (b)(3) CIAAct evidence that the prisoner had been abused and no evidence noted that the blood coming of a cause of death. (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(b)(1) Nov 2002 (Attachment 14) <sup>91</sup> Interview of (b)(3) CIAAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 46) <sup>92</sup> Interview of (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 47) <sup>93</sup> Interview of \* Interview of (b)(3) CIAAct Nov 2002 (Attachment 27) (b)(6) (b)(3) NatSecAct<sup>J</sup> 29. (b)(7)(c)A24-30 (b)(7)(c) TOP-SECRET//X1

C06555318	Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct
•		(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)
	IOF SECKET/7XI	(b)(7)(c)
(b)(1)	SUBJECT: <del>(S</del> ) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	from the nose and mouth was dark and inconsistent with a wound to that area. died within the past few hours. <sup>95 96</sup> (b)(3) Nat	SecAct
	48. ( <del>S)</del> noted that they found it unusual that the guard commander was not present at the prison at the time of RAHMAN's death. The interior prison	
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)	guards live inside the prison and rarely leave. When questioned the guards about the Commander's absence he was told that the Commander was at(b)(1) said he heard second hand that the guards told(b)(3) Na that the Commander had a family emergency.	tSecAct
(b)(7)(c)	49. <del>(S)</del> It is important to note that during this investigation several office <u>rs made</u> reference to an	• •
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct=	unexpected temperature drop immediately prior to RAHMAN's death. The following are the Accuweather temperatures during the month of November 2002:	
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	
	50. (S) No photographs were taken at the scene of RAHMAN's death. Later that evening, delivered a freezer to the facility and RAHMAN's body was frozen until investigating personnel could arrive to conduct an autopsy <sup>99</sup> (b)(1)	
	conduct an autopsy. <sup>99</sup> (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	
· · · ·	(b)(6) Hateleo (c) (b)(6) (c) (b)(7)(c) Nov 2002 (Attachment 14) % Interview of (b)(7)(c) Nov 2002 (Attachment 27) % Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 12)	
a na	<sup>98</sup> Interview of Nov 2002 (Attachment 46)	4-31
	kο	

. ...

:

C06555318	·	
•	Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318	
· · ·		
• .	· · · ·	(b)(1)
· · · ·	TOP SECRET//X1-	(b)(3) CIAAct
		(b)(3) NatSecAct
	SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN	(b)(6)
$\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$		(b)(7)(c)
(b)(1)		
(b)(3) NatSecAct	AUTOPSY	
(0)(0) Hatoconot		
	51. (5) Dr. conducted an autopsy on RAHMAN on November 2002. His findings are presented in	
(b)(1)	his report entitled, "Final Autposy Findings, CASE #	(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) CIAAct	which is attached to this report. In summary,	
(b)(3) NatSecAc		
(b)(6)	stated, however, that it was his clinical impression	
(b)(7)(c)	that RAHMAN died of hypothermia. <sup>100</sup>	
	52. (S) stated that hypothermia is a diagnosis	
	of exclusion. In essence, other potential causes are ruled	
	out one by one until you are left with no other	
(b)(1)	possibilitystated that he conducted a full	
(b)(3) CIAAct	anterior neck dissection found no evidence of	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	hemorrhage in the tissue, muscles, and cartilage around the neck and no evidence of damage to the Hyoid bone. Injuries	
(b)(6)	such as these are common in cases of strangulation.	· ·
(b)(7)(c)	examined the soft tissue on the inside of the mouth and	
	found no evidence that pressure was placed over the mouth	,
$+ \frac{1}{2}$	as is common in cases of smothering. There was no trauma	•
·	to the teeth. The head and skull were examined and displayed no evidence of facial or skull fractures and no	
/h)/d)	blood in the anterior chambers of the eyes.	
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	the chest, trunk, abdomen, and genitals and found no	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	evidence of trauma. RAHMAN had abrasions to both wrists	
(b)(6)	and ankles, but there was no evidence of infection. RAHMAN	
(b)(7)(c)	had a number of scrapes on his shoulders, legs, and hips; however, there was no bruising around the abrasions	
	and the three was a blunk found throw 101	
	(*	(1)
	55. Her The coxicology was conducted by the	)(3) NatSecAct
	The toxicology included testing	
·	for all of the classic poisons to include cyanide. Additionally, they tested for substances used in truth	
(b)(1)	serums and found no evidence of toxic substances. During	
b)(3) CIAAct	the autopsy, specifically looked for injection marks	
b)(3) NatSecAct	on the body and searched for pill fragments in the mouth	
b)(6)	and stomach and found no indication that he had ingested	· ·
b)(7)(c)	any pills or received any injections. <sup>102</sup>	
· · ·	(b)(3) CIAAct	
	100 Final Autopsy Findings,     (Attachment 48)       101 Interview of Dr.     (b)(1)   Dec 2002 (Attachment 49)	
No.		~
	(b)(3) NatSecAct $31$	1-37
	(b)(3) NatSecAct <sub>SECRET//X1</sub> (b)(6)	24-32
	(b)(7)(c)	
1		

.

• ------

#### TOP SECRET//X1

SUBJECT:

CT: <del>(S)</del> Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

54. (5) In making the clinical diagnosis of death by hypothermia, based his conclusion and the clinical environment in which RAHMAN was found and the information compiled during the investigation. based his conclusions on the following factors

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

- o RAHMAN's urine had high catecholamine levels, which is consistent with hypothermic deaths.
- o RAHMAN was seen shivering for a number of hours immediately prior to his death.
- o The environment in which he was housed was extremely cold. On the night of his death, the outside temperature was 31 degrees. The prison facility is not insulated.
- o RAHMAN had not eaten in approximately 36 hours. No food was found in his stomach during the autopsy. RAHMAN's glycogen levels would have been depleted. Glycogen is a fuel source used by the body to stay warm.
- RAHMAN was unclothed from the waist down and was in direct contact with cold concrete. Direct conduction is a significant cause of heat loss in the body.
- RAHMAN was chained in a short chain position.
   This prevented him from standing up and moving around to warm his body.
- o RAHMAN was dehydrated which is a contributing factor to hypothermia.<sup>103</sup>

	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) _(b)(7)(c)_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
103 Interview of Dr. [		Dec 2002 (Attachment 49) 32	
	TOP	SECRET//X1	•

A24-33

: : TOP SECRET / / X1

SUBJECT: (S) Death Investigation - Gul RAHMAN

## CONCLUSIONS

The evidence developed during the course of this investigation suggests the following:

- o There is no evidence to suggest that RAHMAN's death was deliberate.
  - o There is no evidence to suggest that RAHMAN was beaten, tortured, poisoned, strangled, or smothered.
  - o Hypothermia was the most likely cause of death of Gul RAHMAN.

o His death was not deliberate, but resulted from his incarceration in a cold environment while nude from the waist down, and shackled in a position that prevented him from moving around to keep warm. Additionally, this kept him in direct contact with the cold concrete floor leading to a loss of bodyheat through conduction.

o Gul RAHMAN's actions contributed to his own death. By throwing his last meal he was unable to provide his body with a source of fuel to keep him warm. Additionally, his violent behavior resulted in his restraint which prevented him from generating body heat by moving around and brought him in direct contact with the

concrete floor leading to a loss of bodyheat through conduction.

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

A 24-34

Attachments As stated

> 33 <del>TOP SECRET//X1</del>



36 TOP SECRET//X1

A24-35





(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)

35 TOP SECRET //X:

A24-37

ACLU-RDI p.36

Approved for Release: 2016/09/30 C06555318